Cosmological parameters from the comparison of peculiar velocities with predictions from the 2M++ density field
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Abstract. Using redshifts from the 2M++ redshift compilation, we reconstruct the density of galaxies within 200 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc, and compare the predicted peculiar velocities Tully-Fisher and SNe peculiar velocities. The comparison yields a best-fit value of \( \beta^* \equiv \Omega_m^{0.55}/b^* = 0.431 \pm 0.021 \), suggesting \( \Omega_0^{0.55} \sigma_8^{lin} = 0.401 \pm 0.024 \), in good agreement with other probes. The predicted peculiar velocity of the Local Group from sources within the 2M++ volume is \( 540 \pm 40 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), towards \( l = 268^\circ \pm 4^\circ, b = 38^\circ \pm 6^\circ \), which is misaligned by only 10\(^\circ\) with the Cosmic Microwave Background dipole. To account for sources outside the 2M++ volume, we fit simultaneously for \( \beta^* \) and an external bulk flow in our analysis. The external bulk flow has a velocity of \( 159 \pm 23 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) towards \( l = 304^\circ \pm 11^\circ, b = 6^\circ \pm 13^\circ \).
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1. Introduction

The comparison between density and velocity fields allows one to measure two important cosmological parameters. The first is \( \beta^* \equiv \Omega_m^{0.55}/b^* \), where \( b^* \) is the bias of \( L^* \) galaxies. With a measurement of \( \sigma_8^* \), the \( L^* \) galaxy density fluctuation in an 8 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc sphere, this can be converted into \( \Omega_m^{0.55} \sigma_8^{lin} \). The second parameter is the contribution to the large-scale flow arising from matter beyond the limits of the density field, \( V_{ext} \). This is sensitive to the growth rate and matter power spectrum and on very large scales. In this contribution, we summarize results from Carrick et al. (2015). We refer the reader to that paper for full technical details.

2. 2M++ Density Field

The reconstructed galaxy density field is based on the 2M++ redshift compilation (Lavaux & Hudson 2011), which in turn is based on the 2MRS redshift survey (Huchra et al. 2012), the 6dF galaxy redshift survey (Jones et al. 2009) and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (Abazajian et al. 2009). We correct for selection effects using the usual methods. An iterative method is used to obtain the reconstructed real-space positions of galaxies,
Figure 1. The Supergalactic Plane (SGZ= 0) of the 2M++ luminosity-weighted galaxy density contrast field, reconstructed with $\beta^* = 0.43$ smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of radius 4 $h^{-1}$Mpc. The dashed contour is $\delta_g^* = -0.5$, the bold white contour is $\delta_g^* = 0$, and successive contours thereafter increase from 1 upwards in steps of 3. The Galactic plane runs roughly along the SGY= 0 axis. The Shapley Concentration is located at (SGX, SGY) $\simeq (-125, 75)$ $h^{-1}$Mpc, the Virgo Supercluster directly above the LG, the Hydra-Centaurus Supercluster at (-40, 20) $h^{-1}$Mpc, and the Perseus-Pisces Supercluster is at (40, -30) $h^{-1}$Mpc. (Reproduced from Fig. 4 of “Cosmological parameters from the comparison of peculiar velocities with predictions from the 2M++ density field,” Carrick et al., MNRAS, 450, 317).

after having smoothed the density field with a Gaussian of width 4 $h^{-1}$Mpc. In the Appendices of Carrick et al. (2015), we show via N-body simulations that this method yields unbiased predicted peculiar velocities with a scatter of 140 km s$^{-1}$. Fig. 1 shows the supergalactic plane of the 2M++ galaxy density field.

We find no evidence of a large-scale underdensity within the 2M++, consistent with the results of Böhringer et al. (2015).

3. Comparison with Tully-Fisher and SNe Peculiar Velocity Data

We then compare the predicted peculiar velocities from 2M++ with peculiar velocity data from SFI++ (Springob et al. 2007, Tully-Fisher) and the “First Amendment” supernova sample (Turnbull et al. 2012). We use several methods to make the comparison: a direct method including a correction for inhomogeneous Malmquist bias, and in the case of the TF data, an inverse “VELMOD” method. We find these methods give consistent results, within the uncertainties. The best fitting $\beta^*$ is 0.431 $\pm$ 0.021 with $V_{\text{ext}} = 159 \pm 23$ km s$^{-1}$ towards $l = 304^\circ \pm 11^\circ$, $b = 6^\circ \pm 13^\circ$.

When combined with a measurement of $\sigma_{8,g}^*$, $\beta^*$ can be used to constrain the degenerate parameter combination $f \sigma_8 = \beta^* \sigma_{8,g}^*$. From 2M++, we use counts in cells within radial shells and obtain the value $\sigma_{8,g}^* = 0.99 \pm 0.04$. The product of the growth factor and
non-linear $\sigma_8$ is thus $f\sigma_8 = 0.427 \pm 0.026$. We convert our non-linear value of $\sigma_8$ to a linearized value and obtain the constraint $f\sigma_8,\text{lin} = 0.401 \pm 0.024$.

Our value of $f\sigma_8,\text{lin} = 0.40 \pm 0.02$ is in good agreement with those obtained using the same methodology, such as Turnbull et al. (2012) (0.40 \pm 0.07), Pike & Hudson (2005) (0.44 \pm 0.06). It is, however, in slight tension with the result of Davis et al. (2011) who found 0.31 \pm 0.04. We have also compared our value $f\sigma_8,\text{lin}$ to constraints placed on a degenerate combination of $\Omega_m$ and $\sigma_8$ through independent means. In particular, our value is in excellent agreement with a different peculiar velocity probe, namely measurements of $f(z)\sigma_8(z)$ at different redshifts via redshift space distortions, which yield a best-fit value of $f\sigma_8 = 0.40 \pm 0.02$ (Hudson & Turnbull 2012). Fig. 2 shows a comparison between measurements of $f\sigma_8,\text{lin}$ by several different techniques. There is some tension between some results e.g. Kilbinger et al. (2013) and Planck-SZ (Planck Collaboration et al. 2013) versus Planck CMB temperature (Planck Collaboration et al. 2015). The peculiar velocity result presented here is consistent with all of these values.

4. The Large Scale Velocity Field

The value of $V_{\text{ext}}$ is consistent with previous results on a similar scale (Turnbull et al. 2012), who found $150 \pm 43$ km s$^{-1}$ towards $l = 345^\circ$, $b = 8^\circ$ from a comparison of the A1 SNe with the PSCz reconstruction (Branchini et al. 1999).

It is interesting to compare the predicted bulk flow in a 50 h$^{-1}$ Mpc Gaussian window with observations. The 2M++ velocity model predicts a flow of $227 \pm 25$ km s$^{-1}$ towards $l = 293^\circ$, $b = 14^\circ$, an amplitude consistent with the cosmic variance expected in $\Lambda$CDM. This is smaller than the value of $407 \pm 81$ km s$^{-1}$ towards $l = 287^\circ$, $b = 8^\circ$ found by Watkins et al. (2009), and $292 \pm 27$ km s$^{-1}$ towards $l = 297^\circ$, $b = 7^\circ$ by Hong et al. (2014).
but consistent with the $249 \pm 76 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ towards $l = 319^\circ, b = 7^\circ$ found by Turnbull et al. (2012).

5. Conclusions

By comparing the 2M++ density field with observational peculiar velocity data sets, we obtain a value of $f_{\sigma_8,\text{lin}}$ is consistent with previous measurements from RSD. It lies between the lower values from small-scale probes such as weak gravitational lensing and the slightly higher values predicted by Planck (Planck Collaboration et al. 2015).

The residual bulk flow, i.e. the contribution to the bulk flow due to sources outside the 2M++ volume, $V_{\text{ext}}$, is significantly different from zero, indicating that we have not yet resolved all of the sources of the LG’s motion.

The resulting 2M++ density and peculiar velocity fields obtained from this analysis are made available at cosmicflows.uwaterloo.ca and cosmicflows.iap.fr
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