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Abstract

We solve the Diophantine equation $Y^2 = X^3 + k$ for all nonzero integers $k$ with $|k| \leq 10^7$. Our approach uses a classical connection between these equations and cubic Thue equations. The latter can be treated algorithmically via lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms in conjunction with lattice-basis reduction.

1. Introduction

If $k$ is a nonzero integer, then the equation

$$Y^2 = X^3 + k$$

(1.1)

defines an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Such Diophantine equations have a long history, dating back (at least) to work of Bachet in the seventeenth century, and are nowadays termed Mordell equations, honouring the substantial contributions of Mordell to their study. Indeed, the statement that, for a given $k \neq 0$, equation (1.1) has at most finitely many integral solutions is implicit in work of Mordell [30] (via application of a result of Thue [35]), and explicitly stated in [31].

Historically, the earliest approaches to equation (1.1) for certain special values of $k$ appealed to simple local arguments; references to such work may be found in Dickson [11]. More generally, working in either $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{k})$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{k})$, one is led to consider a finite number of Thue equations of the shape $F(x,y) = m$, where the $m$ are nonzero integers and the $F$ are, respectively, binary cubic or quartic forms with rational integer coefficients. Via classical arguments of Lagrange (see, for example, [11, p. 673]), these in turn correspond to a finite (though typically larger) collection of Thue equations of the shape $G(x,y) = 1$. Here, again, the $G$ are binary cubic or quartic forms with integer coefficients. In the case where $k$ is positive, one encounters cubic forms of negative discriminant which may typically be treated rather easily via Skolem’s $p$-adic method (as the corresponding cubic fields have a single fundamental unit). For negative values of $k$, one is led to cubic or quartic fields with a pair of fundamental units, which may sometimes be treated by similar, if rather more complicated, methods; see, for example, [15, 20, 28].

There are alternative approaches for finding the integral points on a given model of an elliptic curve. The most commonly used currently proceeds via appeal to lower bounds for linear forms in elliptic logarithms, the idea for which dates back to work of Lang [27] and Zagier [38] (though the bounds required to make such arguments explicit are found in work of David and of Hirata-Kohno; see, for example, [6]). Using these bounds, Gebel et al. [16], Smart [33] and Stroeker and Tzanakis [34] obtained, independently, a ‘practical’ method to find integral points on elliptic curves. Applying this method, in 1998, Gebel et al. [17] solved equation (1.1) for all integers $|k| < 10^4$ and partially extended the computation to $|k| < 10^5$. 
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As a byproduct of their calculation, they obtained a variety of interesting information about
the corresponding elliptic curves, such as their ranks, generators of their Mordell–Weil groups,
and information on their Tate–Shafarevich groups.

The only obvious disadvantage of this approach is its dependence upon knowledge of the
Mordell–Weil basis over Q of the given Mordell curve (indeed, it is this dependence that ensures,
with current technology at least, that this method is not, strictly speaking, algorithmic). For
curves of large rank, in practical terms, this means that the method cannot be guaranteed to
solve equation (1.1).

Our goal in this paper is to present (and demonstrate the results of) a practical algorithm
for solving Mordell equations with values of k in a somewhat larger range. At its heart are
lower bounds for linear forms in complex logarithms, stemming from the work of Baker [1].
These were first applied in the context of explicitly solving equation (1.1), for fixed k, by
Ellison et al. [13]. To handle values of k in a relatively large range, we will appeal to classical
invariant theory and, in particular, to the reduction theory of binary cubic forms (where we
have available very accessible algorithmic work of Belabas [2], Belabas and Cohen [3] and
Cremona [5]). This approach has previously been outlined by Delone and Fadeev (see [10,
§ 78]) and Mordell (see, for example, [32]: its origins lie in [29]). We use it to solve Mordell’s
equation (1.1) for all k with 0 < |k| ≤ 10^7. We should emphasize that our algorithm does
not provide a priori information on, say, the ranks of the corresponding elliptic curves, though
values of k for which (1.1) has many solutions necessarily (as long as k is sixth power free)
provide curves with at least moderately large rank (see [18]).

It is worth noting that an approach to this problem along very similar lines can be found
in the thesis of Wildanger [37], where a further reduction to index-form equations is used
to solve the cases remaining from [17] of equation (1.1) with |k| ≤ 10^5. Further refinements
of this approach by Jätschmann [24] and by Fieker et al. [14], utilizing ideas from class
field theory, make this a feasible method for solving (1.1) for fixed k as large as 10^{15} or so.
By way of comparison, for fixed values of k, our approach requires a search for binary cubic
forms with a four-dimensional search space of size of order |k|^{3/4} (without employing any local
considerations); to treat all values of |k| ≤ K, the corresponding search space has order |K|.

We proceed as follows. In § 2 we discuss the precise correspondence that exists between
integer solutions to (1.1) and integer solutions to cubic Thue equations of the shape F(x, y) = 1,
for certain binary cubic forms of discriminant −108k. In § 3 we indicate a method to choose
representatives from equivalence classes of forms of a given discriminant. Section 4 contains a
brief discussion of our computation, while § 5 is devoted to presenting a summary of our data,
including information on both the number of solutions and their heights.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we begin by outlining a correspondence between integer solutions to the
equation Y^2 = X^3 + k and solutions to certain cubic Thue equations of the form F(x, y) = 1,
where F is a binary cubic form of discriminant −108k. As noted earlier, this approach is very
classical. To make it computationally efficient, however, there are a number of details that we
must treat rather carefully.

Let us suppose that a, b, c and d are integers, and consider the binary cubic form

\[ F(x, y) = ax^3 + 3bx^2y + 3cxy^2 + dy^3, \]

(2.1)

with discriminant

\[ D = D_F = -27(a^2d^2 - 6abcd - 3b^2c^2 + 4ac^3 + 4b^3d). \]

It is important to observe (as a short calculation reveals) that the set of forms of the shape (2.1)
is closed within the larger set of binary cubic forms in Z[x, y], under the action of both \( SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \)
and \(GL_2(\mathbb{Z})\). To such a form we associate covariants, namely the Hessian \(H = H_F(x, y)\) given by
\[
H = H_F(x, y) = -\frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^2} - \left( \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x \partial y} \right)^2 \right)
\]
and the Jacobian determinant of \(F\) and \(H\), a cubic form \(G = G_F\) defined as
\[
G = G_F(x, y) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}.
\]
Note that, explicitly, we have
\[
H/9 = (b^2 - ac)x^2 + (bc - ad)xy + (c^2 - bd)y^2
\]
and
\[
G/27 = a_1 x^3 + 3b_1 x^2 y + 3c_1 xy^2 + d_1 y^3,
\]
where
\[
a_1 = -a^2 d + 3abc - 2b^3, \quad b_1 = -b^2 c - abd + 2ac^2, \quad c_1 = bc^2 - 2b^2 d + acd
\]
and \(d_1 = -3bcd + 2c^3 + ad^2\).

Crucially for our arguments, these covariants satisfy the syzygy
\[
4H(x, y)^3 = G(x, y)^2 + 27DF(x, y)^2.
\]
Defining \(D_1 = D/27\), \(H_1 = H/9\) and \(G_1 = G/27\), we thus have
\[
4H_1(x, y)^3 = G_1(x, y)^2 + D_1F(x, y)^2.
\]
If \((x_0, y_0)\) satisfies the equation \(F(x_0, y_0) = 1\) and \(D_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}\) (i.e. if \(ad \equiv bc \pmod{2}\)), then necessarily \(G_1(x_0, y_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\). We may therefore conclude that \(Y^2 = X^3 + k\), where \(X = H_1(x_0, y_0), Y = G_1(x_0, y_0)/2\) and \(k = -D_1/4 = -D/108\).

It follows that, to a given triple \((F, x_0, y_0)\), where \(F\) is a cubic form as in (2.1) with discriminant \(-108k\), and \(x_0, y_0\) are integers for which \(F(x_0, y_0) = 1\), we can associate an integral point on the Mordell curve \(Y^2 = X^3 + k\).

Conversely, suppose, for a given integer \(k\), that \((X, Y)\) satisfies equation (1.1). To the pair \((X, Y)\) we associate the cubic form
\[
F(x, y) = x^3 - 3Xxy^2 + 2Yy^3.
\]
Such a form \(F\) is of the shape (2.1), with discriminant
\[
D_F = -108Y^2 + 108X^3 = -108k
\]
and covariants satisfying
\[
X = \frac{G_1(1, 0)}{2} = \frac{G(1, 0)}{54} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = H_1(1, 0) = \frac{H(1, 0)}{9}.
\]

In summary, there exists a correspondence between the set of integral solutions
\[
S_k = \{(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_{N_k}, Y_{N_k})\}
\]
to the Mordell equation \(Y^2 = X^3 + k\) and the set \(T_k\) of triples \((F, x, y)\), where each \(F\) is a binary cubic form of the shape (2.1), with discriminant \(-108k\), and the integers \(x\) and \(y\) satisfy \(F(x, y) = 1\). Note that the forms \(F\) under consideration here need not be irreducible.
In the remainder of this section we will prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** There is a bijection between $T_k$, under SL$_2(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence, and the set $S_k$.

We begin by demonstrating the following pair of lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $k$ be a nonzero integer and suppose that $F_1$ and $F_2$ are SL$_2(\mathbb{Z})$-inequivalent binary cubic forms of the shape (2.1), each with discriminant $-108k$, and that $x_1, y_1, x_2$ and $y_2$ are integers such that $(F_1, x_1, y_1)$ and $(F_2, x_2, y_2)$ are in $T_k$. Then the tuples $(F_1, x_1, y_1)$ and $(F_2, x_2, y_2)$ correspond to distinct elements of $S_k$.

**Proof.** Suppose that the tuples $(F_1, x_1, y_1)$ and $(F_2, x_2, y_2)$ are in $T_k$, so that

$$F_1(x_1, y_1) = F_2(x_2, y_2) = 1.$$ 

Since, for each $i$, $x_i$ and $y_i$ are necessarily coprime, we can find integers $m_i$ and $n_i$ such that $m_i x_i - n_i y_i = 1$, for $i = 1, 2$. Writing $\tau_i = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} m_i & n_i \\ x_i & y_i \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, we thus have

$$F_i \circ \tau_i (x, y) = x^3 + 3b_i x^2 y + 3c_i xy^2 + d_i y^3,$$

for integers $b_i, c_i$ and $d_i$, and hence, under the further action of $\gamma_i = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & b_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, we observe that $F_i$ is SL$_2(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalent to

$$x^3 - 3p_i xy^2 + 2q_i y^3,$$

where

$$p_i = \frac{G_{F_1}(x_1, y_1)}{54} \quad \text{and} \quad q_i = \frac{H_{F_1}(x_1, y_1)}{9}.$$ 

If the two tuples correspond to the same element of $S_k$, necessarily

$$G_{F_1}(x_1, y_1) = G_{F_2}(x_2, y_2) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{F_1}(x_1, y_1) = H_{F_2}(x_2, y_2),$$

contradicting our assumption that $F_1$ and $F_2$ are SL$_2(\mathbb{Z})$-inequivalent. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose that $k$ is a nonzero integer, that $F$ is a binary cubic form of the shape (2.1) and discriminant $-108k$, and that $F(x_0, y_0) = F(x_1, y_1) = 1$ where $(x_0, y_0)$ and $(x_1, y_1)$ are distinct pairs of integers. Then the tuples $(F, x_0, y_0)$ and $(F, x_1, y_1)$ correspond to distinct elements of $S_k$.

**Proof.** Via SL$_2(\mathbb{Z})$-action, we may suppose, without loss of generality, that $F(x, y) = x^3 + 3bx^2 y + 3cxy^2 + dy^3$ and that $(x_0, y_0) = (1, 0)$. If the triples $(F, 1, 0)$ and $(F, x_1, y_1)$ correspond to the same element of $S_k$, necessarily

$$G_F(1, 0) = G_F(x_1, y_1) \quad \text{and} \quad H_F(1, 0) = H_F(x_1, y_1),$$

whereby

$$x_1^3 + 3bx_1^2 y_1 + 3c x_1 y_1^2 + dy_1^3 = 1,$$ 

$$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (b^2 - c)x_1^2 + (bc - d)x_1 y_1 + (c^2 - bd)y_1^2 = b^2 - c \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$a_1 x_1^3 + 3b_1 x_1^2 y_1 + 3c_1 x_1 y_1^2 + d_1 y_1^3 = a_1.$$ 

$$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (2.3)$$

It follows that

$$3(ba_1 - b_1)x_1^2 y_1 + 3(ca_1 - c_1)x_1 y_1^2 + (da_1 - d_1)y_1^3 = 0.$$ 

$$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (2.4)$$
Since \((x_1, y_1) \neq (1, 0)\), we have that \(y_1 \neq 0\) and so
\[
3(ba_1 - b_1)x_1^2 + 3(ca_1 - c_1)x_1y_1 + (da_1 - d_1)y_1^2 = 0,
\]
that is,
\[
-3(b^2 - c^2)x_1^2 + 3(b^2 - c)(d - bc)x_1y_1 + (3bcd - b^3d - c^3 - d^2)y_1^2 = 0. \tag{2.5}
\]
If \(b^2 = c\), it follows that
\[
3bcd - b^3d - c^3 - d^2 = -(d - b^3)^2 = 0,
\]
so that \(d = b^3\) and \(F(x, y) = (x + by)^3\), contradicting our assumption that \(D_F \neq 0\). We thus have
\[
(b^2 - c)x_1^2 + (bc - d)x_1y_1 = \frac{(3bcd - b^3d - c^3 - d^2)}{3(b^2 - c)}y_1^2,
\]
and so
\[
\frac{(3bcd - b^3d - c^3 - d^2)}{3(b^2 - c)}y_1^2 = (bd - c^2)y_1^2 + b^2 - c,
\]
that is,
\[
-(d^2 + 6bcd - 4b^3d - 4c^3 + 3b^2c^2)y_1^2 = 3(b^2 - c)^2,
\]
and so
\[
D_Fy_1^2 = 81(b^2 - c)^2.
\]
Since \(D_F = -108k\), it follows that \(k = -3m^2\) for some integer \(m\), where \(2my_1 = b^2 - c\). From (2.5), we thus have
\[
-12m^2x_1^2 + 6(d - bc)mx_1 + 3bcd - b^3d - c^3 - d^2 = 0,
\]
whence, since the left-hand side of this expression is just \(D_F/27 - 12\), we find that \(D_F = 324\). It follows that the triple \((F, 1, 0)\), say, corresponds to an integral solution to the Mordell equation \(Y^2 = X^3 - 3\). Adding 4 to both sides of this equation, however, we observe that necessarily \(X^2 - X + 1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\), contradicting the fact that it divides the sum of two squares \(Y^2 + 4\). The lemma thus follows as stated.

To conclude as desired, we have only to note that, for any \(\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\), covariance implies that \(H_{F \circ \gamma} = H_F \circ \gamma\) and \(G_{F \circ \gamma} = G_F \circ \gamma\), and hence triples
\[
(F, x_0, y_0) \quad \text{and} \quad (F \circ \gamma, \gamma(x_0), \gamma(y_0))
\]
in \(T_k\) necessarily correspond to the same solution to (1.1) in \(S_k\). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1.

**Remark 2.4.** Instead of working with \(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\)-equivalence, we can consider \(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\)-equivalence classes (and, as we shall see in the next section, this equivalence is arguably a more natural one with which to work). Since \(H(x, y)\) and \(G^2(x, y)\) are \(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\)-covariant, if two forms are equivalent under the action of \(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\), but not under \(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\), then we have
\[
H_{F \circ \gamma} = H_F \circ \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad G_{F \circ \gamma} = -G_F \circ \gamma.
\]
It follows that, in order to determine all pairs of integers \((X,Y)\) satisfying equation (1.1), it is sufficient to find a representative for each \(GL_2(\mathbb{Z})\)-equivalence class of forms of shape (2.1) and discriminant \(-108k\); and, for each such form, solve the corresponding Thue equation. A pair of integers \((x_0, y_0)\) for which \(F(x_0, y_0) = 1\) now leads to a pair of solutions \((X, \pm Y)\) to \(Y^2 = X^3 + k\), where

\[
X = H_1(x_0, y_0) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = G_1(x_0, y_0)/2,
\]

at least provided \(G_1(x_0, y_0) \neq 0\).

3. Finding representative forms

As we have demonstrated in the previous section, to solve Mordell’s equation for a given integer \(k\), it suffices to determine a set of representatives for \(SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\)-equivalence classes (or, if we prefer, \(GL_2(\mathbb{Z})\)-equivalence classes) of binary cubic forms of the shape (2.1), with discriminant \(-108k\), and then solve the corresponding Thue equations \(F(x, y) = 1\). In this section we describe how to find distinguished representatives for equivalence classes of cubic forms with a given discriminant. In all cases, the various notions of reduction arise from associating to a given cubic form a particular definite quadratic form – in the case of positive discriminant, the Hessian defined earlier works well. In what follows, we state our definitions of reduction solely in terms of the coefficients of the given cubic form, keeping the role of the associated quadratic form hidden from view.

3.1. Forms of positive discriminant

In the case of positive discriminant forms (i.e. those corresponding to negative values of \(k\)), there is a well-developed classical reduction theory, dating back to work of Hermite [21, 22] and later applied to great effect by Davenport (see, for example, [7–9]). This procedure allows us to determine distinguished reduced elements within each equivalence class of forms. We can, in fact, apply this reduction procedure to both irreducible and reducible forms; initially we will assume the forms we are treating are irreducible, for reasons which will become apparent. We will follow work of Belabas [2] (see also [3, 5]), in essence a modern treatment and refinement of Hermite’s method.

**Definition 3.1.** An irreducible binary integral cubic form

\[ F(x, y) = ax^3 + 3bx^2y + 3cxy^2 + dy^3 \]

of positive discriminant is called reduced if we have:

- \(|bc - ad| \leq b^2 - ac \leq c^2 - bd|,
- \(a > 0, b \geq 0, \) where \(d < 0\) whenever \(b = 0\);
- if \(bc = ad, d < 0\);
- if \(b^2 - ac = bc - ad, b < |a - b|;\) and
- if \(b^2 - ac = c^2 - bd, a \leq |d|\) and \(b < |c|\).

The main value of this notion of reduction is apparent in the following result [2, Corollary 3.3].

**Proposition 3.2.** Any irreducible cubic form of the shape (2.1) with positive discriminant is \(GL_2(\mathbb{Z})\)-equivalent to a unique reduced one.

To determine equivalence classes of reduced cubic forms with bounded discriminant, we will appeal to the following result (immediate from Belabas [2, Lemma 3.5]).
**Lemma 3.3.** Let $K$ be a positive real number and

$$F(x, y) = ax^3 + 3bx^2y + 3cxy^2 + dy^3$$

be a reduced form whose discriminant lies in $(0, K]$. Then we have

$$1 \leq a \leq \frac{2K^{1/4}}{3\sqrt{3}}$$

and

$$0 \leq b \leq \frac{a}{2} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\sqrt{K} - \frac{27a^2}{4}\right)^{1/2}.$$ 

If we denote by $P_2$ the unique positive real solution of the equation

$$-4P_2^3 + (3a + 6b)^2P_2^2 + 27a^2K = 0,$$

then

$$\frac{9b^2 - P_2}{9a} \leq c \leq b - a.$$ 

In practice, to avoid particularly large loops on the coefficients $a, b, c$ and $d$, we will instead employ a slight refinement of this lemma to treat forms with discriminants in the interval $(K_0, K]$ for given positive reals $K_0 < K$. It is easy to check that we have

$$\frac{b^2 - P_2}{3a} \leq c \leq \min\left\{ \frac{b^2 - 27a^2K_0/4}{3a}, b - 3a \right\}.$$ 

To bound $d$, we note that the definition of reduction implies that

$$\frac{(3a + b)c - b^2}{9a} \leq d \leq \frac{(3a - b)c - b^2}{9a}.$$ 

The further assumption that $K_0 < D_F \leq K$ leads us to a quadratic equation in $d$ which we can solve to determine a second interval for $d$. Intersecting these intervals provides us (for values of $K$ that are not too large) with a reasonable search space for $d$.

### 3.2. Forms of negative discriminant

In the case of negative discriminant, we require a different notion of reduction, as the Hessian is no longer a definite form. We will instead, following Belabas [2], appeal to an idea of Berwick and Mathews [4]. We take as our definition of a reduced form an alternative characterization due to Belabas [2, Lemma 4.2].

**Definition 3.4.** An irreducible binary integral cubic form

$$F(x, y) = ax^3 + 3bx^2y + 3cxy^2 + dy^3$$

of negative discriminant is called *reduced* if we have:

- $d^2 - a^2 > 3(bd - ac)$;
- $-(a - 3b)^2 - 3ac < 3(ad - bc) < (a + 3b)^2 + 3ac$;
- $a > 0, b \geq 0$ and $d > 0$ whenever $b = 0$. 

Analogous to Proposition 3.2, we have the following consequence of [2, Lemma 4.3].

**Proposition 3.5.** Any irreducible cubic form of the shape (2.1) with negative discriminant is $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalent to a unique reduced one.

To count the number of reduced cubic forms in this case we appeal to Belabas [2, Lemma 4.4].

**Lemma 3.6.** Let $K$ be a positive real number and

$$F(x, y) = ax^3 + 3bx^2y + 3cxy^2 + dy^3$$

be a reduced form whose discriminant lies in $[-K, 0)$. Then we have

$$1 \leq a \leq \left(\frac{16K}{27}\right)^{1/4},$$

$$0 \leq b \leq \frac{a}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\sqrt{K/3} - \frac{3a^2}{4}\right)^{1/2},$$

$$1 - 3b \leq 3c \leq \left(\frac{K}{4a}\right)^{1/3} + \begin{cases} 
\frac{3b^2}{a} & \text{if } a \geq 2b, \\
3b - 3a/4 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}$$

As in the case of forms of positive discriminant, from a computational viewpoint it is often useful to restrict our attention to forms with discriminant $\Delta$ with $-\Delta \in (K_0, K]$ for given $0 < K_0 < K$. Also as previously, the loop over $d$ is specified by the inequalities defining reduced forms and by the definition of discriminant.

It is worth noting here that a somewhat different notion of reduction for cubic forms of negative discriminant is described in Cremona [5], based on classical work of Julia [26]. Under this definition, one encounters rather shorter loops for the coefficient $a$ – it appears that this leads to a slight improvement in the expected complexity of this approach (though the number of tuples $(a, b, c, d)$ considered is still linear in $K$).

### 3.3. Reducible forms

Suppose, finally, that $F$ is a reducible cubic form of discriminant $-108k$, as in (2.1), for which $F(x_0, y_0) = 1$ for some pair of integers $x_0$ and $y_0$. Then, under $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-action, $F$ is necessarily equivalent to

$$f(x, y) = x(x^2 + 3Bxy + 3Cy^2),$$

for certain integers $B$ and $C$. We thus have

$$D_f = D_F = 27C^2(3B^2 - 4C)$$

(so that necessarily $BC \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$). Almost immediately from (3.2), we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let $K > 0$ be a real number and suppose that $f$ is a cubic form as in (3.1). If $0 < D_f \leq K$ then

$$-\left(\frac{K}{108}\right)^{1/3} \leq C \leq \left(\frac{K}{27}\right)^{1/2}, \quad C \neq 0,$$

and

$$\max\left\{0, \left(\frac{4C + 1}{3}\right)^{1/2}\right\} \leq B \leq \left(\frac{K + 108C^3}{81C^2}\right)^{1/2}.$$
If, on the other hand, \(-K \leq D_f < 0\) then

\[
1 \leq C \leq \left( \frac{K}{27} \right)^{1/2}
\]

and

\[
\max \left\{ 0, \left( \frac{-K + 108C^3}{81C^2} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \leq B \leq \left( \frac{4C - 1}{3} \right)^{1/2}.
\]

One technical detail that remains for us, in the case of reducible forms, is that of identifying \(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\)-equivalent forms. If we have

\[
f_1(x, y) = x(x^2 + 3B_1 xy + 3C_1 y^2)
\]

and

\[
f_2(x, y) = x(x^2 + 3B_2 xy + 3C_2 y^2),
\]

with

\[
f_1 \circ \tau(x, y) = f_2(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(1, 0) = (1, 0),
\]

where \(\tau \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\), then necessarily \(\tau = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)\), for some integer \(n\), so that

\[
B_2 = B_1 + n, \quad C_2 = C_1 + 2B_1 n + n^2 \quad \text{and} \quad 3C_1 n + 3B_1 n^2 + n^3 = 0.
\]

Assuming \(n \neq 0\), it follows that \(f_1(x, 1)\) factors completely over \(\mathbb{Z}[x]\), whereby, in particular, \(C_1 = 3C_0\) for \(C_0 \in \mathbb{Z}\) and \(B_1^2 - 4C_0\) is a perfect square, say \(B_1^2 - 4C_0 = D_0^2\) (where \(D_0 \neq 0\) if we assume that \(D_f \neq 0\)). We may thus write

\[
n = \frac{-3B_1 \pm 3D_0}{2},
\]

whence there are precisely three pairs \((B_2, C_2)\) satisfying (3.3), namely

\[
(B_2, C_2) = (B_1, C_1), \quad \left( \frac{-B_1 + 3D_0}{2}, \frac{3}{2}D_0(D_0 - B_1) \right)
\]

and

\[
\left( \frac{-B_1 - 3D_0}{2}, \frac{3}{2}D_0(D_0 + B_1) \right).
\]

Let us define a notion of reduction for forms of the shape (3.1) as follows.

**Definition 3.8.** A reducible binary integral cubic form

\[
F(x, y) = x(x^2 + 3bxy + 3cy^2)
\]

of nonzero discriminant \(D_F\) is called **reduced** if we have either:

- \(D_F\) is not the square of an integer; or
- \(D_F\) is the square of an integer; and \(b\) and \(c\) are positive.

From the preceding discussion, it follows that such reduced forms are unique in their \(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\)-class. Note that the solutions to the equation

\[
F(x, y) = x(x^2 + 3bxy + 3cy^2) = 1
\]

are precisely those given by \((x, y) = (1, 0)\) and, if \(c\) divides \(b\), \((x, y) = (1, -b/c)\).
4. Running the algorithm

We implement the algorithm implicit in the preceding sections for finding the integral solutions to equations of the shape (1.1) with $|k| \leq K$, for given $K > 0$. The number of cubic Thue equations $F(x, y) = 1$ which we are required to solve is of order $K$. To handle these equations, we appeal to by now well-known arguments of Tzanakis and de Weger [36] (which, as noted previously, are based upon lower bounds for linear forms in complex logarithms, together with lattice basis reduction); these are implemented in several computer algebra packages, including Magma and Pari (Sage). We used the former despite concerns over its reliance on closed-source code, primarily due to its stability for longer runs. The main computational bottleneck in this approach is typically that of computing the fundamental units in the corresponding cubic fields; for computations with $K = 10^7$, we encountered no difficulties with any of the Thue equations arising (in particular, the fundamental units occurring can be certified without reliance upon the generalized Riemann hypothesis). We are unaware of a computational complexity analysis, heuristic or otherwise, of known algorithms for solving Thue equations and hence it is not by any means obvious how timings for this approach should compare to that based upon lower bounds for linear forms in elliptic logarithms, as in [16].

5. Numerical results

The full output for our computation is available at http://www.math.ubc.ca/~bennett/BeGa-data.html, which documents the results of a month-long run on a MacBookPro. Realistically, with sufficient perseverance and suitably many machines, one should be able to readily extend the results described here to something like $K = 10^{10}$. In the remainder of this section, we will briefly summarize our data.

5.1. Number of solutions

In Tables 1–4 we tabulate the number of curves encountered for which equation (1.1) has a given number $N_k$ of integer solutions, with $|k| \leq 10^7$. We include results for all values of $k$, and also those obtained by restricting to sixth power free $k$ (the two most natural restrictions here are, in our opinion, this one and the restriction to solutions with gcd($X, Y$) = 1). In the range under consideration, the maximum number of solutions encountered for positive values of $k$ was 58, corresponding to the case $k = 3470400$. For negative values of $k$, the largest number of solutions we found was 66, for $k = -9754975$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_k$</th>
<th># of curves</th>
<th>$N_k$</th>
<th># of curves</th>
<th>$N_k$</th>
<th># of curves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>8667066</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3890</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2186</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1103303</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1187</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>145142</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>55518</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>13595</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6308</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Number of Mordell curves with $N_k$ integral points for negative values of $k$ with $|k| \leq 10^7$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_k$</th>
<th># of curves</th>
<th>$N_k$</th>
<th># of curves</th>
<th>$N_k$</th>
<th># of curves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9165396</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1351</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>729968</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>67639</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>23531</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7318</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2912</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Number of Mordell curves with $N_k$ integral points for positive $k \leq 10^7$ sixth power free.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_k$</th>
<th># of curves</th>
<th>$N_k$</th>
<th># of curves</th>
<th>$N_k$</th>
<th># of curves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>8545578</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3575</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1067023</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1055</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>139090</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>51721</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12271</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5756</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Number of Mordell curves with $N_k$ integral points for negative $k$, $|k| \leq 10^7$ sixth power free.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_k$</th>
<th># of curves</th>
<th>$N_k$</th>
<th># of curves</th>
<th>$N_k$</th>
<th># of curves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9026739</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1174</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>705268</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>63685</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>21883</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6644</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2561</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding the largest value of $N_k$ known (where, to avoid trivialities, we can, for example, consider only sixth power free $k$), Noam Elkies kindly provided the following example, found in October 2009:

$$k = 509142596247656696242225 = 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 149 \cdot 587 \cdot 15541336441.$$
This value of \( k \) corresponds to an elliptic curve of rank (at least) 12, with (at least) 125 pairs of integral points (i.e. \( N_k \geq 250 \)), with \( X \)-coordinates ranging from \(-79822305 \) to \( 801153865351455 \).

5.2. Number of solutions by rank

From a result of Gross and Silverman \([18] \), if \( k \) is a sixth power free integer, then the number of integral solutions \( N_k \) to equation (1.1) is bounded above by a constant \( N(r) \) that depends only on the Mordell–Weil rank over \( \mathbb{Q} \) of the corresponding elliptic curve (and hence, by an increasing consensus, absolutely bounded). It is easy to show that we have \( N(0) = 6 \), corresponding to \( k = 1 \). For larger ranks, we have that \( N(1) \geq 12 \) (where the only example of a rank 1 curve we know with \( N_k = 12 \) corresponds to \( k = 100 \)), \( N(2) \geq 26 \) (where \( N_{225} = 26 \), \( N(3) \geq 46 \) (with \( N_{1334025} = 46 \)), \( N(4) \geq 56 \) (with \( N_{5472225} = 56 \)), \( N(5) \geq 50 \) (with \( N_{-9257031} = 50 \)) and \( N(6) \geq 66 \) (where \( N_{-9754975} = 66 \)). The techniques of Ingram \([23] \) might enable one to prove that \( N(1) = 12 \).

5.3. Hall’s conjecture and large integral points

Sharp upper bounds for the heights of integer solutions to equation (1.1) are intimately connected to the \( ABC \) conjecture of Masser and Oesterlé. In this particular context, we have the following conjecture of Marshall Hall.

**Conjecture 5.1 (Hall).** Given \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists a positive constant \( C_\epsilon \) so that, if \( k \) is a nonzero integer, then the inequality

\[
|X| < C_\epsilon |k|^{2+\epsilon}
\]

holds for all solutions in integers \((X,Y)\) to equation (1.1).

The original statement of this conjecture, in \([19] \), actually predicts that a like inequality holds for \( \epsilon = 0 \). The current thinking is that such a result is unlikely to be true (though it has not been disproved).

We next list (in Table 5) all the Mordell curves encountered for which there exists a solution \((X,Y)\) to equation (1.1) with corresponding ‘Hall measure’ \( X^{1/2}/|k| \) exceeding 1; in each case we round this measure to the second decimal place (Table 5). In the range under consideration, we found no new examples to supplement those previously known and recorded in Elkies \([12] \) (note that the case with \( k = -852135 \) was omitted from this paper due to a transcription error) and in work of Jiménez Calvo et al. \([25] \).

**Table 5. Hall’s conjecture extrema for \( |k| \leq 10^7 \).**

| \( k \) | \( X \) | \( X^{1/2}/|k| \) | \( k \) | \( X \) | \( X^{1/2}/|k| \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| -1641843 | 5853886516781223 | 46.60 | 1 | 384242766 | 1.41 |
| 1090 | 28187351 | 4.87 | 14668 | 390620082 | 1.34 |
| 17 | 5234 | 4.26 | 14857 | 390620082 | 1.33 |
| 225 | 720114 | 3.77 | -2767769 | 12438517260105 | 1.27 |
| 24 | 8158 | 3.76 | 8569 | 110781386 | 1.23 |
| -307 | 939787 | 3.16 | -5190544 | 35495694227489 | 1.15 |
| -207 | 367806 | 2.93 | -852135 | 952764389446 | 1.15 |
| 28024 | 3790689201 | 2.20 | 11492 | 154319269 | 1.08 |
| 117073 | 65589428378 | 2.19 | 618 | 421351 | 1.05 |
| 4401169 | 53197086958290 | 1.66 | 297 | 93844 | 1.03 |
thus has the feature that its smallest (indeed, only) solution in positive integers is given by
\[ Y^2 = X^3 - 4090263 \]

Our final table (Table 6) lists all the values of \( k \) in the range under consideration for which equation (1.1) has a sufficiently large solution. In particular, by way of example, the equation
\[ (X, Y) = (16544006443618, 67291628068556097113) \]
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