Self-consistent spectra from GRMHD simulations with radiative cooling
A link to reality for Sgr A*
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Abstract. Cosmos++ (Anninos et al 2005) is one of the first fully relativistic magneto-hydro-
dynamical (MHD) codes that can self-consistently account for radiative cooling, in the optically
thin regime. As the code combines a total energy conservation formulation with a radiative
cooling function, we have now the possibility to produce spectra energy density from these
simulations and compare them to data. In this paper, we present preliminary results of spectra
calculated using the same cooling functions from 2D Cosmos++ simulations of the accretion
flow around Sgr A*. The simulation parameters were designed to roughly reproduce Sgr A*'s
behavior at very low (10⁻⁸-10⁻⁷ M⊙/yr) accretion rate, but only via spectra can we test that
this has been achieved.

Keywords. Sgr A*, MHD, radiation mechanisms: general, plasmas, radiative transfer, diffusion,
acceleration of particles.

1. Methodology

Given some characteristics like temperature, mass density and magnetic pressure from
the simulation, we can generate broad-band spectra with emission coming from Brems-
strahlung, Synchrotron and Compton effects. These signatures can then be compared to
data (Fig. 1).

Simulation characteristics are time-averaged over the last two stable orbits before gen-
erating a spectrum. We then obtain a steady-state emission spectrum to compare to data.
Five models have been simulated, given these initial conditions:
1. No cooling, no spin, 1-loop magnetic field
2. No cooling, spin = 0.99, 1-loop magnetic field
3. Cooling, spin = 0.99, 1-loop magnetic field
4. No cooling, no spin, 4-loop magnetic field
5. No cooling, spin = 0.99, 4-loop magnetic field

2. Preliminary results

This work is still in development. Further simulations of Sgr A* are ongoing. But from
our first results we can already draw some interesting conclusions:
1. Synchrotron emissions are higher than expected. This divergence between models
and data is due to temperature and magnetic field simulations values, 2 orders of mag-
nitudes higher than typical Sgr A* values.
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Figure 1. Sgr A* data (plus symbol and line) from Melia & Falcke (2001). We show here synchrotron emission from no cooling, no spin, 1-loop magn. field model (cross), from no cooling, spin = 0.99, 1-loop magn. field model (star), cooling, spin = 0.99, 1-loop magn. field model (dot square), no cooling, no spin, 4-loop magn. field model (filled square) and no cooling, no spin, 4-loop magn. field model (dot circle).

2. Bremsstrahlung emission is too faint, as expected, most of the X-rays are dominated by cooler bremsstrahlung emission from the outer radii (e.g. Quataert 2002), a part of the accretion disk which is not simulated presently.

3. We did not discriminate parts of simulation known to be not realistic which results in none-physical emission, e.g. the tail in synchrotron emission might be coming from the base of the “jet”, an area not well handled by the simulation.

4. Turning on cooling routines makes the emission to dramatically drop in frequency range where the accretion disk is radiating.

5. Tuning black hole spin to maximum generates too much magnetic field, hence too much emission.

3. Conclusion

These spectra show Dibi et al. (see proceedings article, this volume) first set of runs are a good start in modeling Sgr A* even though they are generating too much power. We have great expectations in models 1 and 4 and it will be interesting to see how their spectra behave when cooling routines are turned on. Future works also include being able to isolate emission coming from parts of the simulation we know can not be trust. And in general we want to be able to designate regions where originate each part of the emission.
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