

## **Instructions for Reviewers for JEPS:**

The Journal of Experimental Political Science (JEPS) features research – be it theoretical, empirical, methodological, or some combination thereof - that utilizes experimental methods or experimental reasoning based on naturally occurring data. We define experimental methods broadly: research featuring random (or quasi-random) assignment of subjects to different treatments in an effort to isolate causal relationships between variables of interest. JEPS embraces all of the different types of experiments carried out as part of political science research, including survey experiments, laboratory experiments, field experiments, lab experiments in the field, natural and neurological experiments.

Please note that JEPS also encourages authors to submit scientific replication studies (such as previous experimental designs tested on new subject pools, or re-examinations of previous empirical results using new methods, etc.) and null results on central research questions in the discipline. Therefore, we ask that reviewers not judge papers negatively purely because the work is a replication of pre-existing research or because the results turn out to not be significant.

JEPS primarily publishes concise articles of approximately 2500 words that immediately address the subject of the research. Submissions of manuscripts longer than this limit (up to 35 pages) are allowed on first submission during the review process with the understanding that the paper will be shortened within the word constraints during the review process. As a reviewer, then, we ask that you not reject papers that are longer than 2500 words purely because of the length (although manuscripts longer than 35 pages should not be sent to you for review unless we have pre-approved them), but, if you are recommending a revise and resubmit or acceptance, to help identify what could be cut to bring it down the standard 2500 words JEPS format. We realize that this is a new style of publication for most authors, so any help you can provide would be most welcome.

Authors may also submit additional materials beyond the 35 page limit for a supplementary online appendix. However, authors are aware, as you should be, that reviewers are under no obligation to review such materials.

We also publish a limited number of more lengthy articles in appropriate cases, as in the following examples: when a new experimental method or approach is being introduced and discussed, when a meta-analysis of existing experimental research is provided, or when new theoretical results are being evaluated through experimentation and the theoretical results are previously unpublished. We expect that authors will self-identify in these categories, but if you receive a manuscript to review that fits one of these categories that you think should be exempted from the general 2500 word limit, please let us know in your comments to the editors.

Papers that report on original experiments are recommended to follow the Reporting Standards for Experimental Research (Lab, Field, and Survey) devised by the Experimental Standards Committee of the American Political Science Association's Organized Section on Experimental

Research, which can be found here ([url link to standards document](#)). In some cases the details on how authors meet these standards may be found in the supplementary materials.