

## ***Animal Health Research Reviews***

*Animal Health Research Reviews* provides an international forum for the publication of reviews and commentaries on all aspects of animal health. The journal covers all facets of animal health and science, including but not limited to both infectious and non-infectious diseases in domestic and wild animals. Articles may be in-depth reviews of a specific aspect of a disease or pathogen, or may cover all aspects of a disease.

The journal publishes both solicited and unsolicited articles. Review papers will generally be commissioned by the Editors. However we welcome suggestions for papers and request that you send a brief synopsis (300 words or less) to [ahrjournal@cambridge.org](mailto:ahrjournal@cambridge.org) before embarking on a full paper. This will help us tailor papers to the intended readership and minimize the risks of review duplication.

As a contributor you should note and follow the guidelines set out below.

### **Article Types**

*Animal Health Research Reviews* will publish three manuscript types.

#### **Reviews**

These papers include overviews of recent research literature in a larger subtopic or comparative reviews of related work in different species. Reviews will normally be specially commissioned but we would welcome any suggestions from authors for reviews they wish to write. Reviews can be comparative across species or be confined to a single species. Reviews will normally be 5000-8000 words in length.

#### **Systematic Reviews**

A systematic review uses a replicable stepwise approach to identifying, evaluating and summarizing scientific evidence relevant to a specific question about topics such as interventions, etiology, disease burden (prevalence/incidence) and detection. Explicit structured steps to conduct systematic reviews should be followed as defined in *Animal Health Research Reviews* 15(1): 3-13 (doi:10.1017/S146625231400005X).

Style for systematic reviews. The PRISMA guidelines should be used to prepare the draft and include each recommended heading. Check the PRISMA website for the latest reporting guidelines for your review as these are being added frequently (<http://www.prisma-statement.org/Default.aspx> and <http://www.prisma-statement.org/Extensions/Default.aspx>). If a recommended heading was not used, please indicate with 1-2 sentences why this heading was not relevant in the cover letter. If the authors did not submit the protocol for peer review, and instead are including the protocol as a supplement with submission of the full review, the date the protocol was finalized and the review started must be stated. For protocols, do not modify the protocol after you start the review. Provide the protocol as supplemental material and any

modifications that occurred AFTER the date of protocol was finalized should be noted in the manuscript (see PRIMSA for an explanation of this). Certain aspects may not comply fully with the PRISMA checklist for some reviews. The checklist will not be used as a tool for judging the suitability of manuscripts for publication, but is intended as an aid for authors to clearly, completely and transparently let reviewers and readers know how the review was conducted.

Style for systematic review protocols submitted for peer review. The PRISMA-P guidelines should be used to prepare the draft and include each recommended heading (<http://systematicreviewsjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/2046-4053-4-1>). If a recommended heading was not used, please indicate with 1-2 sentences why this heading was not relevant. Certain aspects may not comply fully with the PRISMA checklist for some protocols. The checklist will not be used as a tool for judging the suitability of manuscripts for publication in the AHRR Systematic Reviews section, but is intended as an aid for authors to clearly, completely and transparently let reviewers and readers know what authors intend to do.

### **Opinion**

These papers will be short (2000 words or less) and adopt a polemical style. They can address controversial issues or take an unorthodox interpretation of recent results. They should be scientific in nature with a critical assessment of research findings and conclusions, but may also address wider concerns such as globalization, economic issues, planning and management of research, education or implementation in areas concerning animal and human health and welfare. They must be well supported by appropriate citations and evidence, be free of libelous or scandalous material, but otherwise should be broad-ranging and aim to stimulate debate.

### **Peer Review**

All contributions will be reviewed by at least two referees to ensure both accuracy and relevance. The referees' reports will provide a basis on whether we accept a paper. Revision may be required before final acceptance. You should suggest the names and contact details (including e-mail address) of at least two and up to four, potential referees for your paper.

### **Submission of Manuscripts**

Please include the title, running title, authors, correspondence details (including e-mail addresses), abstract, key words, main text, tables, artwork, and references. (For more details see the "Manuscript Presentation" section below.)

All manuscripts should be submitted online by the corresponding author to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ahrr>. New users may register and create an account at this website. Upon receipt of the paper we will assign it a unique manuscript number. Please put this in the subject box for any e-mail correspondence. (We suggest you use the reply button for new messages as this will automatically place the number in the subject box.)

### **Manuscript Presentation**

#### **Arrangement of Papers**

Please arrange your paper in the following order.

Title page. This should show:

- The article title
- Authors and addresses (include e-mail addresses) - one author should be identified for correspondence
- A running title
- An indicative abstract that should not exceed 300 words.
- Keywords

The text, divided under appropriate headings

- Clearly differentiate between primary (bold, large font size), secondary (bold, text font size) and tertiary (italics) headings

Acknowledgements

References

Tables (each on a separate sheet)

Captions to illustrations (group on a separate sheet or sheets)

Illustrations, each on a separate sheet containing no text

### **Notes about Style**

We ask that you follow the instructions below. This will minimize the risk of errors being introduced during the publishing process.

- Use double line spacing and ample margins (at least 2.5 cm) on each side
- Separate each paragraph by a blank line. Do not indent the start of each paragraph.
- Do not underline anything
- Number every page
- Number each line
- Use italics for taxonomic nomenclature and bold for headings
- Use standard abbreviations (e.g. Fig. and Figs) and SI units
- Ensure text figures, line drawings, computer-generated figures and graphs are of sufficient size and quality to allow for reduction
- Avoid the use of grey tints or complex hatching
- Only use halftone photographs where they make a real contribution to the text
- Type Figure captions and numbers on a separate sheet
- There is no longer a fee for color images

### **Technical and Nomenclature standards**

All work should use SI units as standard.

Anatomical terms can be a mixture of the English vernacular and Latin, depending on current

usage. When a Latin term is selected for use then it should correspond with the *Nominal Anatomica Veterinaria*. Where doubts could arise, then the first time a vernacular term is used, the Latin should be provided in parentheses; thereafter the vernacular can be used alone.

### **Tables**

Tables should be in a simple form. They should not be used if text or illustrations give the same information. They should be submitted on separate sheets at the end of the article. Each table must be accompanied by a clear and concise caption.

### **References**

Referencing should be by the Harvard system, i.e. the authors and dates should be provided within parentheses in the running text, e.g. "according to Hector and MacFarland (Hector, MacFarland, 1975)".

Your readers will require access to your sources. Please ensure that references are complete and correct, i.e. that they include, where relevant, author / editor name(s), article or book title, volume and issue number, publisher, and page reference. You should use the following conventions when providing the full citations in the reference lists.

#### *Journal articles*

Shibata I, Mori M, and Uruno K (1997). Experimental infection of maternally immune pigs with porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) virus. *Journal of Veterinary and Medical Science* 60: 1-13.

#### *Book chapters*

Sanderson CJ (1999). Cytokines active on eosinophils. In: Makino S and Fukuda T (Eds) *Eosinophils: Biological and Clinical Aspects*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, pp. 274-287.

#### *Books*

Dalton JP (1999). *Fasciolosis*, p. 57. Wallingford: CABI Publishing. Copyright

### **General Comments**

Cambridge University Press accepts papers on the understanding that the work has been submitted exclusively to *Animal Health Research Reviews* and has not been previously published. We will supply you with a copyright-transfer form that must be completed and returned to Cambridge University Press with the final manuscript.

Note that it is your responsibility to ensure that permission has been obtained from the copyright owner if you wish to reuse any figures or illustrations from previously published work.

### **Proofs**

We will contact and send proofs for correction to the corresponding author, who will receive PDF page proofs for checking. You should avoid substantial changes to the text. We reserve the right to charge you for any alterations, other than typesetter corrections, of more than 10% of

original text.

### **Offprints**

Cambridge University Press will send the corresponding author a PDF file of the final published article for use. There will also be an option to purchase offprints.

### **Cambridge Journals Language Editing Service**

We suggest that authors whose first language is not English have their manuscripts checked by a native English speaker before submission. This is optional, but will help to ensure that any submissions that reach peer review can be judged exclusively on academic merit. We offer a Cambridge service which you can find out more about [here](#), and suggest that authors contact as appropriate. Please note that use of language editing services is voluntary, and at the author's own expense. Use of these services does not guarantee that the manuscript will be accepted for publication, nor does it restrict the author to submitting to a Cambridge-published journal.

Last revised 18 May 2017