Effect of a single dose of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* on the occurrence of porcine neonatal diarrhoea
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Piglet neonatal diarrhoea is an important issue in modern pig production and is linked to increased mortality and poor growth rates, affecting long-term pig health, increasing use of medication and cost of production. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* (SB) is a probiotic yeast with documented clinical efficacy in the prevention and treatment of diarrhoeal diseases in humans. The objectives of the current study were to evaluate the effect of SB on occurrence and severity of neonatal diarrhoea in piglets, mortality and growth rate. Forty-six litters (606 piglets) were randomly allocated to a control or SB treatment (n = 23 per treatment). Within 24 h of farrowing, piglets assigned to the SB treatment received a single oral dose of a paste containing $3.3 \times 10^9$ CFU of SB CNCM I-1079. Piglets from the control litters received a placebo paste. Piglet weight, mortality and diarrhoea were recorded up to day 7 of age. It was shown that numbers of diarrhoea days were significantly correlated with increased mortality rate and reduced weight gain (P < 0.05). SB treatment had no effect on growth or mortality in diarrhoeic litters. However, SB-supplemented litters had significantly lower faecal scores, indicating firmer faeces (P < 0.01) and fewer numbers of diarrhoea days (P < 0.01) during the 1st week of life. Reduction in the number of diarrhoeic litters compared with the control group was observed following the probiotic administration (P < 0.05). These results highlight the detrimental effects of neonatal diarrhoea on pre-weaning performance and suggest that SB, by reducing diarrhoea duration and severity, has the potential of improving enteric health in the early stages of life in pigs.
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**Implications**

Enteric health and growth of the pre-weaning pig influences its lifelong production potential, therefore correct management of gut health during this time is essential. Considering the intensifying concerns over emerging antimicrobial resistance and that reduction in antibiotic use is a requirement in most countries, it is imperative to determine the efficacy of alternative treatments and prophylaxis such as probiotics. The current study demonstrates that administering the probiotic yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* (SB) to piglets on the day of farrowing reduces occurrence of diarrhoea in the 1st week of life; therefore, application of SB may be used to improve pre-weaning enteric health.

**Introduction**

Historically, antibiotics have been used in pig medicine to treat and control bacterial enteric disease. In addition, use of antibiotic growth promoters is now prohibited in the European Union and, considering the intensifying concerns over emerging antimicrobial resistance, antibiotic use, both frequency and class, has become more tightly controlled in veterinary medicine (European Commission, 2011; Millet and Maertens, 2011). Therefore, investment in alternative methods of controlling enteric disease is essential. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* is a non-pathogenic, non-colonising, yeast. Several studies have shown that, in humans, SB is an efficacious and safe probiotic, which has proven efficacy against some enteric diseases including, but not limited to, *Clostridium difficile*-associated disease and rotavirus diarrhoea (McFarland, 2006; Grandy et al., 2010; McFarland, 2010). Data on the effect of SB on neonatal porcine health are limited, possibly because of practical limitations of administration to individual neonates commercially; however, studies have demonstrated that SB supplementation improves growth in post-weaning pigs (Bontempo et al., 2006; Le Bon et al., 2010).

Porcine neonatal diarrhoea is a welfare issue and may have an economic impact with regards to reduced production...
and increased mortality. Therefore, the main objective of this study was to determine if a single oral dose of SB administered to neonatal pigs within 24 h of farrowing would reduce diarrhoea in the 1st week of life.

Material and methods

Experimental design

A randomised controlled trial was undertaken (November–December 2012) on a farrow-to-wean farm in Sarthe, France, with a history of neonatal diarrhoea. Commercial parent sows due to farrow in the same week were paired according to parity, and a treatment, SB or control, was randomly allocated (via coin flip, by an independent person) to each of the pairs. The trial was conducted during 2 consecutive weeks in identical housing; each treatment involved 23 identical pens (the experimental unit) each containing a sow with her litter, yielding 299 and 307 piglets for control and SB treatment, respectively. To test the hypothesis that reduction of diarrhoea by treatment would lead to an improvement of health and growth, the number of experimental replicates required was determined using previously recorded performance data available from this farm (mean average daily gain (ADG) during the 1st week of life = 0.160 kg, s.d. = 0.041) to demonstrate an improvement of 15% in ADG by treatment, with a statistical power of 80% and significance of $P < 0.05$ using the equation:

$$n = \frac{(2\sigma^2(Z_{\beta} + Z_{\alpha/2})^2)}{\text{Difference}^2}$$

where $n$ is the sample size, $\sigma$ the standard deviation, $Z_\beta$ the Z value of power and $Z_\alpha$ the Z value of significance (Steel and Torrie, 1996). All trial personnel, including investigators, were blinded to treatment allocation; blinding was verified by anonymous survey. Euthanasia, only where necessary for welfare reasons, was carried out by manual blunt trauma to the cranium; this was performed by trained personnel. All animals in the study were monitored daily by a veterinary surgeon; no adverse effect of control or SB administration was noted.

Animals were treated according to standard farm practices unless stated otherwise. Sows were moved to farrowing pens 5 days before their expected farrowing date; pens had a slatted floor (1.6 m × 2.5 m), farrowing crate (0.8 m × 2 m) and an area of solid plastic flooring (triangular: 1.2 m × 0.85 m × 1.5 m) with a heat lamp suspended above for piglet comfort. Room temperature and humidity was measured hourly (mean 23.5°C (range 21.5°C to 25°C) and mean 67% (range 56.5% to 74.5%), respectively). To equilibrate litter size, neonatal piglets were cross-fostered within treatment group. On day 0 (day of birth), piglets were weighed and identified individually at day 0 and day 7; mortality, natural and euthanisation was recorded in the same period.

Data collection. Between 0 and 7 days of piglet age, each pen floor was examined visually once daily for faecal consistency, by a single investigator blinded for treatment, and a score allocated to the entire pen using a faecal classification score system. If a score of 5 or more was allocated, the litter was determined to be diarrhoeic (Lewis and Heaton, 1997; Pedersen and Toft, 2011). Score 1 is classified as ‘Separate hard lumps, like nuts’, score 2 ‘Sausage-shaped, but lumpy’, score 3 ‘Like a sausage but with cracks on the surface’, score 4 ‘Like a sausage or snake, smooth and soft’, score 5 ‘Soft blobs with clear cut edges’, score 6 ‘Fluffy pieces with ragged edges, a mushy stool’ and score 7 ‘Watery, no solid pieces, entirely liquid’. To monitor production, piglets were weighed individually at day 0 and day 7; mortality, natural and euthanasia, was recorded in the same period.

Statistical methods. For all statistical analysis, the litter (pen) served as the experimental unit ($n = 23$). For variables that recorded individual pig measurement (such as weight) the individuals were clustered for each litter and considered as random effect. Baseline characteristics of the two treatment groups were compared before administration of treatment using general ANOVA; mean and standard error of difference (s.e.d.) are reported for relevant variables (Table 1). Continuous data were found to be normally distributed and analysed using linear mixed models function in GenStat (14th Edition, GenStat, VSN International). For performance data (weight, ADG, mortality) and diarrhoea days, the statistical models included treatment as a fixed effect and litter size at day 0 as the random factor. The relationship between diarrhoea days and performances was evaluated using simple linear regression models. For categorical data: faecal scores and diarrhoeic status, the effect of treatment was analysed using $\chi^2$ (with Yates’ correction for 2 × 2 tables) and $\chi^2$ for trend (GraphPad Software Inc., USA) using primary data.

Results

Effect of SB supplementation on neonatal diarrhoea and production

After treatment, SB-supplemented litters had significantly fewer days of diarrhoea over the 1st week of life compared to
controls (P < 0.01; Table 1). This could not be attributed to sow parity, litter size or average birth weights as these were not significantly different between treatment groups (Table 1), although increasing sow parity correlated with number of diarrhoeic days (data not shown). SB litters also had significantly lower faecal scores, indicating firmer faeces, during the 1st week of life (Table 2, P < 0.01). Six SB litters experienced no diarrhoeic events compared with a single litter in the control group (Table 1). Analysing days independently, there was a similar number of diarrhoeic litters per group before treatment (day 0); after treatment, diarrhoeic litters decreased in the SB group and increased in the controls (day 3, and over days 1 to 7; P < 0.05, Figure 1). There was no statistically significant effect of SB on growth or mortality (Table 1). However, as expected, the number of diarrhoeic days was negatively correlated with ADG and average weight (both P < 0.01; Figure 2a and b). Moreover, there was a positive correlation between number of diarrhoeic days and mortality from day 0 to day 7 (P < 0.05; Figure 2c).

**Discussion**

The current study demonstrates that supplementation with a single dose of SB, within 24 h of birth, reduces both

---

**Table 1** Effect of SB treatment on production and diarrhoea in neonatal pigs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SB</th>
<th>s.e.d.</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of litters</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean parity</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean litter size</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean initial birth weight (kg)</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Production</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean mortality/litter</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ADG over 7 days (kg)</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diarrhoeal disease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of diarrhoea days</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of diarrhoeic litters</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SB = Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. boulardii; s.e.d. = standard error of difference; ADG = average daily gain.

A single individual dose of control paste or SB CNCM-I 1079 (3.3 × 10⁹ CFU) was administered within 24 h of birth to individual piglets. Weight, mortality and diarrhoea are presented from day 1 to 7. n = 23 litters per treatment.

**Table 2** Effect of SB treatment on faecal scores of neonatal pigs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SB*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score 3</td>
<td>10.08% (13)</td>
<td>12.31% (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 4</td>
<td>24.81% (32)</td>
<td>40.00% (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 5</td>
<td>41.86% (54)</td>
<td>35.38% (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 6</td>
<td>19.38% (25)</td>
<td>12.31% (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 7</td>
<td>3.88% (5)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SB = Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. boulardii.

A single individual dose of control paste or SB CNCM-I 1079 (3.3 × 10⁹ CFU) was administered within 24 h of birth to individual piglets (n = 23 litters per treatment). Pen faecal scores were recorded daily from day 1 to 7. Score 1 is classified as ‘Separate hard lumps, like nuts’, score 2 ‘Sausage-shaped, but lumpy’, score 3 ‘Like a sausage but with cracks on the surface’, score 4 ‘Like a sausage or snake, smooth and soft’, score 5 ‘Soft blobs with clear cut edges’, score 6 ‘Fluffy pieces with ragged edges, a mushy stool’ and score 7 ‘Watery, no solid pieces, entirely liquid’. Scores 1 and 2 were not detected during the study. Table shows the percentage of litters (and raw count) of each score for control and SB treatment between day 1 and 7.

*P < 0.01 (χ² for trend on raw counts).
occurrence and severity of diarrhoea in piglets over the 1st week of life. Previous studies, in rats and humans, as well as our own unpublished data in pigs, have determined SB to be transient and non-colonising in the gastrointestinal tract (Blehaut et al., 1989); this is consistent with our findings that a significant effect of SB was not demonstrated after day 3.

SB has known positive effects on reduction of enteric pathogens of pigs including Escherichia coli (Lessard et al., 2008; Collier et al., 2011), and in human studies C. difficile-associated disease, and rotavirus infection (McFarland, 2006; Grandy et al., 2010); however, we found no evidence of such effects (data not shown). Not all diarrhoea is caused by infectious agents; thus, the significant reduction in diarrhoea in this study, related to SB administration, could be due to the physiological effects of SB improving osmotic balance. In rat models, SB administration increases expression of sodium/glucose co-transporter-1, improving glucose, water and electrolyte re-absorption in the gut, encouraging fluid and electrolyte balance (Buts et al., 1999). Studies have also shown that SB causes an increase in activity of brush border enzymes, improving nutrient breakdown and absorption (Buts et al., 1994; Buts and De Keyser, 2006).

Commercial pig production aims to produce highly prolific sows, as this provides an opportunity to be more profitable, however, problems of large litters include chilling, inadequate colostrom transfer, increased heterogeneity, low birth weight/low viability and crushing, as well as high incidence of neonatal diarrhoea. The issue of increasing litter size has been previously reviewed, and, as it is unlikely producers will accept attempting to increase litter size, good management factors. Animal Welfare 22, 219–238.


