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Human resources for mental health are a challenge in all countries. In countries rich and poor, there is a big gap between the need for mental health services and the availability of those services. In an unusual way, the barriers to mental healthcare appear to be universal, which is not true of non-psychariatric healthcare. Nonetheless, the World Health Report 2001 and the World Health Organization’s Atlas project have recorded extremely low levels of service in most developing countries (World Health Organization, 2001a,b). The recruitment of consultant psychiatrists from low- and middle-income countries, discussed in the October 2004 issue of International Psychiatry (Ndetei et al., 2004; Jenkins, 2004), raises a number of challenges for both developing and developed countries.

The World Health Report 2003 (World Health Organization, 2003) recognised the importance of human resources:

‘The most critical issue facing health care systems is the shortage of the people who make them work. Although this crisis is greatest in developing countries, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, it affects all nations... Furthermore, all countries are now part of the global marketplace for health professionals, and the effects of the demand-supply imbalance will only increase as trade in health services increases. Accordingly, new models for health workforce strengthening must be developed and evaluated.’

Human resources have been described as the heart of the health system in any country, the most important aspect of healthcare systems and a critical component of health policies (Hongoro & McPake, 2004).

The present article examines the effect of the migration of specialist personnel on a national mental health programme. It addresses three aspects of the issue, using India as an example:

- the reality of mental health services within the country
- the role and responsibility of the Royal College of Psychiatrists in the recruitment of psychiatrists
- the unique opportunities open to developing countries to plan their human resources for mental health

First, however, it is of interest to note the emotive nature of the issue.

Professional reactions to international recruitment

In order to gain a better understanding of professional reactions to the overseas recruitment of mental health professionals, I wrote to a handful of colleagues, seeking their reactions to it. These, as expected, covered a wide spectrum. For example, one senior psychiatrist opined:

‘It is to an extent an unethical and exploitative practice. It amounts to the intellectual property of poor countries going cheap to rich countries as the individuals cannot be blamed for accepting the NHS UK jobs; a country like India, which is so acutely short of psychiatrists, cannot afford to lose its highly trained manpower, leaving its own people in desperation.’

At the other extreme was the opinion of another professional: ‘in this age of economic globalisation, goods move to those markets which offer better process; so will services’. Other responses included: ‘people should

Notes

1 Catherine Jenkins refers to action in India: it would be of interest to know whether the UK Department of Health had discussions with ministries in other developing countries from which psychiatrists are being recruited and what agreements have been reached with them.

2 Is it really true that the Indian government wants the UK government to recruit people whom it has trained at great expense? Or is it simply that it does not object to such a course of action? Or is it that it did not give the matter serious attention?

3 I would be interested to know how the UK Department of Health provides ‘pastoral’ support to Indian psychiatrists.

4 The other initiatives that Catherine Jenkins describes obviously have many merits but are only marginally relevant to the issues raised by Dr Ndetei et al.
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look for local solutions to local problems; ‘in a free world movements are inevitable; ‘what is required is to develop an international Convention on this issue to generate consensus, awareness and guidelines to regulate such movements and how the donor countries can be compensated’.

The reality of mental health human resources in India

In her contribution Jenkins (2004) noted that:

‘We [the Department of Health] have worked closely with the Indian Ministry of Health in the development of the campaign in India…. The Indian Minister of Health and Family Welfare responded to a parliamentary question in July 2003 by saying that the overall availability of doctors [emphasis added] in India is sufficient…. It is vital to stress that we would not recruit from India if the Indian government did not want us to.’

The statement suggests that India has more than enough psychiatrists and that the loss of some will not have any significant effect. However, while adequacy of numbers may apply to doctors in general, it does not apply in the case of psychiatrists. By the most generous estimate, India has less than half the number of psychiatrists of the UK per capita (its population is 20 times that of the UK).

Further, the distribution of mental health professionals and psychiatric beds across India is very uneven: states like Kerala, Goa and Delhi have high numbers but, equally, some have low numbers, like Himachal Pradesh (with 4 psychiatrists for some 5 million population) and Chattishgar (with 12 psychiatrists for 20 million people).

The situation of Himachal Pradesh is significant as it is one of the states recognised as socially most progressive (it came second in this respect in a recent ranking of states reported in India Today, 16 August 2004). However, it is very poor in mental health services; indeed, the state was not able to recruit a full-time psychiatrist for its district mental health programme until 2003. Without doubt, most of India has few specialist human resources for mental healthcare.

The responsibility of the Royal College of Psychiatrists

During the 2004 annual conference of the Indian Psychiatric Society, in Hyderabad, one of the biggest exhibition stalls was run by the UK National Health Service, for the recruitment of psychiatrists.

The Royal College is a professional body with members from a large number of countries, including India. Its official publication, the British Journal of Psychiatry, is an essential journal in all psychiatric centres in India. The deliberations of the Royal College are viewed with respect by psychiatrists in India. There have been attempts to form a South Asia branch of the College, and this indicates the respect in which the College is held in many countries in the region.

I submit that the College should have stepped in more actively and shared its understanding of the mental health situation in India, and called for clear guidelines for the recruitment of psychiatrists from India.

The opportunities for developing countries to rethink their human mental health resources

The shortage of specialist personnel can be seen as an opportunity to think of organising mental healthcare in a very different way, using a variety of community resources (Srinivas Murthy, 2000). There should be a shift from service provision by relatively few specialist professionals to a wide range of mental healthcare providers.

There are a number of mental healthcare activities that can be undertaken by patients themselves, family members, volunteers, general health personnel and others in the service sectors, like education workers, police and prison staff. Such people can be trained specifically for a limited range of tasks. It is this approach that can address the human resource needs within mental healthcare (Srinivas Murthy & Wig, 1983). More specifically, four avenues are open to address the need:

- To enhance training in psychiatry within undergraduate medical education. Currently, the length of training (a few hours of lectures and a few clinical sessions) does not reflect the amount of mental health work a general medical doctor has to provide, and the skills for meeting service needs are not provided as part of the training. In some developing countries, such as Pakistan, Sri Lanka and Oman, major changes have been made to undergraduate training in psychiatry. There is a need for other countries similarly to reform their training curricula. Most courses are largely academic and do not provide trainees with opportunities to acquire the knowledge and skills relevant to the practical work of mental healthcare. Practical training is required, in clinical settings. Suitable modifications to the curriculum would open up the possibility of increasing human resources for mental healthcare. This could be achieved by linking the training to the development of national mental health programmes and the emerging roles of voluntary organisations.

- To develop short training programmes for non-specialists, such as medical officers, general psychologists and general social workers and nurses. The training could emphasise the clinical and practical aspects, to suit the specific situation of the country or region or a programme, for example school mental health or rehabilitation.

- To use a wide variety of non-professionals. Mental health programmes have pioneered the use of
volunteers in suicide prevention, patients functioning as therapists in drug dependence programmes like Alcoholics Anonymous, and family members becoming therapists to other family members. The key characteristic of this sort of ‘service’ is the limited role individuals take on in one specific situation, in which they call on their own personal experiences. The strength of these personnel is in their focused expertise and their acceptance by help seekers.

- To involve staff in other sectors. As part of the ‘deprofessionalisation’ of mental health services, personnel working in different sectors (e.g. education or police) have frequently been used. Here, the health worker, preschool teacher, schoolteacher, police officer and so on add on a component of mental health to their traditional work activities.

To recruit from these countries damages their fragile health systems
- The cost of their training has been borne by the poor country, yet the rich country reaps the benefit.

Mellor (2003), in a commentary on Patel’s article, maintains that recruitment is ethical and that most of the staff being recruited are from Europe, with others from the United States and Australia. While she undoubtedly has access to comprehensive recruitment data, this statement certainly does not apply to psychiatrists recruited under the IFP, the great majority of whom come from India. As far as I can recall, only one psychiatrist has been recruited on this programme from Australia, and none from North America.

Ndetei et al (2004) present a strong and persuasive case in their paper, to which Jenkins (2004) responded. Shortly afterwards, Khan (2004) wrote on ‘The NHS International Fellowship Scheme in psychiatry: robbing the poor to pay the rich?’, to which Goldberg (2004) replied. The essence of the debate is that Patel, Ndetei et al and Khan maintain that the IFP is unethical because it is recruiting doctors from countries that can least afford to lose them, whereas Mellor, Jenkins and Goldberg counter by saying that the UK leads the way in developing and implementing recruitment policies of the kind called for by

### The International Fellowship Programme: some personal thoughts

Gareth J. Holsgrove

Medical Education Adviser, Royal College of Psychiatrists, London, UK, email: gholsgrove@rcpsych.ac.uk

The purpose of the IFP is to recruit senior doctors from overseas on short-term contracts to fill consultant vacancies in the National Health Service (NHS). From its very inception, though, it has been severely criticised, for a variety of reasons. Since the number of psychiatrists recruited under the Programme exceeds that of every other specialty put together, the Royal College of Psychiatrists has unsurprisingly become strongly associated with much of the criticism. Indeed, a great deal of it has come from within the College’s own membership, and by far its most common basis has been the ethics of recruiting highly qualified doctors from low- and middle-income countries.

Most of the issues have already been discussed in some detail in various publications. For example, Patel (2003) asks whether the NHS could justify schemes to recruit staff from poor countries, and points out that:

- the developing world has fewer doctors per head of population than developed countries
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**Disclaimer:** These are the writer’s personal views and do not necessarily reflect the official College position on the International Fellowship Programme.