# Robin Criterion on Divisibility

# Frank Vega

CopSonic, 1471 Route de Saint-Nauphary 82000 Montauban, France

#### **Abstract**

Robin criterion states that the Riemann Hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality  $\sigma(n) < e^{\gamma} \times n \times \log\log n$  holds for all n > 5040, where  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum-of-divisors function and  $\gamma \approx 0.57721$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. This is known as the Robin inequality. In 2007, Choie, Lichiardopol, Moree and Solé have shown that the Robin inequality is true for all n > 5040 which are not divisible by 2. We prove that the Robin inequality is true for all n > 5040 which are not divisible by any prime number between 3 and 953.

*Keywords:* Riemann hypothesis, Robin inequality, sum-of-divisors function, prime numbers 2000 MSC: 11M26, 11A41, 11A25

#### 1. Introduction

In mathematics, the Riemann Hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part  $\frac{1}{2}$  [1]. As usual  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum-of-divisors function of n [2]:

$$\sum_{d|n} d$$

where  $d \mid n$  means the integer d divides to n and  $d \nmid n$  means the integer d does not divide to n. Define f(n) to be  $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$ . Say Robins(n) holds provided

$$f(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$
.

The constant  $\gamma \approx 0.57721$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, and log is the natural logarithm. The importance of this property is:

**Theorem 1.1.** Robins(n) holds for all n > 5040 if and only if the Riemann Hypothesis is true [3].

It is known that Robins(n) holds for many classes of numbers n.

**Theorem 1.2.** Robins(n) holds for all n > 5040 that are not divisible by 2 [2].

In this work, we prove that Robins(n) holds for all n > 5040 that are not divisible by any prime number between 3 and 953.

# 2. A Central Lemma

These are known results:

**Lemma 2.1.** [2]. For n > 1:

$$f(n) < \prod_{q|n} \frac{q}{q-1}. \tag{1}$$

Lemma 2.2. [4].

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_k^2}} = \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$
 (2)

The following is a key lemma. It gives an upper bound on f(n) that holds for all n. The bound is too weak to prove  $\mathsf{Robins}(n)$  directly, but is critical because it holds for all n. Further the bound only uses the primes that divide n and not how many times they divide n.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let n > 1 and let all its prime divisors be  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m$ . Then,

$$f(n) < \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}.$$

*Proof.* We use that lemma 2.1:

$$f(n) < \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}.$$

Now for q > 1,

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q^2}} = \frac{q^2}{q^2 - 1}.$$

So

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q^2}} \times \frac{q+1}{q} = \frac{q^2}{q^2 - 1} \times \frac{q+1}{q}$$
$$= \frac{q}{q-1}.$$

Then by lemma 2.2,

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{q_i^2}} < \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

Putting this together yields the proof:

$$f(n) < \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}$$

$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_i^2}} \times \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}$$

$$< \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}.$$

### 3. About the *p*-adic order

In basic number theory, for a given prime number p, the p-adic order of a natural number n is the highest exponent  $\nu_p \ge 1$  such that  $p^{\nu_p}$  divides n. This is a known result:

**Lemma 3.1.** In general, we know that Robins(n) holds for a natural number n > 5040 that satisfies either  $v_2(n) \le 19$ ,  $v_3(n) \le 12$  or  $v_7(n) \le 6$ , where  $v_p(n)$  is the p-adic order of n [5].

We know the following lemmas:

**Lemma 3.2.** [5]. Let  $\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_i^{a_i}$  be the representation of n as a product of primes  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m$  with natural numbers as exponents  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ . Then,

$$f(n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i + 1}}\right).$$

**Lemma 3.3.** [5]. Let  $n > e^{e^{23.762143}}$  and let all its prime divisors be  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m$ , then

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}\right) < \frac{1771561}{1771560} \times e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n.$$

**Lemma 3.4.** Robins(n) holds for all  $10^{10^{10}} \ge n > 5040$  [5].

Putting together all these results, then we obtain that

**Lemma 3.5.** Robins(n) holds for n > 5040 when  $v_{31}(n) \le 3$ .

Proof. From lemma 3.2, we note that

$$f(n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i + 1}}\right) \le \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}\right) \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{31^{\nu_{31}(n) + 1}}\right)$$

when  $v_{31}(n) \le 3$ . We only need to look at the case where  $v_{31}(n) = 3$  since the weaker cases follow because

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{31^{1+1}}\right) < \left(1 - \frac{1}{31^{2+1}}\right) < \left(1 - \frac{1}{31^{3+1}}\right).$$

In this way, we obtain that

$$f(n) \le \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}\right) \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{31^{3+1}}\right) = \frac{923520}{923521} \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}\right)$$

when  $v_{31}(n) \le 3$ . With lemma 3.3, we have for  $n > e^{e^{23.762143}}$ 

$$\frac{923520}{923521} \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \right) < \frac{923520}{923521} \times \frac{1771561}{1771560} \times e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

since  $\frac{923520}{923521} \times \frac{1771561}{1771560} < 1$ . In light of lemma 3.4 and the fact that  $e^{e^{23.762143}} < 10^{10^{10}}$ , we then conclude that Robins(n) holds for n > 5040 when  $v_{31}(n) \le 3$ .

# 4. A Particular Case

We can easily prove that Robins(n) is true for certain kind of numbers:

**Lemma 4.1.** Robins(n) holds for n > 5040 when  $q \le 7$ , where q is the largest prime divisor of n.

*Proof.* Let n > 5040 and let all its prime divisors be  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m \le 5$ , then we need to prove

$$f(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

that is true when

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \le e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

according to the lemma 2.1. For  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m \le 5$ ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \le \frac{2 \times 3 \times 5}{1 \times 2 \times 4} = 3.75 < e^{\gamma} \times \log\log(5040) \approx 3.81.$$

However, we know for n > 5040

$$e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(5040) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

and therefore, the proof is complete when  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m \le 5$ . The remaining case is for n > 5040 when all its prime divisors are  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m \le 7$ . Robins(n) holds for n > 5040 when  $\nu_7(n) \le 6$  according to the lemma 3.1 [5]. Hence, it is enough to prove this for those natural numbers n > 5040 when  $7^7 \mid n$ . For  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m \le 7$ ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \le \frac{2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7}{1 \times 2 \times 4 \times 6} = 4.375 < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(7^{7}) \approx 4.65.$$

However, for n > 5040 and  $7^7 \mid n$ , we know that

$$e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(7^7) \le e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

and as a consequence, the proof is complete when  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m \le 7$ .

#### 5. A Better Bound

This is a known result:

**Lemma 5.1.** *[6].* For x > 1:

$$\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} < \log \log x + B + \frac{1}{\log^2 x} \tag{3}$$

where

$$B = 0.2614972128 \cdots$$

denotes the (Meissel-)Mertens constant [6].

We show a better result:

**Lemma 5.2.** For  $x \ge 11$ , we have

$$\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} < \log \log x + \gamma - 0.12.$$

*Proof.* Let's define  $H = \gamma - B$ . The lemma 5.1 is the same as

$$\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} < \log \log x + \gamma - (H - \frac{1}{\log^2 x}).$$

For  $x \ge 11$ ,

$$(H - \frac{1}{\log^2 x}) > (0.31 - \frac{1}{\log^2 11}) > 0.12$$

and thus,

$$\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} < \log\log x + \gamma - (H - \frac{1}{\log^2 x}) < \log\log x + \gamma - 0.12.$$

## 6. On a Square Free Number

We know the following results:

**Lemma 6.1.** [2]. For 0 < a < b:

$$\frac{\log b - \log a}{b - a} = \frac{1}{(b - a)} \int_a^b \frac{dt}{t} > \frac{1}{b}.$$
 (4)

**Lemma 6.2.** [2]. For q > 0:

$$\log(q+1) - \log q = \int_{q}^{q+1} \frac{dt}{t} < \frac{1}{q}.$$
 (5)

We recall that an integer n is said to be square free if for every prime divisor q of n we have  $q^2 \nmid n$  [2]. Robins(n) holds for all n > 5040 that are square free [2].

**Lemma 6.3.** For a square free number

$$n = q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m$$

such that  $q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_m$  are odd prime numbers,  $q_m \ge 11$  and  $3 \nmid n$ , then:

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \sigma(n) \le e^{\gamma} \times n \times \log \log(2^{19} \times n).$$

*Proof.* By induction with respect to  $\omega(n)$ , that is the number of distinct prime factors of n [2]. Put  $\omega(n) = m$  [2]. We need to prove the assertion for those integers with m = 1. From a square free number n, we obtain

$$\sigma(n) = (q_1 + 1) \times (q_2 + 1) \times \dots \times (q_m + 1) \tag{6}$$

when  $n = q_1 \times q_2 \times \cdots \times q_m$  [2]. In this way, for every prime number  $q_i \ge 11$ , then we need to prove

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times (1 + \frac{1}{q_i}) \le e^{\gamma} \times \log\log(2^{19} \times q_i). \tag{7}$$

For  $q_i = 11$ , we have

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times (1 + \frac{1}{11}) \le e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(2^{19} \times 11)$$

is actually true. For another prime number  $q_i > 11$ , we have

$$(1 + \frac{1}{q_i}) < (1 + \frac{1}{11})$$

and

$$\log\log(2^{19}\times11) < \log\log(2^{19}\times q_i)$$

which clearly implies that the inequality (7) is true for every prime number  $q_i \ge 11$ . Now, suppose it is true for m-1, with  $m \ge 2$  and let us consider the assertion for those square free n with  $\omega(n) = m$  [2]. So let  $n = q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m$  be a square free number and assume that  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m$  for  $q_m \ge 11$ .

Case 1:  $q_m \ge \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) = \log(2^{19} \times n)$ .

By the induction hypothesis we have

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times (q_1 + 1) \times \cdots \times (q_{m-1} + 1) \le e^{\gamma} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})$$

and hence

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times (q_1 + 1) \times \cdots \times (q_{m-1} + 1) \times (q_m + 1) \le$$

$$e^{\gamma} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times (q_m+1) \times \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})$$

when we multiply the both sides of the inequality by  $(q_m + 1)$ . We want to show

$$e^{\gamma} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times (q_m+1) \times \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}) \le$$

$$e^{\gamma} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m \times \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) = e^{\gamma} \times n \times \log \log(2^{19} \times n)$$

Indeed the previous inequality is equivalent with

$$q_m \times \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) \ge (q_m + 1) \times \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})$$

or alternatively

$$\frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) - \log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{\log q_m} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6} \ge \frac{q_m \times (\log \log (2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{6}$$

$$\frac{\log\log(2^{19}\times q_1\times\cdots\times q_{m-1})}{\log q_m}.$$

We can apply the inequality in lemma 6.1 just using  $b = \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m)$  and  $a = \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})$ . Certainly, we have

$$\log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) - \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1}) =$$

$$\log \frac{2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m}{2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1}} = \log q_m.$$

In this way, we obtain

$$\frac{q_m \times (\log\log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) - \log\log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{\log q_m} > \frac{q_m}{\log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m)}.$$

Using this result we infer that the original inequality is certainly satisfied if the next inequality is satisfied

$$\frac{q_m}{\log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m)} \ge \frac{\log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})}{\log q_m}$$

which is trivially true for  $q_m \ge \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m)$  [2]. Case 2:  $q_m < \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) = \log(2^{19} \times n)$ .

Case 2: 
$$q_m < \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) = \log(2^{19} \times n)$$

We need to prove

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \le e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(2^{19} \times n).$$

We know  $\frac{3}{2} < 1.503 < \frac{4}{2.66}$ . Nevertheless, we could have

$$\frac{3}{2} \times \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \times \frac{\pi^2}{6} < \frac{4 \times \sigma(n)}{3 \times n} \times \frac{\pi^2}{2 \times 2.66}$$

and therefore, we only need to prove

$$\frac{\sigma(3 \times n)}{3 \times n} \times \frac{\pi^2}{5.32} \le e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(2^{19} \times n)$$

where this is possible because of  $3 \nmid n$ . If we apply the logarithm to the both sides of the inequality, then we obtain

$$\log(\frac{\pi^2}{5.32}) + (\log(3+1) - \log 3) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\log(q_i+1) - \log q_i) \le \gamma + \log\log\log(2^{19} \times n).$$

In addition, note  $\log(\frac{\pi^2}{5.32}) < \frac{1}{2} + 0.12$ . However, we know

$$\gamma + \log \log q_m < \gamma + \log \log \log(2^{19} \times n)$$

since  $q_m < \log(2^{19} \times n)$ . We use that lemma 6.2 for each term  $\log(q+1) - \log q$  and thus,

$$0.12 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_m} \le 0.12 + \sum_{q \le q_m} \frac{1}{q} \le \gamma + \log \log q_m$$

where  $q_m \ge 11$ . Hence, it is enough to prove

$$\sum_{q \le q_m} \frac{1}{q} \le \gamma + \log \log q_m - 0.12$$

but this is true according to the lemma 5.2 for  $q_m \ge 11$ . In this way, we finally show the lemma is indeed satisfied.

## 7. Robin on Divisibility

Robins(n) holds for every n > 5040 that is not divisible by 2 [2]. We extend this property to other prime numbers:

**Lemma 7.1.** Robins(n) holds for all n > 5040 when  $3 \nmid n$ . More precisely: every possible counterexample n > 5040 of the Robin inequality must comply with  $(2^{20} \times 3^{13}) \mid n$ .

*Proof.* We will check the Robin inequality is true for every natural number  $n = q_1^{a_1} \times q_2^{a_2} \times \cdots \times q_m^{a_m} > 5040$  such that  $q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_m$  are distinct prime numbers,  $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_m$  are natural numbers and  $3 \nmid n$ . We know this is true when the greatest prime divisor of n > 5040 is lesser than or equal to 7 according to the lemma 4.1. Therefore, the remaining case is when the greatest prime divisor of n > 5040 is greater than or equal to 11. We need to prove

$$f(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

that is true when

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} \le e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

according to the lemma 2.3. Using the formula (6) for the square free numbers, then we obtain that is equivalent to

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{\sigma(n')}{n'} \le e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

where  $n' = q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m$  is the square free kernel of a natural number n [2]. The Robin inequality has been proved for all integers n not divisible by 2 (which are bigger than 10) [2]. Hence, we only need to prove the Robin inequality is true when  $2 \mid n'$ . In addition, we know that Robins(n) holds for every n > 5040 when  $v_2(n) \le 19$  according to the lemma 3.1 [5]. Consequently, we only need to prove that Robins(n) holds for n > 5040 when  $2^{20} \mid n$  and thus,

$$e^{\gamma} \times n' \times \log \log(2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2}) \le e^{\gamma} \times n' \times \log \log n$$

because of  $2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2} \le n$  where  $2^{20} \mid n$  and  $2 \mid n'$ . So,

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \sigma(n') \le e^{\gamma} \times n' \times \log \log(2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2}).$$

According to the formula (6) for the square free numbers and  $2 \mid n'$ , then,

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times 3 \times \sigma(\frac{n'}{2}) \le e^{\gamma} \times 2 \times \frac{n'}{2} \times \log\log(2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2})$$

which is the same as

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \sigma(\frac{n'}{2}) \le e^{\gamma} \times \frac{n'}{2} \times \log\log(2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2})$$

where this is true according to the lemma 6.3 when  $3 \nmid \frac{n'}{2}$ . In addition, we know that Robins(n) holds for every n > 5040 when  $v_3(n) \le 12$  according to the lemma 3.1 [5]. Hence, we only need to prove that Robins(n) holds for every n > 5040 when  $2^{20} \mid n$  and  $3^{13} \mid n$ . To sum up, the proof is complete.

**Lemma 7.2.** Robins(n) holds for all n > 5040 when  $5 \nmid n$  or  $7 \nmid n$ .

Proof. We need to prove

$$f(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

when  $(2^{20} \times 3^{13}) \mid n$ . Suppose that  $n = 2^a \times 3^b \times m$ , where  $a \ge 20$ ,  $b \ge 13$ ,  $2 \nmid m$ ,  $3 \nmid m$  and  $5 \nmid m$  or  $7 \nmid m$ . Therefore, we need to prove

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times m).$$

We know

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) = f(3^b) \times f(2^a \times m)$$

since f is multiplicative [2]. In addition, we know  $f(3^b) < \frac{3}{2}$  for every natural number b [2]. In this way, we have

$$f(3^b) \times f(2^a \times m) < \frac{3}{2} \times f(2^a \times m).$$

However, that would be equivalent to

$$\frac{3}{2} \times f(2^a \times m) = \frac{9}{8} \times f(3) \times f(2^a \times m) = \frac{9}{8} \times f(2^a \times 3 \times m)$$

where  $f(3) = \frac{4}{3}$  since f is multiplicative [2]. Nevertheless, we have

$$\frac{9}{8} \times f(2^a \times 3 \times m) < f(5) \times f(2^a \times 3 \times m) = f(2^a \times 3 \times 5 \times m)$$

and

$$\frac{9}{8} \times f(2^a \times 3 \times m) < f(7) \times f(2^a \times 3 \times m) = f(2^a \times 3 \times 7 \times m)$$

where  $5 \nmid m$  or  $7 \nmid m$ ,  $f(5) = \frac{6}{5}$  and  $f(7) = \frac{8}{7}$ . We know the Robin inequality is true for  $2^a \times 3 \times 5 \times m$  and  $2^a \times 3 \times 7 \times m$  when  $a \ge 20$ , since this is true for every natural number n > 5040 when  $v_3(n) \le 12$  according to the lemma 3.1 [5]. Hence, we would have

$$f(2^a \times 3 \times 5 \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \log\log(2^a \times 3 \times 5 \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \log\log(2^a \times 3^b \times m)$$

and

$$f(2^a \times 3 \times 7 \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(2^a \times 3 \times 7 \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times m)$$

when  $b \ge 13$ .

**Lemma 7.3.** Robins(n) holds for all n > 5040 when a prime number  $11 \le q \le 47$  complies with  $q \nmid n$ .

*Proof.* We know that Robins(n) holds for every n > 5040 when  $v_7(n) \le 6$  according to the lemma 3.1 [5]. We need to prove

$$f(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

when  $(2^{20} \times 3^{13} \times 7^7) \mid n$ . Suppose that  $n = 2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m$ , where  $a \ge 20$ ,  $b \ge 13$ ,  $c \ge 7$ ,  $2 \nmid m$ ,  $3 \nmid m$ ,  $7 \nmid m$ ,  $q \nmid m$  and  $11 \le q \le 47$ . Therefore, we need to prove

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m)$$
.

We know

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m) = f(7^c) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m)$$

since f is multiplicative [2]. In addition, we know  $f(7^c) < \frac{7}{6}$  for every natural number c [2]. In this way, we have

$$f(7^c) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) < \frac{7}{6} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m).$$

However, that would be equivalent to

$$\frac{7}{6} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) = \frac{49}{48} \times f(7) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) = \frac{49}{48} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times m)$$

where  $f(7) = \frac{8}{7}$  since f is multiplicative [2]. In addition, we know

$$\frac{49}{48} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times m) < f(q) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times m) = f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times q \times m)$$

where  $q \nmid m$ ,  $f(q) = \frac{q+1}{q}$  and  $11 \le q \le 47$ . Nevertheless, we know the Robin inequality is true for  $2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times q \times m$  when  $a \ge 20$  and  $b \ge 13$ , since this is true for every natural number n > 5040 when  $v_7(n) \le 6$  according to the lemma 3.1 [5]. Hence, we would have

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times q \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times q \times m)$$
$$< e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m)$$

when  $c \ge 7$  and  $11 \le q \le 47$ .

**Lemma 7.4.** Robins(n) holds for all n > 5040 when a prime number  $53 \le q \le 953$  complies with  $q \nmid n$ .

*Proof.* We know that Robins(*n*) holds for every n > 5040 when  $v_{31}(n) \le 3$  according to the lemma 3.5. We need to prove that

$$f(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

when  $(2^{20} \times 3^{13} \times 31^4) \mid n$ . Suppose that  $n = 2^a \times 3^b \times 31^c \times m$ , where  $a \ge 20$ ,  $b \ge 13$ ,  $c \ge 4$ ,  $2 \nmid m$ ,  $3 \nmid m$ ,  $3 \nmid m$ ,  $4 \nmid m$  and  $4 \mid m$  a

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31^c \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times 31^c \times m).$$

We know that

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31^c \times m) = f(31^c) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m)$$

since f is multiplicative [2]. In addition, we know that  $f(31^c) < \frac{31}{30}$  for every natural number c [2]. In this way, we have that

$$f(31^c) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) < \frac{31}{30} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m).$$

However, that would be equivalent to

$$\frac{31}{30} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) = \frac{961}{960} \times f(31) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) = \frac{961}{960} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times m)$$

where  $f(31) = \frac{32}{31}$  since f is multiplicative [2]. In addition, we know that

$$\frac{961}{960} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times m) < f(q) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times m) = f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times q \times m)$$

where  $q \nmid m$ ,  $f(q) = \frac{q+1}{q}$  and  $53 \le q \le 953$ . Nevertheless, we know the Robin inequality is true for  $2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times q \times m$  when  $a \ge 20$  and  $b \ge 13$ , since this is true for every natural number n > 5040 when  $v_{31}(n) \le 3$  according to the lemma 3.5. Hence, we would have that

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times q \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times q \times m)$$
$$< e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times 31^c \times m)$$

when  $c \ge 4$  and  $53 \le q \le 953$ .

### 8. Proof of Main Theorem

**Theorem 8.1.** Robins(n) holds for all n > 5040 when a prime number  $q \le 953$  complies with  $q \nmid n$ .

*Proof.* This is a compendium of the results from the lemmas 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 and 7.4.

# References

- [1] P. B. Borwein, S. Choi, B. Rooney, A. Weirathmueller, The Riemann Hypothesis: A Resource for the Afficionado and Virtuoso Alike, Vol. 27, Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- [2] Y. Choie, N. Lichiardopol, P. Moree, P. Solé, On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 19 (2) (2007) 357–372. doi:doi:10.5802/jtnb.591.
- [3] G. Robin, Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann, J. Math. pures appl 63 (2) (1984) 187–213.
- [4] H. M. Edwards, Riemann's Zeta Function, Dover Publications, 2001.
- [5] A. Hertlein, Robin's Inequality for New Families of Integers, Integers 18.
- [6] J. B. Rosser, L. Schoenfeld, Approximate Formulas for Some Functions of Prime Numbers, Illinois Journal of Mathematics 6 (1) (1962) 64–94. doi:doi:10.1215/ijm/1255631807.