Invasive Plant Science and Management

www.cambridge.org/inp

Research Article

Cite this article: Enloe SF, Leary JK, Prince CM, Sperry BP, and Lauer DK (2021) Response of Brazilian peppertree (*Schinus terebinthifolia*) and four mangrove species to imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl herbicides. Invasive Plant Sci. Manag **14**: 190–195. doi: 10.1017/inp.2021.22

Received: 29 March 2021 Revised: 7 June 2021 Accepted: 6 July 2021

First published online: 19 July 2021

Associate Editor:

Rob J. Richardson, North Carolina State University

Keywords:

Acetolactate synthase inhibitor; black mangrove; Avicennia germinans (L.) L.; buttonwood mangrove; Conocarpus erectus L.; coastal ecosystems; protoporphyrinogen oxidase inhibitor; red mangrove; Rhizophora mangle L.; white mangrove; Laguncularia racemosa (L.) C.F. Gaertn

Author for correspondence:

Stephen F. Enloe, Center for Aquatic and Invasive Plants, 7922 NW 71st Street Gainesville, FL 32653. (Email: sfenloe@ufl.edu)

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Weed Science Society of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.



Response of Brazilian peppertree (*Schinus terebinthifolia*) and four mangrove species to imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl herbicides

Stephen F. Enloe¹, James K. Leary², Candice M. Prince², Benjamin P. Sperry³ and Dwight K. Lauer⁴

¹Associate Professor, Agronomy Department, University of Florida, Center for Aquatic and Invasive Plants, Gainesville, FL, USA; ²Assistant Professor, Agronomy Department, University of Florida, Center for Aquatic and Invasive Plants, Gainesville, FL, USA; ³Research Assistant Scientist, Agronomy Department, University of Florida, Center for Aquatic and Invasive Plants, Gainesville, FL, USA and ⁴Analyst, Silvics Analytic, Wingate, NC, USA

Abstract

Mangroves are a critical component of many coastal ecosystems in Florida. Woody species, including Brazilian peppertree (Schinus terebinthifolia Raddi), have invaded thousands of hectares of mangrove habitat. The difficulty associated with ground-based management of invasive plants in mangrove communities has warranted a need to identify selective herbicides that can be applied aerially. Recent work suggests that Florida mangrove species are extremely sensitive to synthetic auxin herbicides; however, other herbicides have yet to be tested for selectivity. Greenhouse studies in 2018 and 2019 evaluated broadcast foliar applications of the acetolactate synthase inhibitor imazamox and protoporphyrinogen oxidase inhibitor carfentrazone-ethyl, both as individual treatments and in combinations, for control of S. terebinthifolia and injury to four non-target mangrove species. Across all posttreatment sample dates and species tested, there were no significant interactions between imazamox applied at 0.28 or 0.56 kg ai ha⁻¹ in combination with carfentrazone-ethyl applied at 0 or 0.1 kg ha⁻¹. Main effects of imazamox applied at 0.56 kg ai ha⁻¹ and carfentrazone-ethyl applied at 0.1 kg ha⁻¹ resulted in 99% and 97% defoliation, respectively, of Schinus terebinthifolia at 180 DAT. However, S. terebinthifolia percent survival was 56% and 44% for the same treatments. Both herbicides severely injured all four mangroves by 90 DAT and resulted in 58% to 100% defoliation across species. At 180 DAT, significant increases in percent cambium kill were also observed for all four species. Across species, mangrove survival varied, but red mangrove (Rhizophora mangle L.) survival was reduced to 6% when imazamox was applied at 0.56 kg ha⁻¹. These results indicate both imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl exhibit activity on S. terebinthifolia but also injure all four mangroves enough to preclude their use as selective treatments.

Introduction

Brazilian peppertree (*Schinus terebinthifolia* Raddi) is the most abundant invasive shrub in Florida. It is widely distributed throughout peninsular Florida, where it has invaded numerous ecosystems including many freshwater and brackish wetlands. Currently, *S. terebinthifolia* occupies more than 12,000 ha of mangrove habitat in southwest Florida (Ewe and Sternberg 2007; Jones and Doren 1997). The species exhibits a sprawling growth habit, forming dense, tangled thickets that exclude native species through competition and exclusion (Langeland et al. 2008). It is a prolific seed producer and is dispersed by water and frugivorous birds (Dlamini et al. 2018; Donnelly and Walters 2008). Additionally, sprouting is common from epicormic buds around the root collar and lateral roots (Langeland et al. 2008).

In Florida, *S. terebinthifolia* invasions have negatively impacted native mangrove communities. Mangroves (woody plants with strong tolerance to brackish water conditions) are a critical component of many tropical coastal ecosystems worldwide, providing essential ecosystem services such as coastal stabilization, wave action buffering, and wildlife habitat (Laegdsgaard and Johnson 2001; Nagelkerken et al. 2008; Thayer et al. 1987; Tomlinson 1994). Management of *S. terebinthifolia* is particularly challenging within mangrove habitat, where high stem density, hidden pneumatophores, and overlapping brace roots in standing water make access extremely difficult for ground crews. Aerial herbicide treatments would allow managers to avoid many of these challenges; however, mangroves are generally highly sensitive to herbicides (Doren and Jones 1997; Enloe et al. 2020a; Westing 1971). Given a lack of other effective tools, there is a need for more selective herbicide options that would make aerial treatments feasible in mangrove communities.



Management Implications

Mangroves are an invaluable component of coastal ecosystems in Florida. However, they are subject to invasion by species such as Schinus terebinthifolia (Brazilian peppertree). Management in these systems is extremely difficult due to difficulties with access and potential non-target issues for protected mangrove species. This study examined the efficacy of imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl on S. terebinthifolia and the potential non-target impacts on four mangrove species in Florida. The selectivity exhibited by these herbicides in other systems warranted examination as a foliar application, which would be useful for aerial treatment options. In greenhouse studies on established saplings, we found that both imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl provided significant defoliation, but incomplete kill of S. terebinthifolia. Additionally, both herbicides resulted in generally severe damage to all four mangrove species tested. These results do not immediately provide new management recommendations but inform us on the continuing struggle to find selective treatments for effective S. terebinthifolia control in mangrove communities. Future research should focus on enhancing other approaches, including more selective herbicide application methods and biological control.

Imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl are two herbicides labeled for use in upland and aquatic systems that have provided selective control of other invasive plants. In aquatic systems, imazamox alone or in combination with carfentrazone-ethyl controls emergent invasive plants, including Uruguay water primrose [Ludwigia grandiflora (Michx.) Greuter & Burdet ssp. hexapetala (Hook. & Arn.) G.L. Nesom & Kartesz; syn: Ludwigia hexapetala (Hook. & Arn.) Zardini, Gu, & Raven] (Enloe and Lauer 2017; Enloe et al. 2020b). Imazamox has been shown to selectively control cattail (*Typha domingensis* Pers.) with no measurable impact on several native emergent species in a sawgrass (Cladium sp.) marsh (Rodgers and Black 2012). Carfentrazone-ethyl alone controlled water hyacinth [Eichhornia crassipes (Mart.) Solms], water lettuce (Pistia stratiotes L.), and water fern (Salvinia minima Baker), but was selective for landoltia [Landoltia punctata (G. Mey.) D. H. Les & D. J. Crawford] (Koschnick et al. 2004). Carfentrazone-ethyl has also been useful in combination with other modes of action to broaden the spectrum of weed control in both aquatic and upland conditions (Brosnan et al. 2012; Gray et al. 2007; Sharma and Singh 2007).

Imazamox also selectively controls certain woody species, including Chinese tallowtree [Triadica sebifera (L.) Small] in bottomland hardwood sites (Enloe et al. 2015) and hen's eyes (Ardisia crenata Sims) in hardwood hammocks (Cristan et al. 2019). Oaks (Quercus spp.) are generally tolerant to imazamox (Vasic et al. 2014). However, there are limited data on the impact of carfentrazone-ethyl on woody species in general, given that its primary uses have been for herbaceous weed control in agricultural, turf, and aquatic settings. It is possible that these herbicides will also provide effective, selective control of S. terebinthifolia without damaging mangroves.

Given the difficulties of *S. terebinthifolia* management within mangrove communities, there is a need for selective aquatic-labeled herbicide treatments that can be used for aerial applications. Here, we evaluated the sensitivity of *S. terebinthifolia* and four mangrove species to imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl. If

successful, this would be the first selective foliar treatment option for woody invasive plant control in mangroves.

Materials and Methods

A greenhouse study was conducted from July 2018 through January 2019 at the University of Florida's Center for Aquatic and Invasive Plants in Gainesville, FL (29.721542°N, 82.417300° W). The study was conducted in parallel with other mangrove herbicide studies and used a similar approach (Enloe et al. 2020a). Schinus terebinthifolia plants were propagated from seed collected in Largo, FL (27.882833°N, 82.811725°W). Four mangrove species, all native to Florida, were evaluated. These included black mangrove [Avicennia germinans (L.) L.], button mangrove (Conocarpus erectus L.), white mangrove [Laguncularia racemosa (L.) C.F. Gaertn.], and red mangrove (Rhizophora mangle L.). All mangroves were purchased from a commercial nursery (Florida Native Plants Nursery, Sarasota, FL, USA).

Saplings of all five species were grown out to approximately 30 cm in height and transplanted into 11.3-L pots containing a commercial potting mix (Sun Gro® Metro-Mix® 510, Sun Gro Horticulture, Agawam, MA, USA) and slow-release fertilizer (Osmocote® Plus, Scotts, Maryville, OH, USA). Pots were placed in 51-L tubs and subirrigated with well water (0.2 ppt salinity). Subirrigation levels varied by species in tubs. Schinus terebinthifolia, C. erectus, and L. racemosa were submersed to 3-cm depth while R. mangle and A. germinans were submersed at a slightly greater depth of 5 cm to simulate the commonly wetter, more inundated conditions that they grow within. Water levels were evaluated weekly and adjusted as needed to maintain depth. Plants were maintained under these conditions until they reached 80 cm in height and approximately 1.7 cm in root collar diameter.

Two experimental runs were conducted on July 18 and 24, 2018. Plants were treated with foliar applications of imazamox (Clearcast*, SePRO, Carmel, IN, USA) alone at 0, 0.28, or 0.56 kg ai ha⁻¹ or in combination with carfentrazone-ethyl (Stingray*, SePRO) at 0 or 0.1 kg ai ha⁻¹. For imazamox, these rates were based on rate recommendations for aquatic and woody species (Anonymous 2016). For carfentrazone-ethyl, the rate selected was based upon recommendations for several aquatic species (Anonymous 2017). All herbicide treatments included a methylated seed oil (MSO Concentrate with Leci-Tech, Helena, Collierville, TN, USA) at 2.3 L ha⁻¹. Treatments were applied over the foliar canopy of each plant as a single directional pass, 45 cm above the highest point of the canopy. We used a CO₂-pressurized backpack sprayer equipped with three TeeJet® 11002 DG nozzles (TeeJet Technologies, Spraying Systems, Wheaton, IL, USA) calibrated to deliver 187 L ha⁻¹ at 276 kPa. To prevent drift injury in the confined greenhouse, all plants were briefly removed from their tubs, treated outside, and allowed to air-dry for several minutes before being returned to the greenhouse. Daily greenhouse temperatures were maintained between 29/24 C (maximum/minimum) throughout both experimental runs, with supplemental heating during the winter months.

Visual estimations of percent defoliation were conducted at 30 and 90 d after treatment (DAT) for all species, as well as at 180 DAT for *S. terebinthifolia*. A percentile scale was used, where 0% indicated no defoliation, while 100% indicated complete defoliation. Additional quantitative estimates of plant health were made for all species at 180 DAT to determine percent cambium kill. Percent cambium kill was determined for each species by gently scraping the bark with a razor blade on one side of the main

stem, along the whole length between the terminal bud and the root collar. The length of live cambium starting from the collar was recorded as a proportion of the total stem length. For all species, live cambium was distinguished as green and hydrated, while dead cambium was identified as being dry and brown. Plant survival was determined using cambium data and the visual percent defoliation data; plants with no live green cambium to the soil line and 100% defoliation were recorded as dead, and all others were recorded as alive. For the four mangrove species, total leaf area and leaf counts were also taken at 180 DAT. Leaf area was measured with a leaf area meter LI-3100C (Li-Cor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA).

Statistical Analysis

A completely randomized design was used, and there were four replicate pots per treatment with two experimental runs. Data were subjected to a mixed-model ANOVA, in which imazamox rate and carfentrazone inclusion were considered fixed effects, while experimental run and replicate (nested in experimental run) were considered random effects. The efficacy of imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl herbicides were compared within species. The analysis partitioned treatment comparisons to that of a 2 by 2 factorial part for imazamox level (0.28 or 0.56 kg ha⁻¹) with or without carfentrazone-ethyl and a comparison of treatments to the appropriate controls (nontreated or carfentrazone only). Treatment means and main effect means were compared with both the nontreated control and the carfentrazone-ethyl only reference treatment via Bonferroni adjustment for multiplicity at the 5% level.

Analysis was performed using the PROC GLIMMIX (Littell et al. 2006) package of SAS° v. 9.4 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). All percent data utilized the arcsine square-root transformation, and the count data (leaf count, leaf area) utilized the square-root transformation to improve normality and homogeneity of variance (Snedecor and Cochran 1989). Further nonhomogeneity of variance was addressed by grouping variation within run by treatment, when appropriate, based on Akaike's information criteria and residual graphs. Treatment means were compared at the 5% significance level using Tukey's adjustment for multiplicity, and the comparison of main effect means and treatment means to the appropriate controls (nontreated or carfentrazone only) were performed using Bonferroni's adjustment for multiplicity.

Results and Discussion

There were no significant interactions between imazamox rate and carfentrazone-ethyl on *S. terebinthifolia* response at any sample date (P > 0.05); however, main effects were detected for both herbicides (Table 1). *Schinus terebinthifolia* defoliation did not differ between imazamox rates at any sample date. Additionally, *S. terebinthifolia* defoliation was not different between either imazamox rates or the carfentrazone-ethyl reference treatment at any sample date. However, defoliation with imazamox at either rate was always greater than for the nontreated control. Inclusion of carfentrazone-ethyl resulted in 23% greater *S. terebinthifolia* defoliation at 30 DAT, although defoliation at 90 and 180 DAT was similar among treatments with and without carfentrazone-ethyl. Likewise, 37% greater *S. terebinthifolia* defoliation was observed at 30 DAT when carfentrazone-ethyl plus imazamox at either rate was applied compared with carfentrazone-ethyl alone.

Schinus terebinthifolia cambium kill was 25% greater in treatments containing imazamox at $0.56~\rm kg~ha^{-1}$ compared with imazamox at $0.28~\rm kg~ha^{-1}$ (Table 1). Similarly, S. terebinthifolia

cambium kill increased 29% when carfentrazone-ethyl was included in imazamox treatments compared with imazamox alone. However, *S. terebinthifolia* cambium kill in all treatments containing imazamox was similar to carfentrazone-ethyl alone. *Schinus terebinthifolia* survival did not differ between imazamox rates or among imazamox treatments and the nontreated control or carfentrazone-ethyl alone (Table 1). However, *S. terebinthifolia* survival decreased 37% when carfentrazone-ethyl was included in imazamox treatments. Regardless, *S. terebinthifolia* survival did not differ from the nontreated control in any herbicide treatment.

For *A. germinans*, the interaction between imazamox rate and carfentrazone-ethyl and the imazamox main effect were not significant for any variables tested. By 90 DAT, imazamox at both rates resulted in 58% to 59% defoliation, which was different from the nontreated control (Table 2). At 180 DAT, a similar pattern was found for leaf count, leaf area, and percent cambium kill, as imazamox significantly reduced the first two variables and increased the third compared with the nontreated controls. Survival at 180 DAT was not different from that of the nontreated control. However, the defoliation data, when coupled with the negative impact on leaves and live cambium, eliminate the possibility of operational treatment selectivity for this species.

As a main effect, carfentrazone-ethyl significantly increased percent defoliation of *A. germinans* from 26% to 92% at 90 DAT (Table 2). This level of defoliation was greater than in the nontreated controls and the reference carfentrazone-ethyl control. By 180 DAT, carfentrazone-ethyl reduced leaf count and leaf area and increased percent cambium kill compared with the nontreated control. The severity of these reductions would also preclude the use of carfentrazone-ethyl as a selective treatment when *A. germinans* is present.

For *C. erectus*, there were no significant interactions between imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl for any variables tested or significant main effects for either of the two herbicides except percent survival for imazamox. *Conocarpus erectus* was very sensitive to both herbicides, as imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl resulted in \geq 73% defoliation at 90 DAT (Table 3). At 180 DAT, the high imazamox rate significantly reduced leaf count and leaf area and increased percent cambium kill compared with the nontreated control. The high rate of imazamox also reduced survival from 81% to 19% compared with the low rate. Carfentrazone-ethyl also reduced *C. erectus* leaf count and leaf area compared with the nontreated control.

For *R. mangle*, there were no significant interactions between herbicides for all variables tested, and the impact of both herbicides was generally severe. At 90 DAT, percent defoliation was 75% to 100% for both herbicides (Table 4). At 180 DAT, the high rate of imazamox reduced leaf count and leaf area and increased percent cambium kill and percent survival compared with the nontreated control. Carfentrazone-ethyl also reduced leaf count, leaf area, and live cambium of *R. mangle* compared with the nontreated control.

For *L. racemosa*, results were quite similar to *R. mangle* and *C. erectus*. There were no significant interactions between herbicides for all variables tested. Both imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl resulted in high levels of defoliation at 90 DAT and reduced leaf count and leaf area and increased percent cambium kill at 180 DAT compared with the nontreated control (Table 5).

The results from this study are highly informative concerning the impact of two aquatic herbicides on *S. terebinthifolia* and the four non-target mangrove species. The data indicate that both imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl provided good defoliation of *S. terebinthifolia*. However, the presence of live green cambium

Table 1. Comparison of imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl main effects on *Schinus terebinthifolia* percent defoliation at 30 and 90 d after treatment (DAT) and percent cambium kill and percent survival at 180 DAT.^a

	30 DAT	90 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT
Main effect rate ^b		Defoliation		Cambium	Survival
kg ha $^{-1}$		- %		% kill ^c	% ^d
Imazamox					
0.28	78 a*	78 a*	79 a*	54 b*	69 a
0.56	77 a*	98 a*	99 a*	79 a*	56 a
Carfentrazone-ethyl					
0	66 b*	79 a*	81 a*	52 b*	81 a
0.1	89 a*#	98 a*	97 a*	81 a*	44 b
Reference controls					
Nontreated	0	0	1	1	100
Carfentrazone-ethyl alone	52	54	56	62	50

^aMeans within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by the same letter are not different according to Tukey's adjustment for multiplicity (α = 0.05). Means within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by an asterisk (*) are different from the nontreated reference at the 5% level using the Bonferroni adjustment for multiplicity. Means within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by a number sign (#) are different from the carfentrazone-ethyl only reference at the 5% level using the Bonferroni adjustment for multiplicity.

Table 2. Comparison of imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl main effects on *Avicennia germinans* percent defoliation at 30 and 90 d after treatment (DAT) and leaf count, leaf area, cambium percent kill, and percent survival at 180 DAT.^a

	30 DAT	90 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT
Main effect rate ^b	Defoliation		Leaf count	Leaf area	Cambium	Survival
kg ha ⁻¹		% ———	no. plant ⁻¹	cm² plant ⁻¹	% kill ^c	% ^d
Imazamox						
0.28	58 a*	59 a*	47 a*	279 a*#	41 a*#	75 a
0.56	41 a*	58 a*	31 a*	220 a*#	47 a*#	69 a
Carfentrazone-ethyl						
0	18 b*	26 b*	66 a	451 a*	10 a	100 a
0.1	82 a*	92 a*#	12 b*	48 b*#	77 b*#	44 b
Reference controls						
Nontreated	0	0	139	939	2	100
Carfentrazone-ethyl alone	32	30	81	472	12	100

^aMeans within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level (LSD). Means within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by an asterisk (*) are different from the nontreated reference at the 5% level using the Bonferroni adjustment for multiplicity. Means within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by a number sign (#) are different from the carfentrazone-ethyl alone reference at the 5% level using the Bonferroni adjustment for multiplicity.

Table 3. Comparison of imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl main effects on *Conocarpus erectus* percent defoliation at 30 and 90 d after treatment (DAT) and leaf count, leaf area, cambium percent kill, and percent survival at 180 DAT.^a

	30 DAT	90 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT
Main effect rate ^b	Defoliation		Leaf count	Leaf area	Cambium	Survival
kg ha ⁻¹ Imazamox	0	/o ———	no. plant ⁻¹	cm² plant ⁻¹	% kill ^c	% ^d
0.28	83 a *	73 a	111 a	612 a	60 a	81 a
0.56	94 a *	100 a*	0 a*	0 a*	91 a*	19 b
Carfentrazone-ethyl						
0	83 a *	76 a	107 a	587 a	75 a	44 a
0.1	93 a *#	97 a*	3 a*	24 a*	76 a	56 a
Reference controls						
Nontreated	0	0	349	2,230	2	100
Carfentrazone-ethyl alone	74	51	227	1,095	46	100

^aMeans within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level (LSD). Means within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by an asterisk (*) are different from the nontreated reference at the 5% level using the Bonferroni adjustment for multiplicity. Means within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by a number sign (#) are different from the carfentrazone-ethyl alone reference at the 5% level using the Bonferroni adjustment for multiplicity.

^bA methylated seed oil was added to each herbicide treatment at 2.3 L ha⁻¹.

^cPercent cambium kill was calculated from the length of live cambium measured in relation to the total stem length.

^dPlant survival was determined as follows: plants with 100% defoliation and no live green cambium from the tallest shoot tip to the soil line were recorded as dead, and all others were recorded as alive.

^bA methylated seed oil was added to each herbicide treatment at 2.3 L ha⁻¹.

Percent cambium kill was calculated from the length of live cambium measured in relation to the total stem length.

^dPlant survival was determined as follows: plants with 100% defoliation and no live green cambium from the tallest shoot tip to the soil line were recorded as dead, and all others were recorded as alive.

^bA methylated seed oil was added to each herbicide treatment at 2.3 L ha⁻¹.

Percent cambium kill was calculated from the length of live cambium measured in relation to the total stem length.

^dPlant survival was determined as follows: plants with 100% defoliation and no live green cambium from the tallest shoot tip to the soil line were recorded as dead, and all others were recorded as alive.

Table 4. Comparison of imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl main effects on *Rhizophora mangle* percent defoliation at 30 and 90 d after treatment (DAT) and leaf count, leaf area, cambium percent kill, and percent survival at 180 DAT.^a

	30 DAT	90 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT
Main effect rate ^b	Defoliation		Leaf count	Leaf area	Cambium	Survival
kg ha ⁻¹ Imazamox	(%	no. plant ⁻¹	cm² plant ⁻¹	% kill ^c	% ^d
0.28	98 a *	75 a	11 a	109 a*	53 a	56 a
0.56	99 a *	100 a*	0 a*	0 a*	96 a*	6 b*
Carfentrazone-ethyl						
0	97 a *	75 a	11 a	109 a*	72 a	31 a
0.1	99 a *	100 a*	0 a*	0 a*	77 a*	31 a
Reference controls						
Nontreated	1	0	55	836	0	100
Carfentrazone-ethyl alone	96	65	25	149	32	88

^aMeans within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level (LSD). Means within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by an asterisk (*) are different from the nontreated reference at the 5% level using the Bonferroni adjustment for multiplicity. Means within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by a number sign (#) are different from the carfentrazone-ethyl alone reference at the 5% level using the Bonferroni adjustment for multiplicity.

Table 5. Comparison of imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl main effects on *Laguncularia racemosa* percent defoliation at 30 and 90 d after treatment (DAT) and leaf count, leaf area, cambium percent kill, and percent survival at 180 DAT.^a

	30 DAT	90 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT	180 DAT
Main effect herbicide rate ^b	Defoliation		Leaf count	Leaf area	Cambium	Survival
kg ha ⁻¹	%		no. plant ⁻¹	cm² plant ⁻¹	% kill ^c	% ^d
Imazamox						
0.28	65 a *	69 a*	52 a	697 a	49 a	69 a
0.56	77 a *	91 a*	11 a*	131 a*	91 a*	12 a
Carfentrazone-ethyl						
0	66 a *	70 a*	49 a	654 a	78 a*	25 a
0.1	77 a *	90 a*	14 a*	174 a*	61 a*	56 a
Reference controls						
Nontreated	3	1	158	2,434	1	100
Carfentrazone-ethyl alone	67	61	104	978	50	50

^aMeans within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level (LSD). Means within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by an asterisk (*) are different from the nontreated reference at the 5% level using the Bonferroni adjustment for multiplicity. Means within a column and main effect (imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl) followed by a number sign (#) are different from the carfentrazone-ethyl alone reference at the 5% level using the Bonferroni adjustment for multiplicity.

at 180 DAT following either herbicide alone indicated a lack of effective long-term control. Furthermore, the lack of significant interaction between imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl does not support any concept of useful synergism between the two herbicides on the target species. Although the tank mix with the lower rate of imazamox and carfentrazone-ethyl appeared promising at 30 DAT, the results were not significant at later evaluation dates. This agrees with other reports on fast-acting, protoporphyrinogen oxidase-inhibiting herbicides increasing the speed of defoliation of other lignified species in aquatic systems but offering no long-term complementation with slower-acting amino acid inhibitors (Enloe and Lauer 2017).

Additionally, these data indicate high levels of injury to all four mangrove species from both herbicides. Early injury, as defoliation, was high for each species. This injury was also expressed in total reduction in leaf number and area and significant percent cambium kill at 180 DAT. The mangrove species tested have been previously documented to be highly sensitive to auxin-type herbicides (Enloe et al. 2020a; Teas 1976; Westing 1971). The imidazolinone

herbicide imazapyr was reported to be highly injurious to *R. mangle* in eradication efforts in Hawaii, where it is nonnative (MacKenzie and Kryss 2013). However, this is the first documented evidence of sensitivity to imazamox or carfentrazone-ethyl. Although not germane to mangrove protection, the high level of activity exhibited on these mangrove species warrants testing on invasive plants in the same families, including Mexican petunia (*Ruellia simplex C. Wright; Acanthaceae*), West Indian almond (*Terminalia catappa L.; Combretaceae*), and large-leafed orange mangrove [*Bruguiera gymnorhiza* (L.) Lam. ex Savigny; Rhizophoraceae]. All of these are locally problematic in Florida and additional management tools would be useful for each one (Langeland et al. 2008).

In conclusion, given that all four mangrove species are protected in Florida, these herbicides would not be useful for selectively eliminating *S. terebinthifolia* from mangrove communities. Currently, there are several herbicide active ingredients known to be highly effective, albeit without selectivity. While the search for a selective broadcast application is not exhausted, we have

^bA methylated seed oil was added to each herbicide treatment at 2.3 L ha⁻¹.

^cPercent cambium kill was calculated from the length of live cambium measured in relation to the total stem length.

dPlant survival was determined as follows: plants with 100% defoliation and no live green cambium from the tallest shoot tip to the soil line were recorded as dead, and all others were recorded as alive.

 $^{^{\}mathrm{b}}\mathrm{A}$ methylated seed oil was added to each herbicide treatment at 2.3 L ha^{-1} .

Percent cambium kill was calculated from the length of live cambium measured in relation to the total stem length.

dPlant survival was determined as follows: plants with 100% defoliation and no live green cambium from the tallest shoot tip to the soil line were recorded as dead, and all others were recorded as alive.

learned that mangroves are sensitive to a broader range of herbicides than previously known. *Schinus terebinthifolia* invasion has not reached its apex and will continue to alter the structure and function of mangrove ecosystems without action. Future research should revisit known effective chemistries to determine optimal thresholds that maintain efficacy on *S. terebinthifolia* while minimizing non-target damage.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Colette Jacono for assistance in mangrove propagation and maintenance as well as Jessie Solomon, Kenzie Bell, and Matt Shinego for assistance in treatment and data collection. This project was funded by the U.S. Department of Defense through the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers under agreement number W9126G-18-2-0065. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Anonymous (2016) Clearcast® herbicide label. Carmel, IN: SePRO Corporation. 8 p Anonymous (2017) Stingray® herbicide label. Carmel, IN: SePRO Corporation. 3 p Brosnan J, Breeden G, Henry G, Walls F (2012) Sulfentrazone and carfentrazone-ethyl accelerate broadleaf weed control with metsulfuron. Weed Technol 26:549–553
- Cristan R, Minogue PJ, Enloe SF, Sellers B, Osiecka A (2019) Selective herbicides for control of hen's eyes (*Ardisia crenata*) in forests and natural areas. Invasive Plant Sci Manag 12:229–235
- Dlamini P, Zachariades C, Downs CT (2018) The effect of frugivorous birds on seed dispersal and germination of the invasive Brazilian pepper tree (*Schinus terebinthifolius*) and Indian laurel (*Litsea glutinosa*). S Afr J Bot 114:61–68
- Donnelly MJ, Walters LJ (2008) Water and boating activity as dispersal vectors for *Schinus terebinthifolius* (Brazilian pepper) seeds in freshwater and estuarine habitats. Estuar Coast 31:960–968
- Doren RF, Jones DT (1997) Management in Everglades National Park. Pages 275–286 *in* Simberloff D, Schmitz DC, Brown TC, eds. Strangers in Paradise: Impact and Management of Nonindigenous Species in Florida. Washington, DC: Island Press
- Enloe SF, Lauer DK (2017) Uruguay waterprimrose control with herbicides. J Aquat Plant Manage 55:71–75
- Enloe SF, Leary JK, Prince CM, Sperry BP, Lauer DK (2020a) Brazilian peppertree and mangrove species response to foliar-applied novel auxin-type herbicides. Invasive Plant Sci Manag 13:102–107
- Enloe S, Loewenstein N, Streett D, Lauer D (2015) Herbicide treatment and application method influence root sprouting in Chinese tallowtree (*Triadica sebifera*). Invasive Plant Sci Manag 8:160–168
- Enloe SF, Prince C, Banu AG, Lauer, DK (2020b) The influence of fluctuating water depth on herbicide efficacy for Uruguayan water primrose (*Ludwigia hexapetala*) control. J Aquat Plant Manage 58:188–122

- Ewe S, Sternberg L (2007) Water uptake patterns of an invasive exotic plant in coastal saline habitats and source. J Coastal Res 23:255–264
- Gray CJ, Madsen JD, Wersal RM, Getsinger KD (2007) Eurasian watermilfoil and parrotfeather control using carfentrazone-ethyl. J Aquat Plant Manage 45:43–46
- Jones DT, Doren RF (1997) The distribution, biology, and control of Schinus terebinthifolius in southern Florida, with special reference to Everglades National Park. Pages 81–93 in Brock JH, Wade M, Pysek P, Green D, eds. Plant Invasions: Studies from North America and Europe. Leiden, Netherlands: Backhuys
- Koschnick TJ, Haller WT, Chen AW (2004) Carfentrazone-ethyl pond dissipation and efficacy on floating plants. J Aquat Plant Manage 42:103–108
- Laegdsgaard P, Johnson C (2001) Why do juvenile fish utilize mangrove habitats? J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 257:229–253
- Langeland KA, Cherry HM, McCormick CM, Craddock Burks KA (2008) Identification and Biology of Nonnative Plants in Florida Natural Areas. Gainesville: University of Florida IFAS Communications Services. 193 p
- Littell RC, Milliken GA, Stroup WW, Wolfinger RD, Schabenberger O (2006) SAS® for Mixed Models. 2nd ed. Cary, NC: SAS Institute. 814 p
- MacKenzie RA, Kryss CL (2013) Impacts of exotic mangroves and chemical eradication of mangroves on tide pool fish assemblages. Mar Ecol Progr Ser 472:219–237
- Nagelkerken I, Blaber SJM, Bouillon S, Green P, Haywood M, Kirton LG, Meynecke JO, Pawlik J, Penrose HM, Sasekumar A, Somerfield PJ (2008) The habitat function of mangroves for terrestrial and marine fauna: a review. Aquat Bot 89:155–185
- Rodgers L, Black D (2012) Effects of aerially-applied imazamox on southern cattail and non-target emergent vegetation in a eutrophic sawgrass marsh. J Aquat Plant Manage 50:125–129
- Sharma SD, Singh M (2007) Effect of timing and rates of application of glyphosate and carfentrazone-ethyl herbicides and their mixtures on the control of some broadleaf weeds. Hort Sci 42:1221–1226
- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG (1989) Statistical Methods. 8th ed. Ames: Iowa State University Press. 491 p
- Teas HJ (1976) Herbicide Toxicity in Mangroves. EPA-600/3-76-004. Gulf Breeze FL: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 33 p
- Thayer GW, Colby DR, Hettler WF (1987) Utilization of the red mangrove prop root habitat. Mar Ecol Progr Ser 35:25–38
- Tomlinson PB (1994) The Botany of Mangroves. Cambridge: University of Cambridge Press. 419 p
- Vasic V, Konstantinovic B, Orlovic S (2014) Application of post-emergence herbicides in the regeneration of pedunculate oak (*Quercus robur* L.) forests. Forestry 87:407–415
- Westing AH (1971) Ecological effects of military defoliation on forests of South Vietnam. BioScience 21:893–898