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ABSTRACT
A mentor is a person who takes a special interest in the professional development of a junior colleague and provides guidance and support. Mentoring can be beneficial for students, residents, junior colleagues and researchers and can be very rewarding for the physician who provides this guidance. Although mentoring is a well-recognized topic in academic medicine, relatively little has been written about mentoring in emergency medicine (EM). Consequently, we conducted a literature review on mentoring in EM and present our findings in this paper. We discuss different models of mentoring, factors that foster the development of strong mentorship programs, the responsibilities of mentors and mentees, and issues specific to mentorship of female, minority and research physicians. We also present several case scenarios as a basis for recommendations for teachers and learners in EM.
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INTRODUCTION
A mentor is a person who takes a special interest in the professional development of a junior colleague and provides guidance and support. The mentor may have a number of roles within this professional relationship including advisor, supporter, tutor, employer, sponsor and role model. The key ingredient to a successful mentoring relationship is a genuine commitment from both the mentor and the mentee. Mentoring has been identified as an essential component to career development for academics in general and for medicine in

CASE SCENARIOS
Case scenario 1 — medical student: After working together for a few shifts, a medical student asks a staff physician to be her mentor, as she is interested in pursuing a career in emergency medicine (EM). The physician accepts, but is unsure how to proceed.

Case scenario 2 — emergency resident: A senior EM resident reveals to a staff physician that he is feeling stressed, unhappy and despondent. He has previously considered suicide but is not presently suicidal. What steps should the staff physician take following this disclosure?

Case scenario 3 — colleague: A new faculty member, fresh from residency, asks a midcareer emergency physician for advice on integrating work and personal life. What counsel should be given to this new colleague?

Case scenario 4 — research: A senior resident approaches his EM research director expressing a desire to follow a career path in research. How should the research director proceed from here?
particular. Although mentorship may be perceived as a burden, potential benefits for the mentor include an opportunity to share one’s knowledge and experience, satisfaction and pride in a mentee’s success, a chance to give back to the profession, the gain of a new collaborator, recognition from peers and credit for academic promotion. Teachers and learners in EM recognize the importance of mentorship, but relatively little has been written about mentoring in our specialty. Our objectives for this paper were 2-fold. First, we wished to conduct a review of the literature on mentoring in EM. Second, based on this review as well as on our own experiences, we wished to synthesize recommendations for successful mentoring of students, residents, colleagues and researchers within EM.

METHODS

We conducted a literature review to identify articles pertaining to mentoring specific to EM. We performed 2 independent searches using PubMed (www.pubmed.gov/) and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials databases. Keywords “mentor” and “emergency medicine” were interpreted by the search engine as medical subject headings “Mentors” AND “Emergency Medicine.” A search for these medical subject headings as major topics identified 13 manuscripts for potential inclusion. We reviewed reference lists of included articles and identified 3 additional manuscripts.

Abstracts were reviewed for relevance to mentoring in EM; discrepancies between reviewers were resolved by discussion. Thirteen full-text articles were retrieved for assessment. We then conducted a qualitative review of these 13 articles, which constitutes the basis for discussion and recommendations presented in this paper. Because of the scarcity of EM-specific papers in the medical literature, we have included in this discussion a number of general medical mentoring papers found to be useful.

RESULTS

We retrieved 13 citations from the literature search and reviewed the full-text articles. Eleven articles were from the United States and 2 were from the United Kingdom (Table 1). There were 6 commentaries on mentoring in general, 2 commentaries on mentorship of EM clinician–educators and 1 commentary on mentorship of female academicians. Three articles described surveys of trainees, and 1 article described a distance EM mentorship program. An

DISCUSSION

Importance of mentoring

Ninety to ninety-five percent of medical trainees consistently rate mentoring as important, or express a wish to develop a mentoring relationship. A majority of surveyed residency program directors also believe that mentorship is important to a resident’s career and professional development. Program directors who had experienced mentorship in their own careers were more likely to endorse an active mentorship program for residents. Mentored residents are nearly twice as likely to describe excellent career preparation as their nonmentored peers. In addition, mentorship influences selection of medical specialty, and is a particularly strong factor for physicians who choose an academic career path. Mentoring of medical faculty can have a positive impact. Mentored medical faculty score significantly higher on career satisfaction scales. Mentored faculty are 2.3 times as likely to achieve academic promotion as compared with those without mentors. With respect to retention, junior faculty without mentors are more than twice as likely to leave their organization as compared with mentored faculty. Although mentoring is generally felt to be important, many medical trainees and junior faculty have not identified a mentor. A recent systematic review of 15 studies found mentoring prevalence rates of 19% to 93%; less than 40% of medical students had a mentor. An
overwhelming 98% of academic physicians identify the lack of mentorship as being the first or second most important factor hindering their career progress.20

Models of mentoring

Mentoring can take different forms. Most familiar is individual mentoring, where a protege pairs with one mentor. In a study of internal medicine residencies with formal mentoring programs, 90% chose this individual style of mentoring. Program directors assigned mentors in 50% of programs; others encouraged mentor choice by the resident, or selection with dual input from the program director and trainee.21 Of course, there are individual mentoring relationships that develop spontaneously outside of official residency programs.

Group mentoring is also popular, and involves one mentor meeting simultaneously with many mentees. As mentees may be at different levels of training, the most junior learners also benefit from interaction with their peers.

The newest model takes place by email, and is known as telementoring or distance mentorship. This is particularly helpful to allow continuation of an established mentoring relationship, as in the case where one of the mentor pair moves to a new centre. Challenges of distance mentorship centre around the use of technology and having adequate time for mentor pair communication.11 Mentors describe long-distance mentoring as less demanding but also potentially less personally fulfilling than on-site mentoring.32

Recommendations for effective mentoring

The role of the mentor is to guide the protege and serve as a resource, facilitator and networker (Box 1).1,5,7,11 Good mentors are willing to share their own failures as well as their successes and to provide open, honest feedback to the mentee. It is a myth that the mentor should be the single person with all the answers. In fact, a mentee may need several mentors, each pertaining to a different career aspect.1,12 Mentees also have responsibilities, as listed in Box 1.

There are mentoring issues specific to medical research (Box 1). Mentored junior faculty rate their research preparation and research skills more highly than do faculty without mentors.2,27 Mentees are likely to devote more time to research, are more productive in terms of research grants and publications, and are more likely to complete a thesis.4 Lack of mentorship has been identified as a specific obstacle to completing scholarly work and publication among physicians in diverse medical specialties.

Recommendations for getting started in a mentoring relationship are listed in Box 2. Suggestions for discussion topics related to training, personal life, professional career and future meetings are given in Box 3.

Characteristics of a good mentor have been well

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 1. Responsibilities within a mentoring relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mentor responsibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Treat the mentee with courtesy and respect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Be sensitive to cultural, gender, religious and ethnic differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Limit the number of mentees for whom they assume responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promote the interests of the mentee rather than those of the mentor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Be sensitive to behavioural or physical changes that may indicate mentee stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Facilitate networking (e.g., meetings, conferences, social events)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Offer career advice and write candid letters of recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentee responsibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Conduct self in a mature and ethical manner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Be mindful of mentor time constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Take initiative in asking questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Take responsibility for directing own career</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional research mentor responsibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Impart knowledge about research ethics and the responsible conduct of research (e.g., honesty, accuracy, efficiency, productivity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promote scientific integrity and lead by example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Steer the mentee toward the location of research guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide thoughtful oversight of mentee’s research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hold regular meetings with mentees (e.g., once per month)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Birdi and Goldstein,1 Steneck,1 Raman et al,4 Marks and Goldstein,1 and Ramanan et al.21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 2. Getting started in mentoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Set aside 30 minutes for the first meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Get acquainted (e.g., backgrounds, interests, hobbies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Exchange phone numbers and email addresses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Discuss best modes of communication and times to be reached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Request a copy of the mentee’s curriculum vitae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Define expectations of both mentee and mentor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identify the mentee’s short- and long-term goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identify 3 areas to work on together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Schedule regular meetings (e.g., every 1–2 months)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Birdi and Goldstein1 and Wright and Hedges15
described. In a resident study, good mentors were seen as active listeners, with the ability to clearly identify the protege’s strengths and assist in defining and attaining goals. Among mentored faculty, prized mentors are perceived as being knowledgeable and respected in their field, are able to provide both professional and personal support and place high value on the mentoring relationship. Both resident and faculty groups have observed that effective mentoring requires a certain personal compatibility between the 2 parties. It is important for mentors and mentees to be aware of the potential pitfalls (Box 4).

Women and members of minority groups may find it more difficult to identify potential mentors, and it is important to recognize that a mentor does not need to be of the same gender or minority group as the mentee. Mentoring relationships must be established early on for these physician groups; studies indicate that they have been less likely to achieve major leadership positions or senior academic ranks, even after adjusting for such potential confounders as measures of academic productivity and years of service. Faculty report being relatively isolated and disadvantaged by subtle discrimination; they are not included in the formal and informal mentoring opportunities that are available to their peers. Distance mentoring programs have been effectively used to target underrepresented minority faculty. Many studies of women in medicine identify lack of mentorship as a major obstacle to career advancement. In a survey of medical students, residents and junior faculty at the University of California, 24% of women identified the lack of mentorship as one of the most negative experiences of their career. Mentors of women are advised to consider that many women excel in collaborative academic ventures, rather than traditional individualistic settings.

Faculty development programs have been shown to improve mentoring skills. The most effective mentoring workshops included practical exercises, such as demonstrations, role plays and review of videotaped scenarios. Simulated mentoring encounters, followed by discussion and feedback have been found to be valuable learning tools for mentors.

Leaders are advised to overtly value and reward mentoring rather than allowing it to remain an invisible and only implicitly valuable component of their educational programs. Strong mentoring programs are encouraged by linking mentorship to academic promotion, and by providing mentors with faculty development and protected time. Previous work shows that funded mentors have more mentees than do those without funding. Universities may provide funding for mentoring; in our department, mentors receive academic credit points.

Formal evaluation of the effectiveness and efficiency of specific aspects of mentorship is lacking in the medical literature. There are no validated evaluation tools,
and existing instruments do a poor job of evaluating mentoring programs, perhaps in part because of the imprecise nature of mentoring itself. Two recent reviews suggest that the majority of mentoring programs lack concrete structure or evaluation; however, surveyed participants indicated high levels of satisfaction and interest in the programs.

**Mentoring in EM**

Students considering our specialty may not only lack emergency physician advisors but also receive negative advice from non-EM physicians. Emergency medicine faculty who act as general advisors to medical students have an opportunity to serve as role models, disseminate accurate information about our specialty and refute negative advice from other faculty. Distance mentoring via email is especially useful for students without affiliated EM residency programs. One small survey demonstrated that EM residents are accepting of either midcareer or senior doctors as mentors. Mentorship has been identified as a means to develop professionalism and effective research skills in EM trainees.

Emergency physicians may need to seek advisors in other departments or institutions. Emergency medicine clinician-educators in particular have fewer mentor choices than do researchers, and are often best served by a network of mentors rather than a single advisor.

All mentoring models are represented in Canadian EM. At our university, each EM resident selects an individual mentor with the advice of their program director. University of Ottawa medical students participate in group mentoring. Each group consists of 10 students spanning all 4 years of medical school and 1 faculty member. Our department has offered an informal form of group mentoring for women for over a decade. Female emergency physicians meet quarterly to discuss career- and gender-specific issues; residents and junior faculty gain an opportunity to network with role models and mentors.

Research mentoring is well established at the University of Ottawa. Here, a junior faculty member completes a master of science in epidemiology while being intensively mentored by a senior clinical researcher and epidemiologist. The junior researcher is guided in project management, grant writing and manuscript preparation. This 2-year fellowship may be followed by a 3-year period whereby the new investigator has protected time to develop a research program under the mentorship of a senior investigator.

The Society for Academic Medicine’s Virtual Advisor Program and the Ontario Medical Association Mentoring Program are examples of distance mentoring, whereby medical students considering a career in EM are matched with faculty. Mentors are available to discuss issues by email, including rotation recommendations, clerkships, residency applications and specialized interests within EM, such as pediatrics, medical education and critical care.

**RESOLUTION OF CASE SCENARIOS**

**Case scenario 1 — medical student:** After working together for a few shifts, a medical student asks a staff physician to be her mentor, as she is interested in pursuing a career in EM. The physician accepts, but is unsure how to proceed.

Time should be set aside for the first mentoring meeting. There, the mentor and mentee will discuss their expectations, the short- and long-term goals of the mentoring relationship and plan for regularly scheduled meetings.

**Case scenario 2 — emergency resident:** A senior EM resident reveals to a staff physician that he is feeling stressed, unhappy and despondent. He has previously considered suicide but is not presently suicidal. What steps should the staff physician take following this disclosure?

The staff physician should express concern and offer a safe, supportive environment to the resident. Realizing that this issue is beyond the expertise of an emergency physician, the resident should be referred to wellness programs offered by the university and the Physician Health Network through the Canadian Medical Association. The faculty member should assist the resident in arranging an appointment with a psychiatrist and offer to accompany him to the appointment. The staff physician should also seek the resident’s permission to share this disclosure with the EM residency program director, to permit their involvement and close supervision.

**Case scenario 3 — colleague:** A new faculty member, fresh from residency, asks a midcareer emergency physician for advice on integrating work and personal life. What counsel should be given to this new colleague?

The mentor should share her own successes and failures with the mentee and facilitate networking. The protege should be assisted to identify her professional and personal priorities, and thereby to develop her own career and life path. Regular, perhaps annual, meetings will allow the mentee to review and self-audit her performance in her roles both inside and outside of medicine.
**CONCLUSION**

Mentorship is an important determinant of professional success. Emergency physicians have many opportunities to become involved in this activity, which holds rewards for both mentor and protege. Review of the medical literature reveals few manuscripts specific to mentoring in EM. Keys to success include commitment to the mentoring relationship, an awareness of each party’s responsibilities and avoidance of potential pitfalls. Individual, group and distance mentoring formats have all been found to be valuable. Adaptations of the mentoring process are recommended for female physicians, members of minority groups, researchers and educators. In this article, we have provided an overview of the key components of mentoring in EM. Future research could address the more formal evaluation of mentoring initiatives.
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