A passion for review

By Joe Bouch

The peer review process is essential to maintaining the high quality of Advances. A new reviewer recently asked me how long it should take her to review an article. I don’t know the answer to this but I do know that our articles are almost always modified following review, sometimes substantially, and it is quite common for manuscripts to be resubmitted several times (six is the record while I have been editor). Whether or not H.G. Wells is right that ‘no passion in the world is equal to the passion to alter someone else’s draft’, we are indebted to our reviewers, whose work is vital but largely unheralded.

How best to support learning is an essential consideration for Advances. Visual style is one aspect of this but so too are language, accuracy and balance. Language matters. ‘Having the language, both verbal and syntactical, to describe complex experience may be a key component in developing the ability to survive mental tribulation’ (Davis 2009). ‘Having the language’ is surely also important for us as clinicians in understanding, supporting and treating our patients. It is self-evident that ensuring accuracy is imperative for Advances. Here too language matters. We try to avoid flabbiness in our articles, aiming for text that is concise, lucid and unambiguous. Staff editors copy-edit each article, focusing as much on the clarity and accuracy of the text as on checking that references are correct, up-to-date and relevant. And lastly balance. We think that the evidence base should be clearly presented without partiality and complemented by the thoughtful reflections of experienced clinicians (and others) and by accounts of illness from both clinicians and patients.

If you are interested in developing your skills in writing or reviewing please consider attending either of our workshops at the College Annual Meeting in June 2009 (see p. 208).

Minimal medication
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