ReCALL

ReCALL has a specific address for submissions: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/recall

Aims and scope

ReCALL is the journal of the European Association for Computer Assisted Language Learning (EUROCALL). It seeks to fulfill the stated aims of EUROCALL as a whole, and more particularly to promote the use of foreign languages within Europe and beyond, providing an international focus for the promulgation of innovative research in the area of Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) and teaching, and related domains such as Mobile Assisted Language Learning (MALL) and Technology Enhanced Language Learning (TELL).

Typical subjects for submissions include theoretical debate on second language acquisition and development in technology-rich learning environments and their influence on design; practical applications at developmental stage; evaluative studies of the use of technology in the teaching and learning process; assessment of the potential of technological advances in the delivery of language learning materials and enactment of language learning activities; exploitation of online information systems; and discussions of policy and strategy at institutional and discipline levels. Survey papers are welcome provided that they are timely, up-to-date and well-structured.

Following standard procedure, submissions to *ReCALL* are double-blind peer-reviewed by three researchers with relevant expertise and credentials.

General instructions

Submission of a paper to *ReCALL* is held to imply that it represents an original contribution not previously published and that it is not being considered elsewhere.

The language of *ReCALL* is normally English. However, papers in other major European Languages, with an abstract in English, are welcome.

Papers submitted to *ReCALL* should meet the following criteria for original academic research in the field of CALL:

- There should be a clearly-stated **topic of investigation**, under a suitably academic title, supported by a rationale which relates the investigation to specific areas of research and/or development and/or practice in computer-assisted language learning.

- The topic should be **located with regard to other work in CALL** and related fields, by means of a literature or state-of-the-art review, which makes it clear what has been learned from the work of others, and what is original about the current investigation. This should be reflected in the reference list to include recent and relevant research from some of the major CALL journals (*ReCALL*, *LLT*, *CALL*, *Calico Journal*, etc.).

- There should be a **coherent and appropriate method** of investigation to address clear research questions, in which the nature of actual or potential findings, outcomes or products is clearly indicated. Methods may include theoretical discussion, experimental or ethnographic studies, design or evaluation methodologies, action research, or any other systematic way of generating an outcome to the investigation.

- Where appropriate, there should be evidence, or consideration, of relevant **data analysis** and its role in indicating, supporting or confirming findings or conclusions. This should specify whether data is actual or potential, whether analysis is quantitative and/or qualitative, and whether the investigation is repeatable or replicable.

- Actual or potential conclusions or products should have a relevance to research, development, or practice in CALL **beyond the context of the investigation itself**.

As of 2018, ReCALL no longer accepts reviews of websites, software, apps, books or other material. However, proposals can be submitted to The EUROCALL Review; please see https://polipapers.upv.es/index.php/eurocall.

Before being sent to three reviewers in a double-blind format, each submission is first checked by the editors for its suitability for *ReCALL*, including for:

- Relevance (i.e. the relationship between technology and language teaching/learning is clearly explicated in the article)

- Originality/substance (i.e. the paper brings something new to the field)

- Indication, where appropriate, of rigorous data collection and analysis

- Bibliography (i.e. up-to-date and relevant to CALL)

- Language (all authors are strongly encouraged to have their manuscripts thoroughly checked for language and style by an expert prior to submission)

- Form (i.e. compliance with manuscript requirements, structure and style appropriate to an academic international publication)

- Similarity

If any of the above is deemed unsatisfactory, the editors will notify the authors that their paper is not suitable for *ReCALL* at this time.

Manuscript requirements

1. Papers should not exceed 8000 words (including abstract and references). Authors are also asked to limit the number and size of figures (see Template); other appended information can more reasonably feature in supplementary materials online (see below). Papers should be preceded by an abstract of 250 words maximum, followed by up to six keywords of your choice.

ReCALL strongly encourages authors to provide supplementary materials which are non-essential to a straightforward reading of the paper, but which can benefit the community, e.g. for data collection instruments (typically in MS Word) or full data sets (preferably in MS Excel), or pdf format if preferred. These can be on the *ReCALL* homepage hosted by CUP, though authors might alternatively like to consider uploading their data collection materials to the IRIS database (see the author template for further information).

2. *ReCALL* is now able to add an ORCiD number to all new submissions, thus solving the long-standing name ambiguity problem in scholarly communication. ORCiD is a freely available digital identifier service that directly links a researcher to their work, ensuring that individuals and their publication/research activities can be easily distinguished and identified by anyone anywhere in the world. To facilitate this new initiative, we are requiring all authors and co-authors to have an account

in the journal's system at ScholarOne and to add their ORCiD ID to their account information. Should authors have a serious concern about ORCID they should get in touch with the editor at recall.editorial@cambridge.org to further discuss the matter.

3. Initial submissions should be appropriately anonymised. Please ensure that all references to the authors' publications have been replaced by Author (Year) in the body of the text and that the complete entry is removed from the references section. Any other features that could identify the authors (e.g. name of institution) should also be removed. If the paper is accepted, authors will be asked to submit a non-anonymised version.

4. All relevant files, carefully labelled, should be submitted at http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/recall. All Figures and Tables should be included in the text, as well as Appendices, an "Ethical statement", "About the author" notes, ORCID numbers, and all other material with the sole exception of "supplementary materials". For full instructions on how to prepare your figures, refer to the Cambridge Journals Artwork Guide. Text should be in Microsoft Word, and not in any other word-processing or desktop-publishing formats.

5. It is the responsibility of authors to provide written permission for the reproduction of any graphics or screenshots included with the paper. It is also the responsibility of authors to check the accuracy of URLs cited within articles or in references at the time of submission.

6. Colour figures will appear as such online.

7. All authors must include a competing interest declaration in a separate title page. This declaration will be subject to editorial review and may be published in the article. Competing interests are situations that could be perceived to exert an undue influence on the content or publication of an author's work. They may include, but are not limited to, financial, professional, contractual or personal relationships or situations. If the manuscript has multiple authors, the author submitting must include competing interest declarations relevant to all contributing authors.

Example wording for a declaration is as follows: "Competing interests: Author A is employed at organisation B. Author C is on the Board of company E and is a member of organisation F. Author G has received grants from company H." If no competing interests exist, the declaration should state "Competing interests: The author(s) declare(s) none".

Proofreading

First proofs may be read and corrected by authors via the online system within the specified period. Authors should correct printers' errors but not introduce new or different material at this stage. Authors would be strongly advised to read the proof thoroughly as any errors missed may appear in the final published paper. Once the article is available online, no further changes can be made. Please note that any supplementary materials will not be copy-edited, whether on the *ReCALL* homepage hosted by CUP or on IRIS, for example (see the author template).

Offprints

In lieu of offprints, authors will be provided with the .pdf file of their paper.

Open Access

Please visit https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/open-access-policies for information on our open access policies, compliance with major funding bodies, and guidelines on depositing your manuscript in an institutional repository.

Contact address

Papers should be submitted to: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/recall

For any queries related to the submission process, please contact: recall.editorial@cambridge.org

Other queries should be sent to the editor: Alex Boulton: alex.boulton@atilf.fr

Last updated 21 September 2021