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Abstract

Objective: To provide a better understanding of dietary intakes of pregnant women in low- and middle-income countries.

Design: Systematic review was performed to identify relevant studies which reported nutrient intakes or food consumption of pregnant women in developing countries. Macronutrient and micronutrient intakes were compared by region and the FAO/WHO Estimated Average Requirements. Food consumption was summarized by region.

Setting: Developing countries in Africa, Asia, and the Caribbean and Central/South America.

Subjects: Pregnant women in the second or third trimester of their pregnancies.

Results: From a total of 1499 retrieved articles, sixty-two relevant studies were analysed. The ranges of mean/median intakes of energy, fat, protein and carbohydrate were relatively higher in women residing in the Caribbean and Central/South America than in Africa and Asia. Percentages of energy from carbohydrate and fat varied inversely across studies in all regions, whereas percentage of energy from protein was relatively stable. Among selected micronutrients, folate and Fe intakes were most frequently below the Estimated Average Requirements, followed by Ca and Zn. Usual dietary patterns were heavily cereal based across regions.

Conclusions: Imbalanced macronutrients, inadequate micronutrient intakes and predominantly plant-based diets were common features of the diet of pregnant women in developing countries. Cohesive public health efforts involving improving access to nutrient-rich local foods, micronutrient supplementation and fortification are needed to improve the nutrition of pregnant women in developing countries.

Adequate nutrition during pregnancy is essential for maternal and child health. Pregnant women are vulnerable to inadequate nutritional status because of the high nutrient demands of pregnancy. Women living in developing countries are particularly at risk for malnutrition during pregnancy due to socio-economic constraints, poor diet quality, high intensity of agricultural labour, and frequent reproductive cycles. There is much evidence supporting the link between inadequate maternal nutritional status and adverse pregnancy outcomes¹–³, poor infant survival⁴,⁵, and risk of chronic diseases and impaired mental development in later life⁶–⁸.

Poor dietary intake during pregnancy is a significant contributor to global maternal malnutrition in less developed countries⁵. A previous review indicated that pregnant women in developing countries suffer from energy deficiencies due to relatively insufficient energy intake⁹. In addition, 42% of pregnant women worldwide⁵ and more than 50% of pregnant women in developing countries are anaemic, mainly due to Fe deficiency¹⁰. Dietary deficiencies in other important vitamins and minerals, including vitamin A, folate, Ca and Zn, are also estimated to be high⁵,¹¹–¹⁶. The FAO/WHO have established diet-based guidelines for nutrient requirements and recommendations during pregnancy, as well as dietary guidelines for dietary assessment and utilization of dietary information¹⁷–²⁰. Yet there is limited information available on the food and nutrient intakes of pregnant women in low-resource settings across countries and regions²¹.

Here we have synthesized information on dietary intakes of pregnant women using data from published studies conducted in low- and middle-income countries.
Intakes of macro- and micronutrients, as well as data on food consumption, are summarized and presented by region. It is anticipated that a better understanding of usual dietary intakes of women during pregnancy will provide insights for the development of sustainable intervention programmes to improve maternal nutrition in resource-poor settings.

Methods

Literature review and study selection

A literature review was undertaken to identify studies that reported the usual dietary intake of pregnant women in low- and middle-income countries. MEDLINE, EMBASE and SCOPUS databases were searched. Studies which were indexed only in the regional electronic bibliographic databases were searched through African Index Medicus, LILACS for Central and South America, and Index Medicus for the South-East Asia Region. Different combinations of search terms – ‘nutrient intake’, ‘food intake/consumption’, ‘dietary intake’, ‘food/dietary pattern’, ‘diet quality’, ‘(micro) nutrient (in)adequacy’, ‘dietary diversity’, ‘dietary composition’, and ‘(micro)nutrient deficiency’, ‘energy’, ‘protein/fat/carbohydrate’, ‘vitamins’, and ‘trace elements’, etc. – were used with ‘pregnancy’ and ‘developing countries’ terms. Low- and middle-income countries in Africa, Asia, the Caribbean and Central/South America were included, based on the country classification by the World Bank(22). The publication dates were restricted to 1 January 1989 to 9 June 2011 to include recent articles which have been published after a previous report about maternal diet in developing countries(9). Language was not restricted if studies had abstracts in English.

The first filtering was primarily to identify potentially relevant studies by screening the titles and abstracts of initially retrieved studies. Studies were included if they reported energy, nutrient or food intakes or dietary patterns of pregnant women. Studies which had any type of dietary supplement intervention during pregnancy were excluded to capture nutrient intakes from usual food sources, unless the baseline dietary information of randomly sampled subjects was available. Inclusion criteria for subjects were healthy pregnant women free from pregnancy-related complications and clinical patients with infections such as HIV and malaria. Maternal age was not restricted, and most studies had a wide range of age of subjects. However, studies that solely recruited adolescents as defined by the study investigators were excluded.

The second filtering was performed by excluding studies which met exclusion criteria after reading through all previously selected studies. Studies which reflected the dietary intake mainly in the first trimester of pregnancy were excluded to avoid the fluctuating nature of dietary intake in early pregnancy due to nausea and vomiting(23). Studies which had total or sub-sample size < 20 were also excluded. Only one representative article was included if several articles were published with the same study population by the same research team. We did not reject any study based on concerns about the validity of the dietary assessment method.

Data analysis

The characteristics of selected studies were summarized by reviewing study design, living area, gestational age at recruitment, recruitment method and dietary assessment. Maternal age, gestational age, weight, height and BMI, and the proportion of primipara at recruitment, were summarized by region for the studies with available information. Estimated mean/median energy/nutrient intakes, dietary patterns or food consumption were extracted from published papers; no attempt was made to contact study investigators to obtain primary data. Only frequently reported vitamins and minerals were evaluated. If studies separated the nutrient intakes by categories such as socio-economic status (low/high), living area (urban/rural), trimester (second/third) and occupation (farmers/non-farmers), the weighted mean method was used to best estimate the average nutrient intakes of the study population.

Macro- and micronutrient intakes were visualized with dot plots, with each dot representing the estimated mean/median nutrient intake of each study. For descriptive purposes, mean, standard deviation, median and the 25th and 75th percentiles were used to estimate central tendency and variability of nutrient intakes across studies, even though 85% of studies originally reported mean nutrient intakes with the rest reporting median intakes. Micronutrient intakes of each study were compared with the Estimated Average Requirements (EAR), which were back-calculated based on FAO/WHO Recommended Nutrient Intakes for pregnant women in the second trimester(19,20). Because only the lower bound of the EAR of Fe was available (>40-0 mg/d)(24), it was used as the EAR for Fe. Low bioavailability of Zn was used for the EAR of Zn, because of the low intakes of animal foods and high intakes of unrefined cereals/grains in developing countries. The unit of vitamin A was unified to µg RE (retinol equivalents) by considering that 1 RE equals 1 µg retinol, 12 µg of β-carotene and 3-33 International Units. For the intake of folate, studies which reported folic acid intakes were identified separately in Fig. 6d.

Insufficient information was available to assess the adequacy of the reported energy intakes. However, the average reported weights of women in sixteen studies were used to calculate the energy intake as a function of the calculated BMR based on the Oxford equation for females aged 18–30 years following the method of Goldberg(25,26). For fifteen studies, the ratio of energy intake to BMR was ~1.2–1.9. The ratio calculated for the study in Ethiopia was very low (0.8), but the energy and nutrient intakes of the study were included in the review because we speculate that food is less readily available during the rainy season when the diet data were collected(27).
The contributions of macronutrient intakes to total energy were plotted by region and compared with the goals of population nutrient intake defined by the joint WHO/FAO expert consultation. For studies that provided information on macronutrient intakes only, the percentages from energy source were calculated using 16.7 kJ for 1 g of protein and carbohydrate, and 37.7 kJ for 1 g of fat.

Food consumption information in most of the studies was descriptive in nature and varied with respect to the measures described; therefore, this information was also summarized in a descriptive manner in the text by region. In the present review, the term ‘LAC’ is used to refer to Mexico, the Caribbean and Central/South America. All data analyses were performed using the statistical software package STATA/IC version 11.2.

Results

Selection of studies

In total, 1499 articles were initially retrieved from the MEDLINE database and 1428 articles were filtered out for various reasons (Fig. 1). Seventy-eight studies including seven additionally added articles from LILACS, SCOPUS and EMBASE databases were retained for a detailed evaluation. Sixteen articles were additionally excluded from the selection: eleven studies were conducted by same authors with redundant study populations, two articles had sub-sample sizes <20, two articles had dietary information only for the first trimester and the full text was not available for one article. In total, sixty-two studies conducted in low- and middle-income countries in Africa, Asia and LAC were included in the review. Several countries had more than one eligible study: these included twelve studies from India, five studies each from China and Brazil, four studies each from Iran, Egypt and Mexico, three studies from Thailand, and two studies each from Malawi, Bangladesh, Pakistan and South Africa.

Characteristics of selected studies

Of the selected studies, the majority (80%) were cross-sectional or prospective observational studies (18%). Women in most of the studies based in Africa and Asia lived in rural/suburban areas and rural areas, respectively, whereas women in all studies from LAC resided in urban or semi-urban areas. Approximately 75% of studies recruited participants through facilities including hospitals and health centres, but community- and census-based recruitments were also common in studies from Asia. Women in ~80% of all studies were in their second or
third trimester; women in the rest of the studies were interviewed in any trimester, but the proportions of women in the first trimester were not high. In terms of dietary collection methodology, ~45% of studies used a 24h dietary recall, 28% of studies used an FFQ, 10% of studies used both, 10% of studies used a food diary/diet record/dietary history, and the rest or 7% of studies used a weighed food record.

Demographic and anthropometric characteristics of study populations

The studies varied in the detail provided about the characteristics of the women studied. Therefore, to describe the studies, we calculated selected maternal characteristics based on the data provided (Table 1). The mean (sd) maternal age was 25·3 (1·8) years and it was comparable across regions. The mean (sd) gestational age, height and BMI at recruitment were 26·7 (5·9) weeks, 155·5 (3·9) cm and 23·6 (2·3) kg/m². Gestational age was slightly lower in LAC than Asia and Africa, and BMI was lower in Asia than Africa and LAC. The mean prevalence (95% CI) of primiparity was 45·8 (95% CI 34·8, 56·8) %, and it varied by region.

**Energy/macronutrient intakes**

The median (25th, 75th percentiles) energy intake was 8·6 (7·5, 9·8) MJ/d or 2055 (1779, 2342) kcal/d, and the median (25th, 75th percentiles) macronutrient intakes were 63·0 (52·3, 73·2) g/d for protein, 54·0 (38·9, 63·0) g/d for fat and 323 (274, 369) g/d for carbohydrate. Energy and macronutrient intakes of women in LAC were generally higher than those of women in Africa and Asia (Figs 2 and 3).

**Table 1** Characteristics of the pregnant women studied by region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal characteristic</th>
<th>Africa</th>
<th>Asia</th>
<th>LAC</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of studies</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>25·5</td>
<td>25·5</td>
<td>24·7</td>
<td>25·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sd</td>
<td>1·9</td>
<td>1·9</td>
<td>1·9</td>
<td>1·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>25·4</td>
<td>25·6</td>
<td>24·9</td>
<td>25·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th percentile</td>
<td>24·6</td>
<td>24·6</td>
<td>23·0</td>
<td>24·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th percentile</td>
<td>27·8</td>
<td>27·0</td>
<td>26·2</td>
<td>26·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of studies</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>27·4</td>
<td>29·8</td>
<td>23·8</td>
<td>26·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sd</td>
<td>4·9</td>
<td>6·3</td>
<td>5·7</td>
<td>5·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>26·0</td>
<td>30·2</td>
<td>24·2</td>
<td>25·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th percentile</td>
<td>23·8</td>
<td>25·0</td>
<td>18·6</td>
<td>23·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th percentile</td>
<td>31·0</td>
<td>31·6</td>
<td>28·0</td>
<td>31·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of studies</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>24·9</td>
<td>22·2</td>
<td>24·0</td>
<td>23·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sd</td>
<td>2·0</td>
<td>2·4</td>
<td>1·0</td>
<td>2·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>25·0</td>
<td>21·8</td>
<td>24·3</td>
<td>24·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th percentile</td>
<td>24·9</td>
<td>20·9</td>
<td>24·2</td>
<td>21·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th percentile</td>
<td>25·9</td>
<td>23·4</td>
<td>25·7</td>
<td>25·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of studies</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>59·9</td>
<td>51·5</td>
<td>63·2</td>
<td>54·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sd</td>
<td>7·2</td>
<td>6·1</td>
<td>3·7</td>
<td>7·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>60·0</td>
<td>51·6</td>
<td>63·2</td>
<td>52·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th percentile</td>
<td>53·3</td>
<td>47·0</td>
<td>60·5</td>
<td>50·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th percentile</td>
<td>66·7</td>
<td>54·8</td>
<td>65·8</td>
<td>60·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of studies</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>157·0</td>
<td>155·3</td>
<td>153·9</td>
<td>155·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sd</td>
<td>3·0</td>
<td>4·3</td>
<td>2·3</td>
<td>3·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>155·3</td>
<td>155·4</td>
<td>150·0</td>
<td>155·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th percentile</td>
<td>154·8</td>
<td>151·9</td>
<td>151·3</td>
<td>152·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th percentile</td>
<td>160·0</td>
<td>158·9</td>
<td>155·4</td>
<td>158·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of studies</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primiparous</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>30·0</td>
<td>44·8</td>
<td>76·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 % CI</td>
<td>7·8, 51·3</td>
<td>34·5, 55·0</td>
<td>17·6, 134·8</td>
<td>34·8, 56·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study setting (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of studies</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>40·0</td>
<td>51·7</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>35·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban (urban slum)</td>
<td>26·7</td>
<td>37·9</td>
<td>100·0</td>
<td>50·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural and suburban</td>
<td>33·3</td>
<td>10·3</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>13·6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LAC refers to Mexico, the Caribbean and Central/South America.
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For the contributions of macronutrients to total energy intakes, there was a strong negative relationship between the percentages of energy from fat and carbohydrate across regions (Fig. 4). Compared with the WHO/FAO population goals for nutrient intake (28), only fourteen studies out of twenty-five studies were within the recommended ranges. Studies from Asia and Africa were relatively more likely to be out of the recommended ranges (as a percentage of energy), which are 10–15 % for protein, 15–30 % for fat and 55–75 % for carbohydrate. In eight out of twenty-five studies, fat intakes as a percentage of energy did not reach 20 %, which is the lower limit of that recommended by the FAO/WHO for women of childbearing age(17).

**Micronutrient intakes**

Estimated mean/median micronutrient intakes were plotted by country/region and compared with the EAR (Figs 5 to 7). Vitamin A intakes of all studies conducted in LAC were above the EAR (Fig. 5a), whereas approximately half of the studies in Asia and more than half of the studies in Africa reported intakes below the EAR. High consumption of vitamin A was reported in the studies from Kenya and Thailand where provitamin A-rich green vegetables and fruit are commonly consumed(30–32). In most studies, vitamin C intakes were considerably above the EAR (Fig. 5b), except for Burkina Faso and Ethiopia where dietary assessments were conducted in the dry and rainy seasons, respectively(27,33). Among selected B vitamins,
Folate intakes were most frequently below the EAR (Fig. 6a to d). The range of folate intake was somewhat higher in Africa than in Asia and LAC; however, none of the reported intakes met the EAR. Riboflavin intakes were relatively higher in LAC than the other regions with no studies reporting intakes below the EAR. With respect to mineral intakes, the Fe intakes reported in all studies were substantially below the EAR (Fig. 7a). In most studies, Zn intakes were also below the recommendation, except for the studies from China and Burkina Faso. Ca intakes reported in most studies were below or close to the EAR and consistent across regions except for one study conducted in India, where milk was reported to be widely consumed by pregnant women (Fig. 7c).

Food consumption by food groups and meal pattern

Africa

The reported usual dietary intake of pregnant women in Africa was predominantly plant based. Typical meals included maize porridge, brown or corn bread with small amounts of vegetables and animal foods. Maize was the most common cereal, followed by millet, wheat, rice, and teff. The grain/cereal food group provided ~59%, 78% and 81% of energy intake in Ethiopia, Malawi, and Kenya, respectively. It was the primary source of protein in the studies in Ethiopia, Malawi, and Kenya; of Fe in the studies in Ethiopia, Malawi, Kenya, Burkina Faso and the Seychelles; and of Zn in Ethiopia, Malawi, Kenya,
Burkina Faso, the Seychelles and Egypt\(^{(27,30,38–41)}\). Animal foods were a good secondary source of Zn in the studies from the Seychelles, Burkina Faso, Egypt and Malawi\(^{(33,39–41)}\), but only small amounts were consumed by women in most studies, except in the Seychelles study\(^{(39)}\). Green leafy vegetables and other vegetables were secondary food sources of Fe in the studies from the Seychelles and Burkina Faso, following the grain/cereal group\(^{(33,39)}\). Women in the study from Ethiopia had relatively high Fe intakes compared with women in other studies from Africa, because of their high consumption of enset \((Enset ventricosum)\)^\(^{(27)}\). Milk and dairy products were not widely consumed in most countries. Pulse and tuber groups were regularly consumed, but none were identified as good contributors to nutrient intakes. Overall, green leafy vegetables and fruits were occasionally eaten, but intakes varied greatly across studies. Beverages such as coffee and sweetened tea were widely and frequently consumed in the Morocco, Ethiopia and South Africa studies\(^{(27,42–44)}\).

**Asia**

The typical dietary intake of pregnant women varied considerably across the countries of the region. Dietary patterns in India were predominantly cereal based. Typical meals consisted of rice, flat breads such as roti and naan, with small amounts of vegetables or meat. Potatoes were the main tuber or root consumed\(^{(45,46)}\). Consumption of dairy products differed considerably by study: more than 500 g of milk and dairy products were consumed.

---

**Fig. 4** Contributions of macronutrients to total energy intakes of pregnant women by region: ●, protein as a percentage of total energy intake; ▲, fat as a percentage of total energy intake; ◆, carbohydrate as a percentage of total energy intake; LAC refers to Mexico, the Caribbean and Central/South America; numbers in square brackets represent reference numbers. Percentages of total energy intake of studies in the present review are compared with the ranges of recommended intake (represented by vertical reference lines; 10–15% of total energy for protein, 15–30% of total energy for fat and 55–75% of total energy for carbohydrate) as defined by WHO/FAO\(^{(28)}\). Only studies with intake information available for all three macronutrients are shown.
consumed daily by women in Haryana state in north India (37,47), but only 12 g/d were consumed by the Khasi Tribal women in north-east India (45). Fruit and vegetable intakes also differed across studies. Dietary intakes of women in the studies from China were characterized by high intakes of animal foods and pulses, compared with those in the studies from India. Nevertheless, pregnant women in the studies from China consumed most of their energy and protein from plant sources, primarily grains (34,48). The diets of pregnant women in the studies from Thailand were cereal based, with intakes of fruits and green vegetables contributing to vitamin A and vitamin C intakes. However, inadequate intakes of milk and dairy products were common, and were accompanied by insufficient intakes of Ca and thiamin (51,52).

**Latin America and the Caribbean**

The typical meal pattern of pregnant women in the studies from LAC consisted of grains, accompanied by beans, vegetables and animal products. Bread, pasta and rice were consumed daily by most women. The cereal/grain group contributed 50–60% of energy intake in the studies from Peru, Guatemala and Ecuador (49–51), and it was a good source of Zn in the study from Peru and of Ca.
in the study from Guatemala\(^{49,50}\). Tortillas were the main staple in the studies from Mexico and Guatemala and a primary source of energy, protein, Zn and Ca, but contributed to phytate intakes as well\(^{49,52}\). Most of the studies reported a regular consumption of animal products, and these contributed to intakes of Zn and Fe. Beans were also widely consumed by women, but their contributions to Fe, Zn and Ca intakes were not as high as animal products in most studies. Fruits and their juices were commonly consumed by the women as snacks and provided sufficient intakes of vitamin A and vitamin C in Brazil, Ecuador and Colombia\(^{51,53,54}\). In Peru and Ecuador, milk and dairy products were frequently consumed, and were a primary source of riboflavin and Ca\(^{50,51}\). Coffee was also reported to be frequently consumed by women in the studies from Peru, Brazil and Colombia\(^{50,54,55}\).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, the current synthesis presents the most comprehensive report to date on the macro- and micronutrient intakes as well as food consumption patterns of pregnant women in low- and middle-income countries. Our results show that the distributions of
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(a) Thiamin, (b) riboflavin, (c) niacin and (d) folate intakes of pregnant women by region: ●, mean values; ■, median values; ○, folic acid (µg); LAC refers to Mexico, the Caribbean and Central/South America; numbers in square brackets represent reference numbers. Estimated mean or median thiamin, riboflavin, niacin and folate intakes of studies in the present review are compared with the Estimated Average Requirement (represented by vertical lines; 1.2 mg for thiamin and riboflavin, 14 mg NE (niacin equivalents) for niacin and 480 µg DFE (dietary folate equivalents) for folate)\(^{24}\).
energy, protein, fat and carbohydrate intakes were approximately higher in the diet of pregnant women in LAC than in Africa and Asia. However, we cannot rule out that this result may reflect an over-representation of urban study populations in the LAC studies. There was less variability across regions in protein intake than in carbohydrate and fat intakes, leading to a strong inverse association when considered as percentage of total energy intake. Of selected vitamins and minerals, folate and Fe intakes were most frequently below the EAR in some studies may be biased due to seasonality, which would affect intakes of vitamins A and C in particular. Vitamin C intakes were most frequently above the EAR; we speculate that this might be due to relatively constant consumption of nutrient-rich foods, such as cabbage, kale, peas and tomatoes, which are important sources of vitamin C. The low Ca intakes seen in the present review are consistent with the previously published literature. A recent review of randomized trials concluded that
Ca supplementation reduces the risk of pre-eclampsia mainly in women with low Ca intakes\(^{(61)}\). Achieving adequate dietary Ca intakes before and in early pregnancy may therefore be important in preventing the underlying pathologies of pre-eclampsia, which together with eclampsia are major causes of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality\(^{(61)}\). This underscores the critical need to emphasize the consumption of Ca-rich foods such as milk and dairy products for pregnant women as well as those of childbearing age. Zn is thought to influence fetal growth, duration of gestation and early neonatal survival\(^{(62)}\). In the present review Zn intakes were below the EAR, except for the studies from China where the diets typically included a high proportion of animal foods and one study from Burkina Faso, where the diets were characterized by consumption of cereal/grains and animal products. With respect to Fe, inadequate Fe intake was more apparent now than in the previous review, mainly because of the higher EAR cut-off \((40 \, \text{mg/d})\)\(^{(59)}\). This higher EAR cut-off reflects the increased requirement for Fe during pregnancy for maternal physiological adaptation and optimal fetal growth in developing countries, where bioavailability of Fe is usually low\(^{(159)}\). Fe deficiency is the most common cause of anaemia and Fe-deficiency anaemia during pregnancy is a risk factor for maternal mortality, preterm birth and poor infant/child development in underprivileged areas\(^{(56)}\).

Macronutrient and micronutrient intakes of women during pregnancy in developing countries differ from those of developed countries. Compared with studies of dietary intake of pregnant women living in developed countries including Denmark, Norway, the UK, Portugal, Greece, the USA and Australia\(^{(23,63–70)}\), the average intakes of energy and macronutrients in the present review are lower than those reported for developed countries, except carbohydrate intake. It is noteworthy that even though intakes of micronutrients such as vitamin A, thiamin, riboflavin, vitamin C, Ca and Zn in developed countries were above the EAR, the Fe and folate intakes without supplementation were consistent with those reported from the developing countries in the present review\(^{(65,67,68,71)}\). This supports the universality of inadequate folate and Fe intakes among pregnant women\(^{(72)}\) and the difficulties in meeting increased folate and Fe requirements during pregnancy with food sources.

There are limitations to this compilation worth noting. The results of the present review cannot be generalized across the entire population of pregnant women in low- and middle-income countries, because individual study populations included in the review were not nationally representative. Moreover, multiple studies from the same country might unduly influence the overall regional nutrient intakes. Because the review did not restrict study design, recruitment methods, dietary assessment methods employed and population characteristics, there were likely high variations in the internal validity of each study, which would consequently affect the overall validity of the review. Intakes of energy, vitamin A and vitamin C are known to be influenced by season (e.g. dry/rainy or harvest/pre-harvest seasons), and thus dietary assessments may not have been repeated sufficiently to capture usual (long-term) intakes\(^{(41)}\). A lack of country-specific food composition tables for some of the studies led researchers to employ tables from other countries, which could lead to the introduction of some inaccuracies. And lastly, some studies reported mean values for intake distributions that are non-normally distributed.

We also faced challenges in utilizing information. Among macronutrient intakes, fat and carbohydrate were less frequently reported than protein across regions, making it difficult to estimate the distributions of macronutrient consumption (Figs 2 and 3). In addition, the analysis relied on frequently reported micronutrients, and this was partially due to relatively fewer reported nutrients in LAC studies compared with studies in Africa and Asia. Finally, a variety of ways of reporting food consumption made it difficult to compile information: studies from Africa often reported food sources of specific nutrients, whereas studies from Asia reported the usual amount of food consumed \((\text{g/d})\).

Despite these limitations, the present review provides a snapshot of dietary intakes of pregnant women living in developing countries. It is evident that there is undernutrition in some areas in Africa and Asia. Energy and macronutrients intakes in LAC were higher than in Africa and Asia, but still a substantial number of pregnant women may not meet their requirements for micronutrients in the LAC region. The characteristics of maternal dietary intakes in developing countries are expected to change with socioeconomic changes and likely move to being characterized by higher and perhaps excess energy intakes with few gains in the intakes of key micronutrients. To improve poor dietary intakes of pregnant women in developing countries, population-level sustainable and globally standardized dietary counseling is essential. The findings of relatively adequate intakes of Fe and vitamin A in studies from Ethiopia and Kenya suggest access to and consumption of local foods which are rich sources of these nutrients\(^{(27,30)}\). Only a small number of studies reported that subjects took micronutrient supplements and even in these settings the proportion of supplement users was low\(^{(30,42,51,54,73–79)}\). This underscores the need for greater access to and promotion of dietary counseling and antenatal Fe–folic acid or multiple micronutrient supplementations during pregnancy to combat maternal micronutrient deficiencies in developing countries.

**Conclusions**

The present review demonstrates commonalities in the dietary intakes of pregnant women in developing countries,
in terms of unbalanced macronutrient profiles and multiple micronutrient deficiencies. Multidimensional and systematic public health efforts are needed and should be implemented to improve the nutrition of pregnant women in developing countries.
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