Acute postpartum pulmonary edema in a 23-year-old woman 5 days after cesarean delivery
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Introduction

Pulmonary edema of cardiac origin is a common medical condition that can range in severity from chronic, to subclinical, to acute, with accompanying severe respiratory compromise. Resuscitation is the foremost priority, followed by formulation of a differential diagnosis to address the underlying condition. In a young, previously healthy postpartum patient, the differential must be expanded to include some less prevalent causes, such as peripartum cardiomyopathy and cardiac failure secondary to tocolytics. A clinician needs to be aware of the pertinent physiologic
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differences that accompany pregnancy, such as increased cardiac output, expansion of blood volume, physiologic anemia and decreased systemic vascular resistance. In this case, a postpartum patient presented with acute pulmonary edema and severe respiratory compromise. A discussion of the management and workup of acute respiratory distress in the postpartum patient is included below.

Case report

A 23-year-old white woman with acute dyspnea was 5 days postpartum from her first pregnancy when she arrived by ambulance to the emergency department (ED). Her only previous respiratory complaint was a mild cough the preceding day. She had been discharged from hospital 1 day before presentation, after a 5-day admission post–cesarean delivery that was complicated by postpartum pregnancy-induced hypertension. Her baby had been delivered at 39 weeks and 5 days gestation after 22 hours of labour, which failed to progress to a spontaneous vaginal delivery. The discharge medications included labetalol 200 mg, 3 times daily, and ferrous gluconate. She had no remarkable medical history or significant allergies, and she denied tobacco, alcohol and drug use. She had no family history of cardiac or respiratory disease.

The patient reported a 1-day history of mild coughing that progressed to severe shortness of breath and chest heaviness upon waking from a nap. In the ED she looked pale, unwell and was only able to speak in 1–2 word sentences. She denied visual changes, headache, hand and face swelling or right upper-quadrant pain. Her vital signs included a temperature of 37.7°C, her oxygen saturation was 82% on room air, her heart rate was 132 beats/min, her blood pressure was 160/90 mm Hg and her respiratory rate was 24 breaths/min. Breath sounds were decreased, crackles were present bilaterally at the lung bases, and peripheral edema was noted. The jugular venous pressure could not be evaluated because of body habitus. The rest of the physical examination was unremarkable.

An electrocardiogram revealed sinus tachycardia, and her chest radiograph illustrated bilateral pulmonary edema. Her urinalysis showed trace amounts of intact blood and was negative for glucose, ketones, nitrites, leukocytes and protein. Immediate echocardiography showed normal ventricular function (i.e., the ejection fraction was 65%), trace mitral and tricuspid regurgitation, normal wall motion and no pericardial effusion, clots or shunts. An arterial blood gas test revealed that the pH was 7.42, the partial pressure of carbon dioxide was 39 mm Hg, the partial pressure of oxygen was 43 mm Hg and the bicarbonate was 25 mmol/L on 100% oxygen.

Initial resuscitative measures were instituted in the ED, and included oxygen administration by nonrebreather mask, which increased her oxygen saturation to 94%. Additional measures included bilevel positive airway pressure at 16/5 cm H2O with a fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) of 80%. Intravenous heparin was administered, as a pulmonary embolism could not be ruled out. Nitroglycerin was also started intravenously and titrated up to 140 µg/h. Captopril 25 mg was given sublingually. Furosemide was administered intravenously at a dose of 40 mg. After 2 hours of treatment the patient had diuresed 1600 mL of urine. She showed considerable improvement in her respiratory status and her FiO2 decreased to 70%.

The patient was admitted to the coronary care unit. Further investigations included spiral chest computed tomography, which was negative for pulmonary embolism or air-space disease; normal thyroid-stimulating hormone and parathyroid hormone tests; and normal repeat echocardiography. Her urine was negative for vanillylmandelic acid and catecholamines. Blood cultures were negative.

After 2.5 days, her pulmonary edema was largely resolved and her oxygen saturation was 98% on room air. She had no dyspnea, chest pain, headache or visual changes. Her blood pressure was 153/77 mm Hg despite the administration of metoprolol and lisinopril. The patient continued to improve and was discharged after 7 days. Her discharge medications included metoprolol, amiodipine, hydrochlorothiazide and lisinopril.

Discussion

The differential diagnoses for postpartum dyspnea include the following:

without pulmonary edema
- pulmonary embolism, amniotic fluid embolism, pneumonia, foreign body aspiration, psychogenic dyspnea

with pulmonary edema
- cardiogenic: peripartum cardiomyopathy, preeclampsia-related heart failure, underlying cardiac disease (e.g., valvulopathy), myocardial ischemia and sepsis with poor cardiac output
- noncardiogenic: iatrogenic fluid overload, thyroid disease, tocolytic therapy or medication-related sepsis, acute respiratory distress syndrome

In this case, a number of the aforementioned diagnoses were plausible. However, the results of subsequent investigations appeared to rule out pulmonary embolism, amniotic fluid embolism, pneumonia and sepsis. Ischemic heart disease was ruled out as there was no evidence of abnormal cardiac markers or abnormalities on the echocardiography.
gram. Careful review of the patient’s chart revealed no tocolytic therapy.

Postpartum cardiomyopathy (PPCM) is a rare entity, but a mortality rate of 50% has been suggested.\(^1\) The cause of this form of cardiomyopathy is unknown. It is defined by 4 criteria:\(^1\)

1) absence of an identifiable cause of pulmonary edema
2) development of cardiac failure within the last month of pregnancy or within 5 days of delivery
3) absence of recognizable cardiac disease before the last month of pregnancy
4) left ventricular systolic dysfunction demonstrated on echocardiogram

The actual incidence of the illness varies largely by geographic region, with an incidence of 1 out of 15 000 people in the United States and 1 out of 100 people in Zaira, Nigeria.\(^2,3\) The cause of PPCM remains unknown, but a number of risk factors have been proposed:\(^4\)

- age greater than 30 years
- multiparity
- African descent
- pregnancy with multiple fetuses
- a history of preeclampsia, eclampsia or postpartum hypertension
- a history of maternal cocaine use

Postpartum cardiomyopathy most commonly presents with dyspnea, but other presenting complaints may include cough, orthopnea, paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea and chest pain.\(^1\) Echocardiography should be performed in all patients with suspected PPCM. Echocardiographic findings will demonstrate left ventricular enlargement, mitral and tricuspid regurgitation and possibly a small pericardial effusion.\(^5\) The treatment of preeclampsia- and eclampsia-related pulmonary edema is largely supportive and should include supplemental oxygen and fluid restriction. Definitive treatment is delivery of the fetus when the mother is stabilized, which usually leads to resolution of the symptoms within 48 hours. Diuretics should be administered only in the presence of clinically significant fluid overload. Consideration of actual circulating volume and the possibility of reducing placental perfusion should be kept in mind. Our patient was diagnosed with pregnancy-induced hypertension, but did not meet the case definition of preeclampsia, as she did not have proteinuria (i.e., her 24-hour urine sample was negative) and had no prenatal record of proteinuria.

In one case series, 26 of the 37 patients developed pulmonary edema postpartum (at a mean of 71 h after delivery), and 4 patients died.\(^5\) Older and multigravid patients appeared to be at increased risk of pulmonary edema in this setting. Pulmonary edema was often associated with the dysfunction of other systems. Of the 37 patients, 18 had disseminated intravascular coagulation, 10 had acute renal failure, 6 experienced a hypertensive crisis, 5 had a cardiopulmonary arrest and 2 had cerebral edema.\(^6\)

Amniotic fluid embolism is a rare but potentially fatal outcome of pregnancy. The classic presentation involves the acute onset of severe dyspnea, hypoxemia and hypotension, followed within minutes by cardiac arrest. It usually occurs during labour and delivery,\(^6\) although it has been reported following uterine trauma and abortions. If patients survive, respiratory failure and disseminated intravascular coagulation frequently develop. The patient typically has a sudden deterioration in her condition and may arrest. One study of 46 patients found a dismal maternal mortality rate of 61%, with neurologically intact survival seen in 15% of women.\(^10\) In the same paper, the authors felt that cases of amniotic fluid embolism posed similar characteristics to an anaphylactic reaction, suggesting the actual pathology may be a severe allergic reaction. True confirmation of the diagnosis can be complicated and controversial. Using a Swan–Ganz catheter to obtain fetal squamous cells from the pulmonary artery was formerly felt to be the true gold standard.\(^11,12\) However, the specificity of this finding is unclear, as squamous cells can be
found in the maternal pulmonary circulation in the absence of other clinical findings of amniotic fluid embolism. A report by Vanmaele and colleagues emphasizes the role of pulmonary artery catheterization to diagnose and monitor obstetric patients with respiratory compromise and left ventricular dysfunction. The diagnosis is usually made, however, on the basis of clinical signs and symptoms. In our patient’s case, a Swan–Ganz catheter was not used. However, we feel that the onset 5 days postdelivery, the absence of hypotension, the rapid response to vasodilation therapy and the lack of left ventricular dysfunction on her echocardiogram made the diagnosis of amniotic fluid embolism extremely unlikely.

Iatrogenic fluid overload is another possible cause, and a review of our patient’s labour and delivery record revealed significant fluid administration. Over the course of her 22 hours of labour, she received approximately 5500 mL of normal saline. This corresponds to an average fluid administration of 250 mL/h. If we consider that our patient would have required approximately 125 mL/h for maintenance, plus extra fluid administration for deficits, insensible losses from vomiting during labour and delivery, and surgical losses because of blood loss and evaporative loss (500–1000 mL of blood loss and 6 mL/kg/h of evaporative loss), an estimate of our patient’s fluid requirements would be approximately 3000–4000 mL. We do not have a record of her oral fluid intake during this time period. Sciscione and colleagues reviewed 10 years of pregnancy data to examine pulmonary edema in pregnancy. Of the 20 patients in their study with postpartum pulmonary edema, the most common attributable cause was iatrogenic fluid overload (patients had a positive fluid balance of 6022 mL, standard deviation 3340 mL, in the preceding 48 h before diagnosis). Although a record of true intake or outtake fluid was not kept for this patient, her positive fluid balance is estimated to be somewhat less than seen in our review. We cannot rule out iatrogenic fluid overload as a contributing factor; however, the absence of tocolytic therapy and her presentation 5 days postpartum reduces the likelihood that volume overload was the sole source of her pathology.

Conclusion

The presentation of acute pulmonary edema in a previously healthy young woman who has recently given birth is an uncommon clinical scenario with some potentially fatal complications. Initial resuscitation and investigation should take into consideration multiple causative possibilities. Positive pressure ventilation and symptomatic support will often allow enough time to identify a probable cause.
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