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Objective: Recent terrorist incidents and the worldwide challenge of natural disasters highlight the need for nurses to update knowledge and skills related to disaster response and preparedness. As members of the army medical or civilian health services, nurses play a major role in disaster response. However, as of yet, they have not made an equal impact on disaster planning and preparedness. This presentation reports on an evaluation of a joint civilian-military educational program that focused on the nursing input to "planning for and management of a complex disaster". The aim of the program (and the related simulated disaster) was to provide civilian and military nurses with the necessary knowledge and skills to plan healthcare services for a complex disaster. The 36-hour simulated disaster combined a terrorist incident with an air disaster and a chemical/biological incident. This resulted in mass population displacement and the breakdown of the normal healthcare infrastructure in the Northwest of Northern Ireland.

Methods: A combined data collection approach was implemented using participant observation by those involved in delivery of the program, focus groups, and a questionnaire. The sample consisted of course participants (n = 26), casualty actors who were nursing students (n = 90), casualty welfare officers (n = 4), exercise control team (n = 5), and representatives of agencies who contributed to the program (n = 6).

Results: There was no marked difference in the response of civilian nurses and military nurses to the evaluation of the program. Main findings for both groups point to the requirement for ongoing support during the disaster situation. It was found that some staff are not accustomed to the stress and fatigue, and this must be considered in the planning of future training. Strategies are needed to prevent the breakdown of teamwork especially if nurses are coming from different organizations and different levels of experience. The development of "strained or aggressive interaction" with survivors may have to be accepted as a feature of the stress response and must be planned for in all nursing teams. Care of Priority 3 casualties and care of families seeking information is challenging for a healthcare system that has an "emergency care viewpoint". Complex disasters result in such issues. Other issues that were program-specific relate to risk management in relation to "moving and handling" during disasters, the role of "casualty actor" (undergraduate nursing students) and the need to expand the number of agencies involved.

Conclusion: The joint program and related disaster simulation brings up issues related to staff "stress response" in disasters and the potential for poor team functioning and poor quality of care. Pre- and post-test questionnaires should be administered to more accurately assess the changes that occurred in both groups. Overall, the evaluation confirms that nurses (both military and civilian) benefit from this "joint approach" to training and together can make a positive contribution to disaster planning and response.
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Significance and Problems of Disaster Education in a Central, Disaster Base Hospital
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Objective: This study presents the status of and the problems encountered during the disaster courses and drills performed in a central, disaster base hospital in Japan. The aim of the courses was to expand the students' knowledge and broaden their outlook for disaster medicine and the concept of disaster itself, as well as to gain skills for dealing with disaster outcomes.

Methods: For the last nine years, disaster medical courses and disaster drills were provided regularly in the author's hospital through cooperation with the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare. These courses were evaluated from the standpoint of the organizer.

Results: Disaster education courses are divided into long-term, short-term, and a lecture limited to one theme. A long-term (nearly one week) disaster education course was conducted 26 times for medical staff. The number of students participating in each course ranged from 80-120. The contents of the course varied, but a large part dealt with earthquakes. The following classification of disaster drills was proposed: (1) full-scale drill; (2) half-scale drill; (3) table-top simulation; (4) basic drill; and (5) special disaster or Nuclear-, Biological-, or Chemical- (NBC) hazard drill. Although larger-scale or full-scale disaster drills often were performed, drills of relatively small size, including tabletop simulations more frequently were repeated. From the comments of the students, the significance of disaster drills was evaluated to be effective, although several problems were pointed out including: (1) the reality of the simulation model or the scenario; (2) the reliability of medical method/process (triage, treatment, and transportation); and (3) the financial problem. Disaster medicine education must be established in the future.

Discussion: Ideally, all disaster medicine educational content is supplied to course participants; however, this is impossible during a week-long course. Regarding drills, a realistic, full-scale drill is useful. However, it is not easy. A tabletop exercise is recommended because of its relative simplicity. The necessity of the disaster medicine course and the adequacy of the training in real-life disasters are difficult to determine. Judging from the results of the questionnaire, participants' level of competency in disaster medicine progressed and their commitment to disaster medicine also increased. In addition, the moral or ethical viewpoint (so-called Noblesse Oblige) also should be included in the education.

Conclusions: These courses are effective for improving the level of disaster medicine expertise. However, the priority of the course contents may be reconsidered. An appropri-