Effects of disodium fumarate on ruminal fermentation and microbial communities in sheep fed on high-forage diets
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This study was conducted to investigate effects of disodium fumarate (DF) on fermentation characteristics and microbial populations in the rumen of Hu sheep fed on high-forage diets. Two complementary feeding trials were conducted. In Trial 1, six Hu sheep fitted with ruminal cannulae were randomly allocated to a 2 × 2 cross-over design involving dietary treatments of either 0 or 20 g DF daily. Total DNA was extracted from the fluid- and solid-associated rumen microbes, respectively. Numbers of 16S rDNA gene copies associated with rumen methanogens and bacteria, and 18S rDNA gene copies associated with rumen protozoa and fungi were measured using real-time PCR, and expressed as proportion of total rumen bacteria 16S rDNA. Ruminal pH decreased in the DF group compared with the control (P < 0.05). Total volatile fatty acids increased (P < 0.001), but butyrate decreased (P < 0.01). Addition of DF inhibited the growth of methanogens, protozoa, fungi and Ruminococcus flavefaciens in fluid samples. Both Ruminococcus albus and Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens populations increased (P < 0.001) in particle-associated samples.

Trial 2 was conducted to investigate the adaptive response of rumen microbes to DF. Three cannulated sheep were fed on basal diet for 2 weeks and continuously for 4 weeks with supplementation of DF at a level of 20 g/day. Ruminal samples were collected every week to analyze fermentation parameters and microbial populations. No effects of DF were observed on pH, acetate and butyrate (P > 0.05). Populations of methanogens and R. flavefaciens decreased in the fluid samples (P < 0.001), whereas addition of DF stimulated the population of solid-associated Fibrobacter succinogenes. Population of R. albus increased in the 2nd to 4th week in fluid-associated samples and was threefold higher in the 4th week than control week in solid samples. Analysis of denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis fingerprints revealed that there were significant changes in rumen microbiota after adding DF. Ten of 15 clone sequences from cut-out bands appearing in both the 2nd and the 4th week were 94% to 100% similar to Prevotella-like bacteria, and four sequences showed 95% to 98% similarity to Selenomonas dianae. Another 15 sequences were obtained from bands, which appeared in the 4th week only. Thirteen of these 15 sequences showed 95% to 99% similarity to Clostridium sp., and the other two showed 95% and 100% similarity to Ruminococcus sp. In summary, the microorganisms positively responding to DF addition were the cellulolytic bacteria, R. albus, F. succinogenes and B. fibrisolvens as well as proteolytic bacteria, B. fibrisolvens, P. ruminicola and Clostridium sp.
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**Implications**

The rumen microorganisms can be classified into hydrogen-producing (protozoa, cellulolytic bacteria and fungi) and hydrogen-consuming microbes (methanogens and fumarate-reducers) according to their hydrogen metabolic pathway. Supplementation of disodium fumarate in the sheep diet could improve ruminal fermentation by changing the microbial communities, indicative of the decreased methanogen population and the positive effects on the population of cellulolytic microbes, Ruminococcus albus and fungi.

**Introduction**

Hydrogen metabolism plays a central role in regulating rumen fermentation (Hungate, 1967; Williams and Coleman, 1997). Efficient removal of hydrogen from the rumen is beneficial to increase the rate of fermentation by eliminating its inhibitory effect on the microbial degradation of plant material (Wolin, 1979; McAllister and Newbold, 2008). There are other potential
electron acceptors in rumen (Wolin, 1979), such as sulfate, nitrate and fumarate, etc. (Morgavi et al., 2010). Among them, fumarate is non-toxic and an intermediate of the pathways of propionate formation (Russell and Wallace, 1997), and has been extensively studied as an alternative electron sink (Castillo et al., 2004). Fumarate has been associated with favorable changes in ruminal fermentation in vitro as well as in vivo (Asanuma et al., 1999a; Ungerfeld et al., 2007; Wood et al., 2009).

Methanogens (hydrogen-utilizing microbes) and fibrolytic microorganisms (hydrogen-producing microbes) play a pivotal role in the rumen ecosystem. Interspecies hydrogen transfer has been well described in vitro, especially between cellulolytics and methanogens (Wolin et al., 1997), Ruminococcus albus, Ruminococcus flavefaciens and all the rumen fungi and protozoa produce hydrogen and they interact positively with methanogens (Joblin et al., 1990; Pavlostathis et al., 1990; Williams et al., 1994).

Addition of disodium fumarate (DF) in the diet might stimulate alternative pathways that use fumarate as electron acceptors other than carbon dioxide in the rumen, and might induce major effects on the population of hydrogen utilizers and producers. Fumarate tended to increase rumen microbial growth on high-forage diet, and generally the effect of fumarate on rumen fermentation depended on the nature of the incubated substrate with high-forage diets showing a greater response compared with low-forage diet (Garcia-Martínez et al., 2005).

Microbial adaptation to fumarate metabolism is important, and the whole community of hydrogen-producing microbes (cellulolytic microbes, protozoa and fungi) and hydrogen-using microbes (methanogens) could be modified when fumarate is added to diet. Furthermore, the effect on rumen function and bacteria community of fumarate addition for an extended period could be different from addition during a short-term, and microbial populations in different ruminal fractions (fluid- and solid-associated microbes) could respond differently to DF addition. Thus, the objective of this study was to investigate the effects of DF on ruminal fermentation, methanogens and fibrolytic populations in ruminal fluid and solid samples when supplementing for both a short and an extended period.

Material and methods

Animals, diets and experimental designs

In Trial 1, six Hu sheep (~45 kg BW) fitted with ruminal cannulae were randomly allocated to a 2 x 2 cross-design either or not supplemented with 20 g DF daily. Each period lasted for 15 days. Animals were maintained in individual pens with a daily basal diet consisting of 300 g concentrate and 700 g forage (concentrate/forage, 30/70) per sheep per day. The diet was presumed to meet the energy requirement for maintenance (Ministry of Agriculture of China, 2004), and contained 100 g/kg of CP, 530 g/kg of NDF and 470 g/kg of ADF. They were fed twice daily at 0830 and 1630 h with free access to water. Ruminal samples were collected from the cannulae in the morning before the morning feeding on the last day during each period. Samples for DNA extraction were stored at ~80°C. Rumen fermentation parameters and microbial populations were measured.

In Trial 2, three 1.5-year-old rumen-cannulated Hu sheep (~45 kg BW) were fed on the same basal diet as in Trial 1 continuously for 6 weeks, including 2 weeks of adaptation (without DF) and 4 weeks with DF supplementation (20 g/day). Ruminal samples were collected in the morning before feeding after the first 2 weeks of adaptation and every week thereafter. Sampling points were indicated as 0 w (no DF addition), 1 w, 2 w, 3 w and 4 w, respectively. Rumen fermentation and microbial populations were measured. Microbial diversity was analyzed using denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) using rumen samples taken from 0 w, 2 w and 4 w.

Rumen fermentation parameters

The rumen samples were filtered through four layers of gauze into tubes for analysis of pH, ammonia nitrogen (N) and volatile fatty acids (VFA). The pH of rumen fluid was determined immediately using a pH meter (Model PB-20, Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). Concentration of ammonia N was determined (Model 721/721-100, Shanghai, China) colorimetrically using a spectrometer (Searle, 1984) with ammonium chloride solution as a standard. The VFA were determined using a gas chromatograph (GC-2010, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a Flame Ionization Detector and a capillary column (HP-INNOWAX, 1909N-133, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), as described elsewhere (Hu et al., 2005).

Rumen microbial populations

The rumen samples were strained through four layers of gauze and separated into fluid and particle parts. Total DNA was extracted from liquid- and solid-associated microbes, respectively, as described elsewhere (Chen et al., 2007 and 2008). Number of 16S rDNA gene copies associated with rumen methanogens and bacteria, and 18S rDNA gene copies associated with rumen protozoa and fungi were measured using real-time PCR. Primer pairs of total bacteria, fungi, protozoa, methanogen, R. albus, Fibrobacter succinogenes, Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens and R. flavefaciens are listed in Table 1. Species-specific real-time qPCR was performed using Bio-Rad iCycler iQ real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) with fluorescence detection of SYBR Green dye, as described elsewhere (Chen et al., 2008).

Rumen microbial diversity

Microbial diversity was analyzed by DGGE of PCR-amplified genes coding for 16S rRNA (Muyzer et al., 1993). The V3 variable regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene from rumen samples (0 w, 2 w, 4 w) in Trial 2 were amplified by a touchdown PCR approach using forward primer 341F-GC clamp and 534R (Table 1). Fast silver staining of DGGE gels was used (Ji et al., 2007). The DGGE bands of interest were cut-out. PCR products were cloned using TOPO TA cloning kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen Corporation, San Diego, CA, USA). All products were sequenced using the BigDye® Terminator v3.1 kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). All the DNA sequences were edited manually and trimmed for vector contamination by ContigExpress.
Project (Vector NTI Advance 10, Invitrogen). Sequences from excised DGGE bands were searched for homology with Basic Local Alignment Search Tool program.

Statistical analyses
Quantification for methanogens, protozoa, F. succinogenes, R. albus, R. flavefaciens, B. fibrisolvens and rumen fungi, were expressed as a proportion to total rumen bacterial 16S rDNA, according to the equation: relative quantification = \( \frac{2^{\text{ct target} - \text{ct total bacteria}}}{} \) where Ct represents threshold cycle. The results of Trial 1 were analyzed according to univariate analysis by GLM procedure of SPSS (SPSS, 2006) with time and group as fixed factors. Multiple comparisons among means of Trial 2 were performed using the least significant difference analysis (SPSS, 2006). Differences among means with \( P < 0.05 \) were accepted as representing statistically significant differences; differences among means with \( 0.05 < P < 0.10 \) were accepted as representing tendencies.

Results

**Trial 1: fermentation parameters and microbial populations**

The rumen fermentation parameters in Trial 1 are presented in Table 2. Average ruminal pH increased sharply in the DF group compared with the control (\( P < 0.05 \)). Ammonia N concentration did not change (\( P > 0.05 \)). Total VFA concentrations increased (\( P < 0.001 \)), and minor increases (\( P < 0.05 \)) in acetate (2 molar percent) were at the expense of similar decreases in molar byturate proportions (\( P < 0.05 \)).
ammonia N concentration ($P = 0.0006$), with an increase by 67% in 2 w compared with 0 w and a decrease to the 0 w level in 3 w and 4 w. Total VFA increased during the 4 weeks when DF was added, and the concentration in 2 w, 3w and 4 w were 28%, 23% and 22% higher than that of control, respectively. Proportions of acetate and butyrate were not altered by DF addition. The proportion of propionate was not increased by the DF addition.

**Trial 2: microbial populations**

The abundance of methanogens within the microbial community in fluid samples decreased from 1.00% to 0.69% and 0.20% in 1 w and 4 w relative to total bacterial 16S rDNA, respectively (Table 5). Solid-associated methanogens increased in 1 w and then decreased in 2 w and 3 w, but again increased to 0 w levels in 4 w. The abundance of methanogens was higher in fluid than in solid samples, whereas the protozoa represented more of the solid as compared with liquid microbes (Table 5). The protozoal abundance in fluid samples was decreased to the lowest numbers at the end of 2 w, but recovered to original (0 w) values in 4 w.

The abundance of solid-associated *F. succinogenes* (fumarate-reducers) within the solid-associated microbial population increased four times in 1 w, remained stable in 2 w, but its importance in the microbial population decreased from 3 w. However, after 4 weeks of DF supplementation this bacterial group seemed twice as important as compared with a situation without DF supplementation (0 w). The abundance of *R. albus* within the fluid-associated microbial population remained stable in 1 w, increased twice and three times in 2 w and 3 w, respectively, and its importance increased 11 times after 4 weeks of DF supplementation, compared with that in 0 w. Although the abundance of *R. albus* within the solid-associated microbial population remained stable during the first 3 weeks, at the end of experiment it increased to four times that in 0 w. A decrease ($P < 0.05$) was observed on the populations of *R. flavefaciens* in both solid and fluid samples at the end of 4 w, compared with that at 0 w. Addition of DF increased the importance of fungi in both fluid and solid samples throughout Trial 2 ($P < 0.001$). The number of fungi in the microbial population of fluid and solid samples in 4 w was approximately three times that of 0 w.

**Trial 2: microbial diversity**

The DGGE fingerprints revealed significant changes in rumen microbiota after DF addition (Figure 1). Bands a, b and c were shown in each animal only in 2 w and 4 w, whereas bands g, h and i were only shown in 4 w. DGGE profiles were relatively consistent within three replicate animals. Cut-out of DGGE bands and sequencing results are summarized in Table 6. Ten of 15 clone sequences in the six bands, a, b and c, which were more pronounced after 2 w and 4 w, showed 94% to 100% similarity to *Prevotella*-like bacteria. Four
sequences were related to *Selenomonas dianae* (95% to 98% similarity); and one was 100% similar to *B. fibrisolvens*. Thirteen of 15 sequences in three bands *g*, *h* and *i* that appeared in 4 w were 95% to 99% related to *Clostridium* sp., and the other two showed 95% and 100% similarity to *Ruminococcus* sp. A total of 17 sequences were submitted to Genbank with the accession number: HQ162700 to HQ162716.

**Discussion**

**Ruminal fermentation**

Addition of monosodium fumarate *in vitro* increased acetate, propionate and total VFA and decreased the ratio of acetate to propionate (Yu *et al.*, 2010). Carro and Ranilla (2003) showed that fumarate could beneficially affect *in vitro* rumen fermentation of concentrate feeds by increasing the productions of both acetate and propionate. An increase in total VFA concentration and basically no change in the proportion of the individual fatty acids were observed in this study, although a slight increase in acetate and decrease in butyrate were observed in Trial 1 (Table 2). It seems that both acetate and propionate are formed to a same extent from DF. The possibility for both acetate and propionate formation from DF was indicated before (Ungerfeld and Kohn, 2006). The increase of total VFA concentration in both trials indicates the positive effects of DF addition on ruminal fermentation.

**Interaction between methanogens and protozoa**

The abundance of methanogens within the microbial population decreased significantly in the fluid-associated samples in both trials (Tables 3 and 5). The abundance of methanogens in solid samples in Trial 1 showed no significant changes;
however, particle-associated abundance in Trial 2 increased in 1 w, and decreased in 2 and 3 w compared with 0 w, respectively, and then increased to the same level as 0 w in 4 w. These results indicated that DF addition provides different effects on fluid- and solid-associated methanogens with solid abundance showing more variable changes.

It had been estimated that under ruminal conditions, fumarate reduction should be more exergonic than methanogenesis in terms of Gibbs-free energy released per pair of electrons incorporated. The ΔG (kJ/2H) for fumarate reduction and methanogenesis is −63.6 and −16.9, respectively (Ungerfeld and Kohn, 2006). Therefore, the decrease of fluid-associated methanogens in this study verified that the capacity of methanogens to compete for hydrogen with fumarate-reducers was weakened by fumarate addition. However, it is surprising that this is not associated with changes in propionate proportion.

Some methanogens are associated with the external surface of protozoa, and/or are endosymbionts, living free within the protozoal cytoplasm (Williams and Coleman, 1997). In this study, the abundance of the protozoa population within the fluid samples was decreased compared with control in Trial 1 (Table 3), whereas in Trial 2 the extended feeding of DF caused their abundance in both solid and fluid samples to recover to 0 w levels (Table 5). It is suggested that DF may cause a transient effect on protozoa. Protozoa serve not only as host for methanogens, but also produce hydrogen in large quantities in a specialized organelle (hydrogenosome; Morgavi et al., 2010). This hydrogen is metabolized by methanogens that are found inside (Finlay et al., 1994) or in close association with protozoal cells (Stumm et al., 1982). The interaction between methanogens and protozoa is a typical example of interspecies hydrogen transfer, which favors both of them (Hillman et al., 1988; Ushida and Jouany, 1996). Both populations of methanogens and protozoa in fluid samples decreased significantly with the addition of DF, but remained relatively stable in particle samples in both trials. Krumholz et al. (1983) found that the methanogenic activity in the rumen fluid was highest in fractions containing large numbers of protozoa. It is also reported that the capacity of competition by methanogens for hydrogen with fumarate-reducers was increased when associated with protozoa (Finlay et al., 1994). This is in line with good growth by methanogens and protozoa when living in symbiosis (Wolin, 1974), and with the fact that fumarate is more effective in reducing methane production in protozoa-depleted ruminal fluid (Asanuma et al., 1999b).

Interaction between methanogens and fibrolytic microorganisms

From the point of view of the syntrophy between R. albus (hydrogen-producing) and methanogens (hydrogen-consuming), the increased importance of R. albus and the decreased abundance of methanogens implied that fumarate-reducing bacteria could successfully compete with methanogens for hydrogen when enough fumarate was supplied. Addition of DF in vivo may stimulate the use of hydrogen during fermentation, and decrease the negative feed-back effect of hydrogen on microbes, which in turn improves the growth of fiber-degrading microorganisms. F. succinogenes, R. flavefaciens and R. albus are the representative cellulolytic species in the rumen (Forsberg et al., 1997). Moreover, several of them also might reduce fumarate. F. succinogenes are known to have high fumarate-reducing activity (Asanuma et al., 1999b). R. flavefaciens could hydrolyze cellulose and use fumarate as the main electron acceptor producing succinate (Stewart et al., 1988). Accordingly, these bacteria were expected to be stimulated either due to their fumarate-reducing capacity or due to effective removable of hydrogen. However, changes due to fumarate addition were variable and different between fluid and solid phase as well as long- or short-term of addition.

As one of the main fumarate-reducers, the change of F. succinogenes in both solid and fluid phases was not consistent between two trials. In Trial 1, for a short-term of 15 days, a decrease in the solid phase was observed with no change in the fluid phase, whereas in Trial 2, solid-associated F. succinogenes were more abundant during the 4 weeks of DF addition compared with 0 w levels (Table 5). R. albus abundance increased in solid samples, but declined in fluid samples in Trial 1 (Table 3); whereas in Trial 2, R. albus increased in fluid samples throughout the 4 weeks of DF addition, although their abundance in solid samples did not change during the first 3 weeks and increased to nearly four times the number of 0 w in 4 w (Table 5). Stimulation of R. albus could be linked to interspecies hydrogen transfer, that is, hydrogen produced by R. albus could be consumed by fumarate-reducing bacteria resulting in little accumulation of hydrogen. The low partial pressure of hydrogen could facilitate electron disposal in R. albus and result in faster growth of R. albus.

B. fibrisolvens is one of the protein-degrading species in rumen with abilities to digest cellulose, although not as effective as Ruminococcus or Fibrobacter sp. Interestingly, some similarity can be seen in the concentration of ammonia N and the abundance of solid-associated (Tables 2, 4 and 5) or fluid-associated B. fibrisolvens (Tables 2 and 3). Nevertheless, in Trial 1, solid-associated B. fibrisolvens is inversely related with ammonia N, whereas fluid-associated bacteria are positively correlated with ammonia N concentration. B. fibrisolvens require ammonia N for optimal growth when feeding fibrous basal diets (Williams and Coleman, 1997). The effects of DF addition on protein degradation need further studies.

Diversity analysis revealed by DGGE

Most of the clone sequences from bands a, b and c in both 2 w and 4 w were similar with Prevotella-like bacteria and S. dianae (Figure 1; Table 6), suggesting that the addition of fumarate had a stable and stimulating effect on their growth. Two of the sequences in band a were affiliated to Prevotella ruminicola (98%; AB501151.1). Two of the sequences in band b were affiliated to Selenomonas ruminantium isolate M40 (AY685142; Table 6). Fumarate reduction has been reported
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Asanuma and Hino (2000) identified two strains of Seleno-
ydrogen produced by R. albus may be consumed by S. dianae. 
Hydrogen transfer might be the reason for their co-growth. The 
analysis in 2 w and 4 w (Figure 1; Table 6). Interspecies 
by Prevotella sp. and Selenomonas sp., which could indicate 
93% of the clone sequences in both 2 w and 4 w represented 
the involvement of Prevotella and Selenomonas-like bacteria 
agreed with the results of real-time PCR. The abundance of 
on these bacterial species cannot be excluded.

One of the sequences from band a had 100% similarity with 
B. fribrosolvens. The reveal of B. fribrosolvens in DGGE bands 
agreed with the results of real-time PCR. The abundance of 
B. fribrosolvens increased and their abundance in fluid samples 
was higher in 3 w and 4 w than during earlier samplings. 
P. ruminicola and B. fribrosolvens are important proteolytic bac-
teria in the rumen (Wallace et al., 1997), indicating that some 
protein-degrading bacteria responding to DF addition.

Of the 10 clone sequences in bands a and b, 40% showed 
95% to 98% similarity to S. dianae (AF287801.1). As discussed 
above, the abundance of R. albus increased significantly in 
both fluid and solid samples during the 4 weeks, especially in 
4 w. Increased growth of R. albus through fumarate addition 
was reported before in co-cultures with Selenomonas lactilytica 
(Asanuma and Hino, 2000). It is further confirmed and 
approved by the appearance of Selenomonas in DGGE 
analysis in 2 w and 4 w (Figure 1; Table 6). Interspecies 
hydrogen transfer might be the reason for their co-growth. The 
hydrogen produced by R. albus may be consumed by S. dianae. 
Asanuma and Hino (2000) identified two strains of Seleno-
monas having a high capacity for fumarate reduction by using 
hydrogen as an electron donor. Therefore, S. dianae could be 
one of the potential fumarate-reducers as well.

Of the clone sequences in bands g, h, and i, 87% was 
closely related to Clostridium sp., and the rest related to 
Ruminococcus sp. in 4 w. The appearance of Ruminococcus 
sp. in 4 w was verified by real-time PCR results, suggesting 
that R. albus increased throughout the experiment and 
reached its highest abundance in 4 w in the current experi-
ment, but the abundance of R. flavifaciens decreased. R. 
flavifaciens may not compete with R. albus for the supply 
of hydrogen during interspecies hydrogen transfer. The 
15 sequences in bands a, b, and c belonged to the phylum of 
bacteroidetes (67%) and firmicutes (33%), whereas all the 
15 sequences in bands g, h, and i belonged to the phylum of 
firmicutes. It is indicated that a certain group of bacteria 
belonging to the phylum of Bacteroidetes (Prevotella sp.) 
and firmicutes (S. dianae) grows faster after adding DF and 
may keep their activity stable for 4 weeks. Another group of 
firmicutes, such as Clostridium sp., responded to DF addition 
in week 4, but not at the early stage.

This DGGE study suggested that the dominant group 
in the microbial community composition shifted from the 
phylum of Bacteroidetes to Firmicutes (Clostridia Class) after 
addition of fumarate. Analysis of DGGE based on partial 
16S rDNA sequences could capture some corresponding 
predominant species, but only gives a general view of com-
unity shifts (Kocherginskaya et al., 2005). There is a need 
of more precise analysis based on functional fumarate 
reductase (frdA) gene or full-length of 16S rDNA gene clone 
libraries (Makkar and McSweeney, 2005). In their study on 
diversity of frdA clones recovered from the rumen of cattle 
on high-forage diets (Hattori and Matsui, 2008), three clusters 
represented by cultured isolates Proteus vulgaris, Pasteurella 
multocida and Shewanella putrefaciens were detected in the 
library from one animal; two abundant clusters were repre-
sented by S. putrefaciens and Pasteurella spp., accounting for 
56% and 33% of total clones, whereas a less abundant cluster 
(9% of total frd clones) represented by P. vulgaris detected as 
their nearest neighbor. In our study, both Proteus spp. and
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**Table 6** Affiliation of partial 16S rDNA (V3 region) gene sequences obtained from excised bands of DGGE fingerprint with their close isolates in GenBank (sequence length = 182 to 194 bp)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band no.</th>
<th>Clones</th>
<th>Close cultured relative (Genbank accession no.)</th>
<th>Phylum (relatives)</th>
<th>ID %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Prevotella ruminicola (AB501151.1)</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Prevotella genova (EF534315.1)</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Selenomonas dianae (AF287801.1)</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Butyryrivibrio fibrisolvens (XBR9793.1)</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Prevotella oris (L164747.1)</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Selenomonas ruminantium isolate M40 (AY685142.1)</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Prevotella denticola (AY323524.1)</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Prevotella multiformis (AB182484.1)</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Prevotella sp. (DQ278861.1)</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Clostridium alienense (DQ279736.1)</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Clostridium sp. (AY949857.1)</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Clostridium symbiosum (M59112.1)</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Clostridium sp. (AY949857.1)</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ruminococcus sp. (DQ882650.1)</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Clostridium sp. (AY949857.1)</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Clostridium lavalense (EF564277.1)</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ruminococcus flavifaciens (L76603.1)</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Shewanella spp. were detected in bands a, b and c from 2 w and 4 w, but Pasturella spp. was not found.

In summary, the DF addition improves in vivo rumen fermentation in sheep on high-forage diets as suggested from increasing total VFA concentration. Addition of DF resulted in a decreased methanogen population and positive effects on the population of cellulolytic microorganisms, R. albus. DGGE analysis indicated that Prevotella-like bacteria, S. dianae and Clostridium sp. responded to DF addition at different stages.
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