**Weed Technology Checklist for Revisions**

*Upon revision, manuscripts should be formatted as described in the*[*Instructions for Authors*](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/weed-technology/information/instructions-for-authors)*. Some common formatting errors are listed below.*

* Refer to plant species by their common names throughout, with the Latin name given only in the Nomenclature section (if first mention is in the abstract) or at first mention in the text (if the species is not included in the abstract/Nomenclature section). Include the authority at first mention of Latin names.
* Include the short (running) title on the first page of the manuscript. The running title is limited to 30 characters, including spaces.
* Any changes to the author list at revision must be approved by the editor and require written approval from each author.
* Include job titles (full institutional job titles at the time the study was conducted) for all authors in addition to their affiliation.
* A mailing address should be included for the Corresponding Author.
* For in-text reference citations, do not include a comma between the author name and year; multiple citations should be separated by a semicolon.
* Abbreviations must be defined at first use in the text or abstract and must be defined in each table and figure, even if they have already been defined in the main text.
* The reference formatting must follow the style outlined in the [Instructions for Authors](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/weed-technology/information/instructions-for-authors) under “References.” Do not manually indent lines in the references. They can either be left with no indent or set up with a hanging indent.
* Refer to the table formatting guidelines for detailed examples of how tables should be formatted and some common mistakes to avoid.
* Include a Practical Implications section:

**Practical Implications**Following the Results and Discussion, include up to a one-page (double spaced) description of the importance of this work to the field practitioner. This section should not be a rewording of the abstract. Rather, it should be a clearly written explanation of how the work can be used currently or in the future for improved weed management. The Practical Implications section is only required for original research articles and not for review articles.