Thoughts provoked by attending the Microscopy Society of America's annual meeting in Cincinnati in August 1993.

I bought my last useful pocket calculator in 1975 and I still use it regularly. Every time I pick it up I know how to use it, since all the functions I need are printed on its buttons, and I expect to be using it when I retire, if I can still get the batteries. My son bought a "more sophisticated" calculator for his A-levels in 1988 and I inherited it shortly thereafter. It lies in my desk drawer but I cannot use it because it offers so much more than I need and there is too much printed on and around each button. I would need to read the manual every time I wanted to use it. On the desktop close by sits my telephone: It has 46 buttons and a 17-page manual and offers an enticing array of facilities. Unfortunately I only need each of these "facilities" about once a month and have never been able to memorize the necessary procedures. As a result all I can do is answer it when it rings and dial out.

Both of these examples illustrate what I call over-sophistication - the would-be user cannot avail himself of the full potential of the instrument because the necessary procedures are not sufficiently self-evident for the occasional user. There is a second, related, problem that some of the over-sophistication is caused because there may well be facilities which are not actually needed. It is not clear whether an instrument without unwanted facilities would necessarily be cheaper but it ought certainly to be simpler.

The point I wish to discuss in this short article is the extent to which these problems also exist in microscopy. Let me start by considering a relatively "simple" microscope, the SEM. The primary reason for using a microscope is to evaluate, qualitatively or quantitatively, the morphology of a specimen and this is still most commonly achieved by producing an image and interpreting it some time later using the (human) eye of experience. At the recent RMS Bank of Scotland lecture in Leeds we heard Mr. M. J. Cookson describe how the best computer-generated 3-D reconstructions are performed in 1993. Between recording each image and entering it into the computer a human operator indicates which features are which. The role of the microscope is only to produce a modest image interpretable by the experienced scientist, and to preserve, in two dimensions, the spatial relationships between its key features. We may ask one further routine thing from a modern SEM: the composition of a selected local region. An analytical facility is helpful to most users but beyond this there are a large number of further possibilities, each of which is attractive to a minority of users, and the main problem lies in their very proliferation: How many individual scanning microscopes need EDX and rocking beam methods and a full range of voltages and backscattered imaging and built-in image analysis and EDX?

My central argument revolves around the question, who are to be the users of the instrument? It is in my belief that, with the exception of instruments primarily used for routine quality control, most SEM time is taken up by occasional users. This ought to determine the design philosophy for a modern instrument, were it not for the countervailing point that most instruments are bought (or specified) by specialist enthusiasts, or instrument freaks as they might be called. As a consequence most installed microscopes fail the Goodhew test, which is Can I, or any other out-of-touch but reasonably knowledgeable microscope sit, sit and produce, unaired and without the manual, good interpretable results? I apply this test to computer software, cameras, cars and calculators as well as to microscopes. Only cars routinely pass, but computer software is getting better. Microscopes, I am afraid, fail every time.

There are three possible approaches to making a microscope which is properly usable by the occasional user. The first is to make the instrument extremely simple and ensure that its (manual) controls correspond to the principles which the microscopist understands. For example, in an SEM the fundamental issue is to bring a circular beam of known convergence angle carrying a specific current to focus on the specimen. Implicit in this is a minimum possible beam diameter, which is easily calculable from the other parameters. The necessary controls should therefore be labelled "convergence angle", "beam current" and "focus" and there should be an additional read-out giving the minimum beam diameter. Subsidiary controls are of course necessary for astigmatism correction and gun alignment but they too should be explicitly labelled.

The second approach is to develop a fully automated system which usually (but not necessarily) operates as a black box and obscures the principles from the user. This solution is superficially very attractive since it appears to offer high quality results to the uneducated user. Such a microscope would need automatic gun alignment and saturation, automatic astigmatism correction, automatic focusing and exposure control, together with automatic diagnosis or specimen/image problems such as charging and semi-automatic KV selection. Most of these features, while available in principle, do not yet work reliably enough to be worth paying for. We are at the level of the current "point and click" camera which boasts auto-focus, auto-exposure and automatic flash. All these work in a limited set of circumstances but are not suited to taking a photograph through a window or maintaining good depth of field. And we must all have laughed (or cried) at useless auto-flash exposures inside cathedrals. Again the only semi-automatic device well-developed enough to be acceptable is the car, in which automation has been strictly limited but is very effective. I have no wish to change gear ever again.

A third approach is being adopted in some recent instruments: The microscope is driven via the graphical user interface of a computer, for example under Windows. This offers - if well done - several key advantages, the most important of which is that the controls offered to the user can be individually configured. Thus in principle one could sit at a very sophisticated microscope and be offered the choice of using it at "novice" level, at "just passed the driving test" level or at "arrogant state-of-the-art microscopist" level. There could even be a special configuration for the "out-of-touch 1960s microscopist". This sounds fine in principle but is let down in all the systems I have seen by the difficulty of designing a universally-understood user interface. The widespread use of icons
does not help - very few are instantly recognizable and each manufacturer seems to feel the need to design theirs to look different. I am a Windows fan but still need, on a microscope, words in addition to icons. Incidentally this facility is available in WordPerfect for Windows™ so I am not alone in thinking that it might be useful.

The ideal user interface then consists of only four or five buttons (each with text plus icon) one of which must be “Switch to Idiot Mode” - I do not know what the icon for this might be, perhaps a dunce’s hat. Even this versatile, individually tailored, idiot-proof interface does not solve the user’s problem since using a mouse only permits one control to be changed at a time, and then only by the relatively non-intuitive dragging of a mouse or the equally clumsy clicking on one of an offered list of possibilities. Knobs which rotate (one in each hand) are, I am afraid, intrinsically faster and easier to use for such purposes as focusing. My patronizing inability to specify the ideal system is mirrored in the vast range of combinations of real knobs, touch screens, keyboards, trackballs and mice offered by commercial SEM manufacturers. The community has clearly not yet settled on the best arrangement. Before it does so, let me offer a further thought. If a great deal of effort is to be put into the user interface (and it should be) why should we not have diagrams on the screen showing us the optical conditions we have selected?

If we now consider the TEM we meet a further set of problems imposed by the intrinsic mechanical complexity of the instrument. TEMs have fewer users but a lot of moving parts, their “accessories” (EDX, EELS, etc.) are themselves complex and they must be designed with a specific unchangeable gap between the objective lens pole pieces. Most TEMs are, in consequence, both a compromise and too complex and unreliable for all their elements to work at the same time. I believe that the solution to over-sophistication in this case is different: TEM is not a “simple” technique and there will be no substitute for considerable knowledge and skill, but we need several simpler microscope columns, each configured to do one job optimally. Unfortunately the microscope (Continued on last Page)
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<thead>
<tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>MT-1</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>MT-2</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>TURBO PUMPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>MT-2C</td>
<td>PLASMA ASHERS</td>
</tr>
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Covers all commonly used imaging methods. Describes their setup and illustrates their application to biological specimens. Includes: choice of imaging methods; specimen preparation; setting up different imaging methods; trouble-shooting; 3-dimensional & video microscopy.

1952: David J. Rawlins (John Innes Institute, Norwich, UK). 143 pages, 5 color photos, 50 halftones, 44 line drawings. $11.00 (plus $6 S&H).

48-1: In Situ Hybridization.

Provides a comprehensive description of in situ hybridization, from background information to detailed methodology and practical applications. Includes: localization of nucleic acid sequences; labeling and labelling methods; hybrid detection and imaging systems; RNA-RNA and DNA-DNA hybridization protocols; trouble-shooting tips.


Theoretical considerations; preparation techniques; enzyme histochemistry methods & methods developed for clinical and experimen
tal pathology.

1992: Cornelius J. F. Van Noorden & Wilma M. Frederikse (Univ. of Amsterdam). 112 pages, 2 half-tone drawings. $27.00 (plus $6 S&H).

32-5: The Role of Microscopy in Semiconductor Fail-
ure Analysis.

Rational and methodology of failure analysis, primary instruments and their applications, specialized instruments and their applica
tions, microscopes of the future & choosing the appropriate microso
tecique technique(s).

1982: D.P. Richards & P.K. Footner (Hinal Research Centre, Midd
dlesex, UK). 111 pages, 73 half-tone, 20 line drawings. $27.00 (plus $6 S&H).

31-7: The Preparation of Thin Sections of Rocks, Minerals, and Ceramics.

Collecting and preparing specimens; initial grinding, mounting and polishing; final grinding; transferring and coating; labelling and storage; special methods, polishing for reflected light microscopy, polished thin sections and ultra thin sections, staining, etching and peels & extraction of heavy mineral suites from sands.

1982: D. W. Humphries (Univ. of Sheffield). 90 pages, 11 halftones, 3 line drawings. $27.00 (plus $6 & S&H).

26-0: Basic Measurement Techniques for Light Mi-
croscope.

Approximation or comparison methods; linear measurements using projection or drawing equipment; linear measurements using scales in the microscope; angular and depth measurement; miscellaneous methods; automated and electronic methods of length measurement.


25-2: An Introduction to Surface Analysis by Electron Spectroscopy.

Basic concepts; electron spectrometer design; the electron spectro
trum; qualitative and quantitative interpretation; compositional depth profiling, applications in materials science, composition of XPS and AES with other analytical techniques.

1990: John F. Watts (Univ. of Surrey). 95 pages, 3 half-tones, 45 line drawings. $23.00 (plus $6 S&H).

24-4: Cryopreparation of Thin Biological Specimens for Electron Microscopy.

Water, cells, and electron microscopy; freezing methods; cryotrimmi
tomy; transfer of cryosections to the TEM for morphological examination and X-ray microanalysis; imaging and analysis of frozen-hydrated specimens in the TEM, vitrified thin films and cryosections; use of ultra-thin cryosections in immunocytochemistry, other low temperature methods & safety considerations.

1985: Normberg Rock (Univ. of Gdansk) & A. John Morgan (Univ. of Wako). 116 pages, 22 half-tones, 22 line drawings. $23.00 (Plus $6 S&H).

20-1: The Operation of Transmission and Scanning Electron Microscopes.

How and why electron microscopes work; the vacuum system; SEM alignment procedures; SEM operating procedures; TEM alignment procedures; TEM operating procedures; scanning techniques in the TEM; SEM and TEM nonmetrology and start-up checklists.

1990: Dawn Chescoe (Univ. of Surrey) & Perker J. Goodhew (Univ. of Liverpool). 92 pages, 15 half-tones, 39 line drawings. $19.00 (plus $6 S&H).

33-3: Introduction to Cryotomegraphy.

Crystals and crystal structures; two-dimensional patterns, lattices, and symmetry; Bravais lattices and crystal systems; crystal symme
try; point groups and crystal structures; external symmetry of crys
tals; describing lattice planes and directions in crystals; Miller indices and zone axis symbols; reciprocal lattice; diffraction of light, X-rays and electrons; evaluation of the reciprocal lattice in diffraction & applications.

1992: C. Hammond (Univ. of Leeds). 144 pages, 19 half-tones, 70 line drawings. $23.00 (plus $6 S&H).

22-B: Autoradiography: A Comprehensive Overview.

Components of autoradiographs, microautoradiography, light micro
copic autoradiography-false substances; light microscopic autora
diography - diffusable substances; autoradiography of receptors; electron microscopic autoradiography - preparative methods; effi
ciency, resolution, quantification of EM autoradiographs; autoradi
graphy in relation to other techniques.


18-X: Colloidol Gold: A New Perspective for Cyto
tical Marking.

Introduction to colloidal gold probes; antibodies for immunomarking; preparation of protein - gold probes; buffers for immunolabelling; fixation for immunomarking, colloidal gold immunomarking tech
iques for electron and light microscopy, multiple labeling tech
iques; controls; quantification; non-microscopical techniques; prob
tems commonly encountered & the future.

1985: Julian E. Beasley (Welkorese Research Lab, Beckenham, UK). 64 pages, 12 half-tones. $17.00 (plus $5 S&H).

17-1: Light-Element Analysis in the Transmission Electron Microscope: WEDEX and EELS.

Windowless energy-dispersive X-ray analysis; WEDEX, quantification and limits; electron energy-loss spectrometry; quantification of EELS data; comparison of two techniques.

1989: P.M. Buxton & Peter J. Goodhew (Univ. of Surrey). 80 pages, 3 half-tones, 42 line drawings. $17.00 (plus $5 S&H).


Dictionary of names, table of SI units used in microscopy; En
glish-German-Spanish-Greek-Italian-French-Brazilian Russian.

1980: Nomenclature Committee of the RMS. 150 pages, 12 line drawings. $17.00 (plus $5 S&H).

12-0: An Introduction to Scanning Acoustic Mi
croscopy.

Principles, practice and theory of scanning acoustic microscopy; the image; elastic properties, anisotropy, thin films and attenuation, cracks and discontinuities; summary and conclusions.


15-4: An Introduction to Immunocytochemistry: Cur
rent Techniques and Problems.

Production of antibodies; requirements for immunocytochemistry; immunofluorescence methods; immunoenzyme methods; modifica
tions of the basic methods; specificity problems and essential con
trols; in vitro methods for testing antibodies and checking antigens, applications of immunocytochemistry, microscopy.

1987: Julia M. Pohl & Susan Van Noorden (Univ. of London). 72 pages, 17 half-tones, 13 line drawings. $17.00 (plus $5 S&H).

10-4: Qualitative Polarized-Light Microscopy.

Basic concepts; characteristics of the polarized light microscope; singly and doubly reflecting media; observations using plane
polarized light, polarization contrast; differential interference contrast; alternative treatment to explain the presence of light and dark fringes with increase in optical difference.

1982: P.C. Robinson (Staffordshire Polytechnic) & Savile Bradley (Univ. of Oxford). 112 pages, 1 color photo, 13 halftones. $27.00 (plus $5 S&H).

07-4: Maintaining and Monitoring the Transmission Electron Microscope. Standard conditions; checking the performance of the microscope; cleaning techniques; alignment; failure finding; routine procedures; the vacuum system; electrical and electronic maintenance; high resolution performance - using the optical diffraclometer.


05-8: Lipid Histochemistry.

Structure, chemical and physical properties of lipids; physiological roles of lipids; applications of lipid histochemistry to pathology; preparation of tissues for lipid histochemistry; control sections; limitations and artefacts, microscopic techniques for tissue lipids & recent advances in lipid histochemistry.

1984: Olga Baylis (High. 54 pages. $17.00 (plus $5 S&H).

09-9: X-ray Microanalysis in Electron Microscopy for Biologists.

X-ray production and detection; qualitative EDS analysis; quantita
tive EDS analysis; thin specimen preparation; applications and some conclusions.


06-6: Histochimical Protein Staining Methods. Structure of proteins; fixation of proteins; protein staining methods.


03-1: Specimen Preparation for Transmission Electron Microscopy of Materials.

The specimen, what we are trying to achieve; initial preparation of sheet or disc; final trimming, replicating, mounting and storing speci
mens & materials and storing problems.

1984: Peter J. Goodhew (Univ. of Surrey). 46 pages, halftones, figures, tables. $17.00 (plus $6 S&H).

19-8: An Introduction to the Optical Microscope.

The microscope and its parts; some basic concepts; light sources and the stage condenser, the objective and the eyepiece, practi
calities of the microscope & glossary.

LIGHT MICROSCOPY
An Electronic Textbook

A completely new training package, combining the ease-of-use of a computer-based tutorial with the in-depth coverage of an electronic book. Recommended as a practical approach to self learning for the novice as well as a useful source of background information for more experienced workers.
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★ High definition images to Super VGA standard.
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column is (and will continue to be) the expensive part of the microscope and therefore it makes little sense to try to save money by driving several columns with one set of electronics. The area we need to work on is the high quantity transfer of specimens between columns. A universal standard for specimen holders would be a start, followed by the development of affordable vacuum transfer devices. Then, probably most difficult of all, we need manufacturers to build relatively straightforward single-purpose microscopes, with the appropriate pole piece gap and only the ancillary equipment needed for a single job. Instead of a top-of-the-range analytical microscope I want five compatible microscopes, one for specimen checking, one for high resolution imaging, one for diffraction, one for EDX and one for EELS. Not only might four of them be fully functional on any given day but as a bonus, four people could be working simultaneously. It is of course implicit that the same area of specimen could be located with seconds in each of the five microscopes. All I ask is that this package should not cost very much more that the alternative super-AEM!

Although I have addressed my comments specifically to SEM and TEM instruments I am fairly sure that much of what I have said applies also to light microscopy. Perhaps someone would like to submit a follow-up article to the editor extending (or contradicting) my views.

The above article has been reprinted from the October 1993 issue of Proceedings, thanks to the courtesy of the Royal Microscopical Society. Microscopy Today will accept follow-up articles extending (or contradicting) Dr. Goodhew's views.
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