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INTRODUCTION

Police are commonly encountered in the emergency department (ED). They support EMS, transport patients, are a source of collateral information for health care professionals, and help provide a safe environment for hospital staff. However, there is a potential for conflict due to the nature of police investigation and the emergency physician’s duty to protect patients’ confidentiality and personal health information.

Although individual privacy legislature varies slightly by province, personal health information can be loosely defined as any identifying information about an individual that relates to their physical or mental health.1-9 Personal health information includes, but is not limited to, an individual’s name, age, medical record number, address, telephone number, and general medical status.1 Any disclosure of patient information to police without consent could potentially violate the patient’s right to privacy of personal health information. Unfortunately, it is not uncommonly the case that patients in the ED for whom the police have an interest are unable or unwilling to provide consent. In such circumstances patient health information can only be legally given to police through an exception to consent, such as if the police obtain a search warrant, court order, or subpoena.

Education for emergency medicine (EM) residents on police-physician exchanges is variable; it is mostly comprised of direct observation of how various staff physicians interact in such scenarios. It is important for EM residents to understand the legislation surrounding these practices to ensure patients’ rights are protected and avoid any potential for litigation.

We conducted an extensive review of the current literature and legislation surrounding two common interactions between emergency physicians and police: the trauma patient and the emotionally disturbed patient. In our experience, these scenarios represent challenging interactions that highlight the dichotomy between the perspective of the police and emergency physicians. Following each of the case vignettes below, we outline how perceptions differ during these scenarios and offer suggestions on how the resulting interactions can be improved.

THE TRAUMA PATIENT

A 23-year-old man is brought to the ED after being stabbed in the chest and left flank. Both EMS and police are present as he is brought into the resuscitation room.

The problem

Trauma patients who are brought in by police can be extremely difficult to manage. They may be agitated due to the circumstances surrounding their injuries, drug or alcohol use, or as a result of a head injury. It is critical for ED staff to obtain an accurate history of events as well as any substance use that may explain the clinical picture; however, obtaining this information can be impeded by police presence. Patients may not be...
forthcoming for fear of criminal prosecution and may perceive the medical team as an extension of the law. Furthermore, police who are present during the resuscitation may find themselves privy to information that is expressed by the patient without knowledge of the police presence.

The police perspective

Early access to information is essential for police to efficiently perform their duties. The nature of any subsequent investigation is frequently determined by the extent of the patient’s injuries. Life-threatening injuries are usually treated as a possible homicide and can result in a different approach to forensics, evidence gathering, and investigative resources. Information on the severity of injuries can also alert police about other parties who may be in imminent danger.

A key component of a police investigation is establishing chain of custody. This requires that every transfer of evidence (e.g., clothing, weapons) from person to person or place to place be documented, thus proving that nobody had access to the evidence or tampered with it and avoiding any potential for a future mistrial. As a result, if police are present in the resuscitation room and can witness clothing being put in a “belongings bag” that is then given to them, they are able to document the chain of custody very easily. If police are not allowed in the resuscitation room, and cannot witness the transfer of evidence, the courts will require someone from the health care team to vouch for its collection.

The physician perspective

The immediate priority is to assess and manage the patient, which includes obtaining an accurate history. This may require physicians to assure the patient that they are not police, and that nothing will be shared with police unless required by law. Herein lies a potential for conflict: physicians are privy to information that could assist a police investigation; however, they are bound by their duty to maintain patient confidentiality.

What the law says

Provincial privacy legislature varies slightly by location and is summarized in Table 1. Across all provinces, physicians must disclose all required patient information when presented with a search warrant, court order, or subpoena (note that the latter only requires a physician to disclose patient information when in court). In general, in the absence of patient consent, some specified patient information may be shared with police if it relates to an ongoing investigation as outlined under the Criminal Code of Canada and is necessary to facilitate an investigation.1-9 In such a case, the minimal information possible can be released (specifically: patient name, next of kin, and medical status described as stable, fair, or critical).

There are exceptions to what has been described above, such as when there is an imminent risk of serious bodily harm or death to an identifiable person or group.10 Reporting of gunshot wounds is mandatory in Ontario, British Columbia, Alberta, Manitoba, Saskatchewan,

---

Table 1. Provincial privacy legislature on disclosure of specified patient information* to police without patient consent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Details of Legislation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>Disclosure permissible but not mandatory for facilitating police investigation as outlined under Criminal Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>Disclosure permissible for facilitating police investigation as outlined under Criminal Code unless patient directly expresses no information to be shared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan</td>
<td>Disclosure permissible but not mandatory for facilitating police investigation as outlined under Criminal Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba</td>
<td>Disclosure permissible for facilitating police investigation as outlined under Criminal Code unless patient directly expresses no information to be shared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>Disclosure permissible but not mandatory for facilitating police investigation as outlined under Criminal Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec</td>
<td>Disclosure permissible but not mandatory for facilitating police investigation as outlined under Criminal Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brunswick</td>
<td>Disclosure permissible but not mandatory for facilitating police investigation as outlined under Criminal Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nova Scotia</td>
<td>Disclosure permissible but not mandatory for facilitating police investigation as outlined under Criminal Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince Edward Island</td>
<td>Current legislation is being drafted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newfoundland and Labrador</td>
<td>Disclosure permissible but not mandatory for facilitating police investigation as outlined under Criminal Code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Specified patient information is defined as patient’s name, next of kin, general medical status (i.e., stable, fair, critical).
Quebec, and Nova Scotia. Furthermore, in British Columbia, Alberta, Manitoba, and Saskatchewan, mandatory reporting also exists for non-self-inflicted stab wounds. Reporting of such injuries is often deemed the responsibility of a hospital administrator and not the emergency physician. The reporting requirements by province in such cases is outlined in Table 2.

Police may overhear information about a patient or view parts of the chart (e.g., a blood alcohol level) simply through being present in the ED. Any information obtained this way by police, in the absence of a search warrant or court order, cannot be acted on or used in court.

How can we improve

An attempt to obtain consent from a patient should be made before disclosure of any information to police; however, if consent is not possible, it is permissible for emergency physicians to aid police by disclosing limited patient information that will help facilitate their investigation. This information should be limited to the patient’s name, next of kin, and medical status, described as stable, fair, or critical. If police request further information, a polite reminder regarding patient confidentiality should suffice. However, if faced with uncooperative or demanding officers, any resulting conflicts should be quickly referred to the appropriate level of hospital administration.

Police may be asked to leave the room while a history is being taken to protect patients’ confidentiality. Requests by police to stay within view of a patient can be accommodated by having officers step outside of the room if there is a glass barrier, or wait in an area far enough removed to allow patient observation while remaining outside of earshot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Gunshot wounds</th>
<th>Stab wounds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brunswick</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nova Scotia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince Edward Island</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newfoundland and Labrador</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following information is to be given to police (as per the CMPA):
- The fact that a patient is being treated or has been treated for a gunshot (or stab) wound
- The patient’s name, if known
- The name and location of the hospital or facility

A 29-year-old man was reported to be yelling aggressively at pedestrians and walking through traffic. He was combative and agitated on scene and is brought into the ED accompanied by two police officers.

The problem

Police are often the first to arrive on scene for individuals experiencing a mental health crisis who are subsequently apprehended and brought to the ED for assessment. The nature of a busy ED means that some patients accompanied by police may wait for many hours before they can be assessed by a physician, and before police officers are released to return to the community. Some EDs lack
the security or mental health personnel to deal with such scenarios, causing police to have extended stays with these patients until they are admitted to an in-patient psychiatric ward. Complicating this further is the fact that patients may be reluctant to disclose pertinent health information, such as recent drug use, when police are present.

**The police perspective**

Police can provide important information, such as the state of the patient on scene, which can be helpful in assessing whether or not criteria exist for an involuntary admission, and gathering information necessarily for the required Form (Emotionally Disturbed Person Information Form). Some patients brought into hospital may be in police custody for a committed crime. Ultimately, reducing waiting time for police in the ED is important for their expeditious return to community work.

**The physician perspective**

ED overcrowding continues to be a challenge, often leading to pressure to manage multiple acutely ill
patients simultaneously. Appropriate assessment of psychiatric patients can take time, especially if an involuntary admission is pursued. Although some hospitals have access to crisis intervention services, these are not available at all hospitals, nor are they typically available around the clock.

**What the law says**

Each provincial Mental Health Act allows police to detain and escort patients to hospital if they believe they may be suffering from a mental illness. No information can be given to police without the patient's consent, apart from scenarios involving the previously stated exceptions, such as an imminent risk of serious bodily harm or death to an identifiable person or group.

**How we can improve**

The Human Services and Justice Coordinating Committee (HSJCC) of Ontario, in conjunction with multiple regional health authorities and local police services, has developed strategies for implementing effective police-ED protocols (Table 3). These strategies have applicability in other provinces, and may serve as templates for similar initiatives elsewhere, as their focus is to improve communication and streamline the process for patients with a mental health crisis who are brought in by police.

Some hospitals have developed an emotionally disturbed person information form, an example of which is provided in Figure 1. These forms are filled out by police based on their observations and information given to them about the patient prior to or during transport to hospital. The form illustrated was developed with input from both local police services and frontline hospital staff, and such resources can be an excellent tool for documenting the mental status of a patient if an involuntary admission is pursued. Such forms can also serve as a tool of handover in situations when police leave the ED before a physician has assessed the patient, or in centres where police do not routinely leave a copy of their report for the physician.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Issues of patient confidentiality with police presence in the ED represent a challenging aspect of emergency medical practice. A review of current provincial legislation indicates that in the absence of a search warrant or a court order, only limited patient information may be disclosed to police without patient consent. Strategies aimed at improving communication between police and ED staff may improve the process for mental health patients who are brought to hospital by police. Emergency physicians must continue to foster a positive working relationship with police, while continuing their primary duty to maintain patient confidentiality.
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