Robin Criterion on Divisibility

Frank Vega

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract Robin criterion states that the Riemann Hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality $\sigma(n) < e^\gamma \times n \times \log \log n$ holds for all $n > 5040$, where $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-of-divisors function and $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. We show that the Robin inequality is true for all $n > 5040$ which are not divisible by any prime number between 2 and 953. We prove that the Robin inequality holds when $\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \log \log n' \leq \log \log n$ for some $n > 5040$ where n' is the square free kernel of the natural number n . The possible smallest counterexample $n > 5040$ of the Robin inequality complies that necessarily $(\log n)^\beta < 1.2592 \times \log(N_m)$, where $N_m = \prod_{i=1}^m q_i$ is the primorial number of order m and $\beta = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i^{a_i+1}}{q_i^{a_i+1}-1}$ when n is an Hardy-Ramanujan integer of the form $\prod_{i=1}^m q_i^{a_i}$.

Keywords Riemann hypothesis · Robin inequality · sum-of-divisors function · prime numbers

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) MSC 11M26 · MSC 11A41 · MSC 11A25

1 Introduction

In mathematics, the Riemann Hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part $\frac{1}{2}$ [6]. As usual $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-of-divisors function of n [3]:

$$\sum_{d|n} d$$

F. Vega
CopSonic, 1471 Route de Saint-Nauphary 82000 Montauban, France
ORCID: 0000-0001-8210-4126
E-mail: vega.frank@gmail.com

where $d \mid n$ means the integer d divides to n and $d \nmid n$ means the integer d does not divide to n . Define $f(n)$ to be $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$. Say Robins(n) holds provided

$$f(n) < e^\gamma \times \log \log n.$$

The constant $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, and $\log$ is the natural logarithm. The importance of this property is:

Theorem 1.1 Robins(n) holds for all $n > 5040$ if and only if the Riemann Hypothesis is true [6].

It is known that Robins(n) holds for many classes of numbers n .

Theorem 1.2 Robins(n) holds for all $n > 5040$ that are not divisible by 2 [3].

On the one hand, we prove that Robins(n) holds for all $n > 5040$ that are not divisible by any prime number between 3 and 953. We recall that an integer n is said to be square free if for every prime divisor q of n we have $q^2 \nmid n$ [3]. Robins(n) holds for all $n > 5040$ that are square free [3]. In addition, we show that Robins(n) holds for some $n > 5040$ when $\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \log \log n' \leq \log \log n$ such that n' is the square free kernel of the natural number n . Let $q_1 = 2, q_2 = 3, \dots, q_m$ denote the first m consecutive primes, then an integer of the form $\prod_{i=1}^m q_i^{a_i}$ with $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_m \geq 0$ is called an Hardy-Ramanujan integer [3]. A natural number n is called superabundant precisely when, for all $m < n$

$$f(m) < f(n).$$

Theorem 1.3 If n is superabundant, then n is an Hardy-Ramanujan integer [2].

Theorem 1.4 The smallest counterexample of the Robin inequality greater than 5040 must be a superabundant number [1].

On the other hand, suppose that $n > 5040$ might be the possible smallest counterexample of the Robin inequality, then we demonstrate that necessarily $(\log n)^\beta < 1.2592 \times \log(N_m)$, where $N_m = \prod_{i=1}^m q_i$ is the primorial number of order m and $\beta = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i^{a_i+1}}{q_i^{a_i+1}-1}$ when n is an Hardy-Ramanujan integer of the form $\prod_{i=1}^m q_i^{a_i}$.

2 A Central Lemma

These are known results:

Lemma 2.1 [3]. For $n > 1$:

$$f(n) < \prod_{q \mid n} \frac{q}{q-1}. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.2 [4].

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_k^2}} = \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}. \quad (2.2)$$

The following is a key lemma. It gives an upper bound on $f(n)$ that holds for all n . The bound is too weak to prove $\text{Robins}(n)$ directly, but is critical because it holds for all n . Further the bound only uses the primes that divide n and not how many times they divide n .

Lemma 2.3 *Let $n > 1$ and let all its prime divisors be $q_1 < \dots < q_m$. Then,*

$$f(n) < \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}.$$

Proof We use that lemma 2.1:

$$f(n) < \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}.$$

Now for $q > 1$,

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q^2}} = \frac{q^2}{q^2 - 1}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q^2}} \times \frac{q+1}{q} &= \frac{q^2}{q^2 - 1} \times \frac{q+1}{q} \\ &= \frac{q}{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by lemma 2.2,

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_i^2}} < \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

Putting this together yields the proof:

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &< \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_i^2}} \times \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} \\ &< \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}. \end{aligned}$$

3 About the p -adic order

In basic number theory, for a given prime number p , the p -adic order of a natural number n is the highest exponent $v_p \geq 1$ such that p^{v_p} divides n . This is a known result:

Lemma 3.1 *In general, we know that $\text{Robins}(n)$ holds for a natural number $n > 5040$ that satisfies either $v_2(n) \leq 19$, $v_3(n) \leq 12$ or $v_7(n) \leq 6$, where $v_p(n)$ is the p -adic order of n [5].*

We know the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.2 [5]. Let $\prod_{i=1}^m q_i^{a_i}$ be the representation of n as a product of primes $q_1 < \dots < q_m$ with natural numbers as exponents $a_1, \dots, a_m$. Then,

$$f(n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \right) \times \prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}} \right).$$

Lemma 3.3 [5]. Let $n > e^{23.762143}$ and let all its prime divisors be $q_1 < \dots < q_m$, then

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \right) < \frac{1771561}{1771560} \times e^\gamma \times \log \log n.$$

Lemma 3.4 Robins(n) holds for all $10^{10^{10}} \geq n > 5040$ [5].

Putting together all these results, then we obtain that

Lemma 3.5 Robins(n) holds for $n > 5040$ when $v_{31}(n) \leq 3$.

Proof From lemma 3.2, we note that

$$f(n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \right) \times \prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}} \right) \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \right) \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{31^{v_{31}(n)+1}} \right)$$

when $v_{31}(n) \leq 3$. We only need to look at the case where $v_{31}(n) = 3$ since the weaker cases follow because

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{31^{1+1}} \right) < \left(1 - \frac{1}{31^{2+1}} \right) < \left(1 - \frac{1}{31^{3+1}} \right).$$

In this way, we obtain that

$$f(n) \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \right) \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{31^{3+1}} \right) = \frac{923520}{923521} \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \right)$$

when $v_{31}(n) \leq 3$. With lemma 3.3, we have for $n > e^{23.762143}$

$$\frac{923520}{923521} \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \right) < \frac{923520}{923521} \times \frac{1771561}{1771560} \times e^\gamma \times \log \log n < e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

since $\frac{923520}{923521} \times \frac{1771561}{1771560} < 1$. In light of lemma 3.4 and the fact that $e^{23.762143} < 10^{10^{10}}$, we then conclude that Robins(n) holds for $n > 5040$ when $v_{31}(n) \leq 3$.

4 A Particular Case

We can easily prove that $\text{Robins}(n)$ is true for certain kind of numbers:

Lemma 4.1 *$\text{Robins}(n)$ holds for $n > 5040$ when $q \leq 7$, where q is the largest prime divisor of n .*

Proof Let $n > 5040$ and let all its prime divisors be $q_1 < \dots < q_m \leq 5$, then we need to prove

$$f(n) < e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

that is true when

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \leq e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

according to the lemma 2.1. For $q_1 < \dots < q_m \leq 5$,

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \leq \frac{2 \times 3 \times 5}{1 \times 2 \times 4} = 3.75 < e^\gamma \times \log \log(5040) \approx 3.81.$$

However, we know for $n > 5040$

$$e^\gamma \times \log \log(5040) < e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

and therefore, the proof is complete when $q_1 < \dots < q_m \leq 5$. The remaining case is for $n > 5040$ when all its prime divisors are $q_1 < \dots < q_m \leq 7$. $\text{Robins}(n)$ holds for $n > 5040$ when $v_7(n) \leq 6$ according to the lemma 3.1 [5]. Hence, it is enough to prove this for those natural numbers $n > 5040$ when $7^7 \mid n$. For $q_1 < \dots < q_m \leq 7$,

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \leq \frac{2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7}{1 \times 2 \times 4 \times 6} = 4.375 < e^\gamma \times \log \log(7^7) \approx 4.65.$$

However, for $n > 5040$ and $7^7 \mid n$, we know that

$$e^\gamma \times \log \log(7^7) \leq e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

and as a consequence, the proof is complete when $q_1 < \dots < q_m \leq 7$.

5 A Better Bound

This is a known result:

Lemma 5.1 [7]. For $x > 1$:

$$\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} < \log \log x + B + \frac{1}{\log^2 x} \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$B = 0.2614972128 \dots$$

denotes the (Meissel-)Mertens constant [7].

We show a better result:

Lemma 5.2 *For $x \geq 11$, we have*

$$\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} < \log \log x + \gamma - 0.12.$$

Proof Let's define $H = \gamma - B$. The lemma 5.1 is the same as

$$\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} < \log \log x + \gamma - \left(H - \frac{1}{\log^2 x}\right).$$

For $x \geq 11$,

$$\left(H - \frac{1}{\log^2 x}\right) > \left(0.31 - \frac{1}{\log^2 11}\right) > 0.12$$

and thus,

$$\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} < \log \log x + \gamma - \left(H - \frac{1}{\log^2 x}\right) < \log \log x + \gamma - 0.12.$$

6 On a Square Free Number

We know the following results:

Lemma 6.1 [3]. *For $0 < a < b$:*

$$\frac{\log b - \log a}{b - a} = \frac{1}{(b - a)} \int_a^b \frac{dt}{t} > \frac{1}{b}. \quad (6.1)$$

Lemma 6.2 [3]. *For $q > 0$:*

$$\log(q + 1) - \log q = \int_q^{q+1} \frac{dt}{t} < \frac{1}{q}. \quad (6.2)$$

We recall that an integer n is said to be square free if for every prime divisor q of n we have $q^2 \nmid n$ [3]. Robins(n) holds for all $n > 5040$ that are square free [3].

Lemma 6.3 *For a square free number*

$$n = q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m$$

such that $q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_m$ are odd prime numbers, $q_m \geq 11$ and $3 \nmid n$, then:

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \sigma(n) \leq e^\gamma \times n \times \log \log(2^{19} \times n).$$

Proof By induction with respect to $\omega(n)$, that is the number of distinct prime factors of n [3]. Put $\omega(n) = m$ [3]. We need to prove the assertion for those integers with $m = 1$. From a square free number n , we obtain

$$\sigma(n) = (q_1 + 1) \times (q_2 + 1) \times \cdots \times (q_m + 1) \quad (6.3)$$

when $n = q_1 \times q_2 \times \cdots \times q_m$ [3]. In this way, for every prime number $q_i \geq 11$, then we need to prove

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \left(1 + \frac{1}{q_i}\right) \leq e^\gamma \times \log \log(2^{19} \times q_i). \quad (6.4)$$

For $q_i = 11$, we have

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \left(1 + \frac{1}{11}\right) \leq e^\gamma \times \log \log(2^{19} \times 11)$$

is actually true. For another prime number $q_i > 11$, we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{q_i}\right) < \left(1 + \frac{1}{11}\right)$$

and

$$\log \log(2^{19} \times 11) < \log \log(2^{19} \times q_i)$$

which clearly implies that the inequality (6.4) is true for every prime number $q_i \geq 11$. Now, suppose it is true for $m - 1$, with $m \geq 2$ and let us consider the assertion for those square free n with $\omega(n) = m$ [3]. So let $n = q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m$ be a square free number and assume that $q_1 < \cdots < q_m$ for $q_m \geq 11$.

Case 1: $q_m \geq \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) = \log(2^{19} \times n)$.

By the induction hypothesis we have

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times (q_1 + 1) \times \cdots \times (q_{m-1} + 1) \leq e^\gamma \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times (q_1 + 1) \times \cdots \times (q_{m-1} + 1) \times (q_m + 1) \leq \\ & e^\gamma \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times (q_m + 1) \times \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}) \end{aligned}$$

when we multiply the both sides of the inequality by $(q_m + 1)$. We want to show

$$e^\gamma \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times (q_m + 1) \times \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}) \leq$$

$$e^\gamma \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m \times \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) = e^\gamma \times n \times \log \log(2^{19} \times n).$$

Indeed the previous inequality is equivalent with

$$q_m \times \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) \geq (q_m + 1) \times \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})$$

or alternatively

$$\frac{q_m \times (\log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) - \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{\log q_m} \geq$$

$$\frac{\log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})}{\log q_m}.$$

We can apply the inequality in lemma 6.1 just using $b = \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m)$ and $a = \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})$. Certainly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) - \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}) = \\ \log \frac{2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m}{2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}} = \log q_m. \end{aligned}$$

In this way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q_m \times (\log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) - \log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}))}{\log q_m} > \\ \frac{q_m}{\log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this result we infer that the original inequality is certainly satisfied if the next inequality is satisfied

$$\frac{q_m}{\log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m)} \geq \frac{\log \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})}{\log q_m}$$

which is trivially true for $q_m \geq \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m)$ [3].

Case 2: $q_m < \log(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) = \log(2^{19} \times n)$.

We need to prove

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \leq e^\gamma \times \log \log(2^{19} \times n).$$

We know $\frac{3}{2} < 1.503 < \frac{4}{2.66}$. Nevertheless, we could have

$$\frac{3}{2} \times \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \times \frac{\pi^2}{6} < \frac{4 \times \sigma(n)}{3 \times n} \times \frac{\pi^2}{2 \times 2.66}$$

and therefore, we only need to prove

$$\frac{\sigma(3 \times n)}{3 \times n} \times \frac{\pi^2}{5.32} \leq e^\gamma \times \log \log(2^{19} \times n)$$

where this is possible because of $3 \nmid n$. If we apply the logarithm to the both sides of the inequality, then we obtain

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi^2}{5.32}\right) + (\log(3+1) - \log 3) + \sum_{i=1}^m (\log(q_i + 1) - \log q_i) \leq \gamma + \log \log \log(2^{19} \times n).$$

In addition, note that $\log\left(\frac{\pi^2}{5.32}\right) < \frac{1}{2} + 0.12$. However, we know

$$\gamma + \log \log q_m < \gamma + \log \log \log(2^{19} \times n)$$

since $q_m < \log(2^{19} \times n)$. We use that lemma 6.2 for each term $\log(q+1) - \log q$ and thus,

$$0.12 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{q_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{q_m} \leq 0.12 + \sum_{q \leq q_m} \frac{1}{q} \leq \gamma + \log \log q_m$$

where $q_m \geq 11$. Hence, it is enough to prove

$$\sum_{q \leq q_m} \frac{1}{q} \leq \gamma + \log \log q_m - 0.12$$

but this is true according to the lemma 5.2 for $q_m \geq 11$. In this way, we finally show the lemma is indeed satisfied.

7 Robin on Divisibility

$\text{Robins}(n)$ holds for every $n > 5040$ that is not divisible by 2 [3]. We extend this property to other prime numbers:

Lemma 7.1 *$\text{Robins}(n)$ holds for all $n > 5040$ when $3 \nmid n$. More precisely: every possible counterexample $n > 5040$ of the Robin inequality must comply with $(2^{20} \times 3^{13}) \mid n$.*

Proof We will check the Robin inequality is true for every natural number $n = q_1^{a_1} \times q_2^{a_2} \times \cdots \times q_m^{a_m} > 5040$ such that $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m$ are distinct prime numbers, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$ are natural numbers and $3 \nmid n$. We know this is true when the greatest prime divisor of $n > 5040$ is lesser than or equal to 7 according to the lemma 4.1. Therefore, the remaining case is when the greatest prime divisor of $n > 5040$ is greater than or equal to 11. We need to prove

$$f(n) < e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

that is true when

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} \leq e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

according to the lemma 2.3. Using the formula (6.3) for the square free numbers, then we obtain that is equivalent to

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{\sigma(n')}{n'} \leq e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

where $n' = q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m$ is the square free kernel of the natural number n [3]. The Robin inequality has been proved for all integers n not divisible by 2 (which are bigger than 10) [3]. Hence, we only need to prove the Robin inequality is true when $2 \mid n'$. In addition, we know that $\text{Robins}(n)$ holds for every $n > 5040$ when $v_2(n) \leq 19$ according to the lemma 3.1 [5]. Consequently, we only need to prove that $\text{Robins}(n)$ holds for $n > 5040$ when $2^{20} \mid n$ and thus,

$$e^\gamma \times n' \times \log \log(2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2}) \leq e^\gamma \times n' \times \log \log n$$

because of $2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2} \leq n$ where $2^{20} \mid n$ and $2 \mid n'$. So,

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \sigma(n') \leq e^\gamma \times n' \times \log \log(2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2}).$$

According to the formula (6.3) for the square free numbers and $2 \mid n'$, then,

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times 3 \times \sigma(\frac{n'}{2}) \leq e^\gamma \times 2 \times \frac{n'}{2} \times \log \log(2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2})$$

which is the same as

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \sigma(\frac{n'}{2}) \leq e^\gamma \times \frac{n'}{2} \times \log \log(2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2})$$

where this is true according to the lemma 6.3 when $3 \nmid \frac{n'}{2}$. In addition, we know that Robins(n) holds for every $n > 5040$ when $v_3(n) \leq 12$ according to the lemma 3.1 [5]. Hence, we only need to prove that Robins(n) holds for every $n > 5040$ when $2^{20} \mid n$ and $3^{13} \mid n$. To sum up, the proof is complete.

Lemma 7.2 Robins(n) holds for all $n > 5040$ when $5 \nmid n$ or $7 \nmid n$.

Proof We need to prove

$$f(n) < e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

when $(2^{20} \times 3^{13}) \mid n$. Suppose that $n = 2^a \times 3^b \times m$, where $a \geq 20$, $b \geq 13$, $2 \nmid m$, $3 \nmid m$ and $5 \nmid m$ or $7 \nmid m$. Therefore, we need to prove

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) < e^\gamma \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times m).$$

We know

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) = f(3^b) \times f(2^a \times m)$$

since f is multiplicative [3]. In addition, we know $f(3^b) < \frac{3}{2}$ for every natural number b [3]. In this way, we have

$$f(3^b) \times f(2^a \times m) < \frac{3}{2} \times f(2^a \times m).$$

However, that would be equivalent to

$$\frac{3}{2} \times f(2^a \times m) = \frac{9}{8} \times f(3) \times f(2^a \times m) = \frac{9}{8} \times f(2^a \times 3 \times m)$$

where $f(3) = \frac{4}{3}$ since f is multiplicative [3]. Nevertheless, we have

$$\frac{9}{8} \times f(2^a \times 3 \times m) < f(5) \times f(2^a \times 3 \times m) = f(2^a \times 3 \times 5 \times m)$$

and

$$\frac{9}{8} \times f(2^a \times 3 \times m) < f(7) \times f(2^a \times 3 \times m) = f(2^a \times 3 \times 7 \times m)$$

where $5 \nmid m$ or $7 \nmid m$, $f(5) = \frac{6}{5}$ and $f(7) = \frac{8}{7}$. We know the Robin inequality is true for $2^a \times 3 \times 5 \times m$ and $2^a \times 3 \times 7 \times m$ when $a \geq 20$, since this is true for every natural number $n > 5040$ when $v_3(n) \leq 12$ according to the lemma 3.1 [5]. Hence, we would have

$$f(2^a \times 3 \times 5 \times m) < e^\gamma \times \log \log(2^a \times 3 \times 5 \times m) < e^\gamma \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times m)$$

and

$$f(2^a \times 3 \times 7 \times m) < e^\gamma \times \log \log(2^a \times 3 \times 7 \times m) < e^\gamma \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times m)$$

when $b \geq 13$.

Lemma 7.3 *Robins(n) holds for all $n > 5040$ when a prime number $11 \leq q \leq 47$ complies with $q \nmid n$.*

Proof We know that Robins(n) holds for every $n > 5040$ when $v_7(n) \leq 6$ according to the lemma 3.1 [5]. We need to prove

$$f(n) < e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

when $(2^{20} \times 3^{13} \times 7^7) \mid n$. Suppose that $n = 2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m$, where $a \geq 20$, $b \geq 13$, $c \geq 7$, $2 \nmid m$, $3 \nmid m$, $7 \nmid m$, $q \nmid m$ and $11 \leq q \leq 47$. Therefore, we need to prove

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m) < e^\gamma \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m).$$

We know

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m) = f(7^c) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m)$$

since f is multiplicative [3]. In addition, we know $f(7^c) < \frac{7}{6}$ for every natural number c [3]. In this way, we have

$$f(7^c) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) < \frac{7}{6} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m).$$

However, that would be equivalent to

$$\frac{7}{6} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) = \frac{49}{48} \times f(7) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) = \frac{49}{48} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times m)$$

where $f(7) = \frac{8}{7}$ since f is multiplicative [3]. In addition, we know

$$\frac{49}{48} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times m) < f(q) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times m) = f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times q \times m)$$

where $q \nmid m$, $f(q) = \frac{q+1}{q}$ and $11 \leq q \leq 47$. Nevertheless, we know the Robin inequality is true for $2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times q \times m$ when $a \geq 20$ and $b \geq 13$, since this is true for every natural number $n > 5040$ when $v_7(n) \leq 6$ according to the lemma 3.1 [5]. Hence, we would have

$$\begin{aligned} f(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times q \times m) &< e^\gamma \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times q \times m) \\ &< e^\gamma \times \log \log(2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m) \end{aligned}$$

when $c \geq 7$ and $11 \leq q \leq 47$.

Lemma 7.4 *Robins(n) holds for all $n > 5040$ when a prime number $53 \leq q \leq 953$ complies with $q \nmid n$.*

Proof We know that Robins(n) holds for every $n > 5040$ when $v_{31}(n) \leq 3$ according to the lemma 3.5. We need to prove that

$$f(n) < e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

when $(2^{20} \times 3^{13} \times 31^4) \mid n$. Suppose that $n = 2^a \times 3^b \times 31^c \times m$, where $a \geq 20, b \geq 13, c \geq 4, 2 \nmid m, 3 \nmid m, 31 \nmid m, q \nmid m$ and $53 \leq q \leq 953$. Therefore, we need to prove that

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31^c \times m) < e^\gamma \times \log \log (2^a \times 3^b \times 31^c \times m).$$

We know that

$$f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31^c \times m) = f(31^c) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m)$$

since f is multiplicative [3]. In addition, we know that $f(31^c) < \frac{31}{30}$ for every natural number c [3]. In this way, we have that

$$f(31^c) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) < \frac{31}{30} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m).$$

However, that would be equivalent to

$$\frac{31}{30} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) = \frac{961}{960} \times f(31) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times m) = \frac{961}{960} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times m)$$

where $f(31) = \frac{32}{31}$ since f is multiplicative [3]. In addition, we know that

$$\frac{961}{960} \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times m) < f(q) \times f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times m) = f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times q \times m)$$

where $q \nmid m, f(q) = \frac{q+1}{q}$ and $53 \leq q \leq 953$. Nevertheless, we know the Robin inequality is true for $2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times q \times m$ when $a \geq 20$ and $b \geq 13$, since this is true for every natural number $n > 5040$ when $v_{31}(n) \leq 3$ according to the lemma 3.5. Hence, we would have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times q \times m) &< e^\gamma \times \log \log (2^a \times 3^b \times 31 \times q \times m) \\ &< e^\gamma \times \log \log (2^a \times 3^b \times 31^c \times m) \end{aligned}$$

when $c \geq 4$ and $53 \leq q \leq 953$.

8 Helpful Lemmas

For every prime number $p_n > 2$, we define sequence $Y_n = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2 \times \log(p_n)}}}{(1 - \frac{1}{\log(p_n)})}$.

Lemma 8.1 *For every prime number $p_n > 2$, the sequence Y_n is strictly decreasing.*

Proof For every real value $x \geq 3$, we state the function

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2 \times \log(x)}}}{(1 - \frac{1}{\log(x)})}$$

which is equivalent to

$$f(x) = g(x) \times h(u)$$

where $g(x) = e^{\frac{1}{2 \times \log(x)}}$ and $h(u) = \frac{u}{u-1}$ for $u = \log(x)$. We know that $g(x)$ decreases as $x \geq 3$ increases, Moreover, we note that $h(u)$ decreases as $u > 1$ increases where $u = \log(x) > 1$ for $x \geq 3$. In conclusion, we can see that the function $f(x)$ is monotonically decreasing for every real value $x \geq 3$ and therefore, the sequence Y_n is monotonically decreasing as well. In addition, Y_n is essentially a strictly decreasing sequence, since there is not any natural number $n > 1$ such that $Y_n = Y_{n+1}$.

In mathematics, the Chebyshev function $\theta(x)$ is given by

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$$

where $p \leq x$ means all the prime numbers p that are less than or equal to x .

Lemma 8.2 [7]. *For $x \geq 41$:*

$$\theta(x) > (1 - \frac{1}{\log(x)}) \times x.$$

Besides, we know that

Lemma 8.3 [7]. *For $x \geq 286$:*

$$\prod_{q \leq x} \frac{q}{q-1} < e^\gamma \times (\log x + \frac{1}{2 \times \log(x)}).$$

We will prove another important inequality:

Theorem 8.4 *Let $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m$ denote the first m consecutive primes such that $q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_m$ and $q_m > 286$. Then*

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i-1} < e^\gamma \times \log(Y_m \times \theta(q_m)).$$

Proof From the theorem 8.2, we know that

$$\theta(q_m) > \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(q_m)}\right) \times q_m.$$

In this way, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \log(Y_m \times \theta(q_m)) &> \log\left(Y_m \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(q_m)}\right) \times q_m\right) \\ &= \log q_m + \log\left(Y_m \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(q_m)}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We know that

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(Y_m \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(q_m)}\right)\right) &= \log\left(\frac{e^{\frac{1}{2 \times \log(q_m)}}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(q_m)}\right)} \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(q_m)}\right)\right) \\ &= \log\left(e^{\frac{1}{2 \times \log(q_m)}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \times \log(q_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$\log q_m + \log\left(Y_m \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(q_m)}\right)\right) \geq \left(\log q_m + \frac{1}{2 \times \log(q_m)}\right).$$

Due to the theorem 8.3, we prove that

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} < e^\gamma \times \left(\log q_m + \frac{1}{2 \times \log(q_m)}\right) < e^\gamma \times \log(Y_m \times \theta(q_m))$$

when $q_m > 286$.

9 Proof of Main Theorems

Theorem 9.1 *Robins(n) holds for all $n > 5040$ when a prime number $q \leq 953$ complies with $q \nmid n$.*

Proof This is a compendium of the results from the theorem 1.2 and the lemmas 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 and 7.4.

Theorem 9.2 *Let $\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \log \log n' \leq \log \log n$ for some $n > 5040$ such that n' is the square free kernel of the natural number n . Then Robins(n) holds.*

Proof Let n' be the square free kernel of the natural number n . Let n' be the product of the distinct primes $q_1, \dots, q_m$. By assumption we have that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \log \log n' \leq \log \log n.$$

For all square free $n' \leq 5040$, Robins(n') holds if and only if $n' \notin \{2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 30\}$ [3]. However, Robins(n) holds for all $n > 5040$ when $n' \in \{2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30\}$ due to the lemma 4.1. When $n' > 5040$, we know that Robins(n') holds and so

$$f(n') < e^\gamma \times \log \log n'.$$

By the previous lemma 2.3:

$$f(n) < \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}.$$

Suppose by way of contradiction that Robins(n) fails. Then

$$f(n) \geq e^\gamma \times \log \log n.$$

We claim that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > e^\gamma \times \log \log n.$$

Since otherwise we would have a contradiction. This shows that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times e^\gamma \times \log \log n'.$$

Thus

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > e^\gamma \times \log \log n',$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > f(n'),$$

This is a contradiction since $f(n')$ is equal to

$$\frac{(q_1 + 1) \times \dots \times (q_m + 1)}{q_1 \times \dots \times q_m}$$

according to the formula (6.3) for the square free numbers.

Theorem 9.3 Let $\prod_{i=1}^m q_i^{a_i}$ be the representation of n as a product of the first m consecutive primes $q_1 < \dots < q_m$ with natural numbers as exponents $a_1, \dots, a_m$. If $n > 5040$ is the smallest integer such that Robins(n) does not hold, then $(\log n)^\beta < 1.2592 \times \log(N_m)$, where $N_m = \prod_{i=1}^m q_i$ is the primorial number of order m and $\beta = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i^{a_i+1}}{q_i^{a_i+1}-1}$.

Proof According to the theorems 1.3 and 1.4, the primes $q_1 < \dots < q_m$ must be the first m consecutive primes since $n > 5040$ should be an Hardy-Ramanujan integer. From the theorem 9.1, we know that necessarily $q_m \geq 953$. From the lemma 3.2, we note that

$$f(n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \right) \times \prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}} \right).$$

However, we know that

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} < e^\gamma \times \log(1.2592 \times \log(N_m))$$

because of the lemmas 8.1 and 8.4, since we have that $Y_m < 1.2592$ when $q_m \geq 953$.

If we multiply by $\prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}} \right)$ the both sides of the previous inequality, then we obtain that

$$f(n) < e^\gamma \times \log(1.2592 \times \log(N_m)) \times \prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}} \right).$$

If n is the smallest integer exceeding 5040 that does not satisfy the Robin inequality, then

$$e^\gamma \times \log \log n < e^\gamma \times \log(1.2592 \times \log(N_m)) \times \prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}} \right)$$

because of

$$e^\gamma \times \log \log n \leq f(n).$$

That is the same as

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i^{a_i+1}}{q_i^{a_i+1} - 1} \times \log \log n < \log(1.2592 \times \log(N_m))$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\log n)^\beta < 1.2592 \times \log(N_m)$$

where $\beta = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i^{a_i+1}}{q_i^{a_i+1} - 1}$. Therefore, the proof is done.

References

1. Akbary, A., Friggstad, Z.: Superabundant numbers and the Riemann hypothesis. The American Mathematical Monthly **116**(3), 273–275 (2009). DOI doi:10.4169/193009709X470128
2. Alaoglu, L., Erdős, P.: On highly composite and similar numbers. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **56**(3), 448–469 (1944). DOI doi:10.2307/1990319
3. Choie, Y., Lichiardopol, N., Moree, P., Solé, P.: On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis. Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux **19**(2), 357–372 (2007). DOI doi:10.5802/jtnb.591
4. Edwards, H.M.: Riemann's Zeta Function. Dover Publications (2001)
5. Hertlein, A.: Robin's Inequality for New Families of Integers. Integers **18** (2018)
6. Robin, G.: Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann. J. Math. pures appl **63**(2), 187–213 (1984)
7. Rosser, J.B., Schoenfeld, L.: Approximate Formulas for Some Functions of Prime Numbers. Illinois Journal of Mathematics **6**(1), 64–94 (1962). DOI doi:10.1215/ijm/1255631807