Somatic growth following the modified Fontan procedure
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In the present issue of this journal, there are two seemingly contradictory reports of long-term growth following definitive palliation of children with functionally univentricular physiology.1,2 Cohen and colleagues1 detail the growth of 65 children undergoing the modified Fontan procedure at a mean age of 20 months, following an early cavopulmonary connection. At long-term follow-up, the mean weight and height Z scores were -0.49 and -1.15, respectively. Despite generally satisfactory haemodynamics at subsequent cardiac catheterisation, the mean Z scores for both parameters remained significantly below normal, and below those of healthy siblings. These results could not be explained by persisting hypoxaemia after a modified Fontan procedure, nor by the occurrence of a protein losing enteropathy, which occurred in 7% of the population studied. The only convincing effect on growth was the modest improvement in Z scores for weight following the initial cavopulmonary connection.

In contrast, Stenbøg and colleagues2 report improved growth in a cohort of 20 children who underwent various forms of cavopulmonary connection at a mean age of 8.5 years. At latest follow-up the mean postoperative Z scores for weight and height were 0.0 and +0.3, respectively. Levels of insulin-like growth factor measured in the serum were within the normal range, and were comparable to those of 33 control subjects without congenital heart disease.

How can two apparently similar studies report such differing results? Each study needs to be interpreted with some caution. The proportion of children excluded for various reasons from the study of Stenbøg and colleagues2 has not been specified. The degree of preoperative disturbance of growth was less severe than in the study by Cohen et al.1 The variable timing of the pubertal growth spurt potentially confounds interpretation of cross-sectional growth parameters and levels of growth factors among children of widely varying ages.

There are multiple reasons why children with surgically corrected cardiac malformations may fail to attain normal postoperative growth, even when those with syndromal or chromosomal anomalies, and those with major extracardiac malformations, are excluded from consideration.3 Low birthweight for gestational age is over-represented in most categories of congenital heart disease. Children with a birthweight below the 10th percentile for gestational age usually manifest persisting impairment of growth after curative surgery, such as closure of a ventricular septal defect4 or an arterial switch procedure.5 Although inadequate nutrition frequently plays a role in the impairment of growth of children with major cardiac malformations,6 additional mechanisms may contribute in hypoxaemic infants. Young cyanotic patients usually show earlier impairment of linear growth, along with more symmetrical depression of anthropometric indexes, than do acyanotic infants with large left-to-right shunts. It seems likely that hypoxaemia exerts a direct effect on linear growth. There is evidence for a critical vulnerable period, beyond which it may not be possible for children with some cardiac malformations to regain normal growth.7 There is little data about the extent to which catch-up growth occurs following correction or palliation of cyanotic malformations beyond early infancy. Nor is it known whether a modest degree of continuing impairment of growth during childhood will be magnified by a delayed or incomplete growth spurt after onset of puberty.

Perhaps the most important difference between the two studies lies in the selection of the population.
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studied. In the report of Cohen and colleagues, infants with hypoplastic left heart syndrome accounted for over half of the population, and nearly seven-tenths in total required a first stage Norwood procedure. The cumulative negative impact on growth and nutritional status of moderate hypoxaemia in combination with increased pulmonary blood flow is manifest by the disproportionate reduction in mean Z scores for weight relative to those for height at the time of the cavopulmonary connection. Despite the excellent surgical results obtained by these authors, the additional complexity of care required to treat these patients is evident in the reintervention rate of 45% following the modified Fontan, and in the number of non-cardiac complications. No doubt a proportion of patients who needed late intervention were symptomatic from hypoxaemia, congestive heart failure, or a protein losing enteropathy. By contrast, the study of Stenbøg and colleagues contains a high proportion of patients who would be considered ideal Fontan candidates, none of whom required a first stage Norwood procedure or late reintervention.

In an era when the presence of a major cardiac malformation represents the main indication for surgery, and when the timing of surgery is dictated by feasibility, should paediatric cardiologists be concerned by somatic sequel of treated congenital heart disease? The answer must surely be yes. In many centres, somatic growth and symptoms are taken into consideration when deciding on therapy in children with certain cardiac malformations. Collection of long-term data about our patients enhances our ability to provide appropriate and factual counselling to parents who are confronted with difficult decisions. If the primary goal of paediatric cardiologists and surgeons is to create productive adults who function normally, documentation of non-cardiac sequel in our patients is an essential component of our labours.
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