# When the Riemann Hypothesis might be false

Frank Vega

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

**Abstract** Robin criterion states that the Riemann Hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality  $\sigma(n) < e^{\gamma} \times n \times \log\log n$  holds for all natural numbers n > 5040, where  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum-of-divisors function and  $\gamma \approx 0.57721$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Let  $q_1 = 2, q_2 = 3, \ldots, q_m$  denote the first m consecutive primes, then an integer of the form  $\prod_{i=1}^m q_i^{a_i}$  with  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_m \geq 0$  is called an Hardy-Ramanujan integer. If the Riemann Hypothesis is false, then there are infinitely many Hardy-Ramanujan integers n > 5040 such that Robin inequality does not hold and  $n < (4.48311)^m \times N_m$ , where  $N_m = \prod_{i=1}^m q_i$  is the primorial number of order m.

**Keywords** Riemann hypothesis  $\cdot$  Robin inequality  $\cdot$  sum-of-divisors function  $\cdot$  prime numbers

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** MSC  $11M26 \cdot MSC \ 11A41 \cdot MSC \ 11A25$ 

### 1 Introduction

In mathematics, the Riemann Hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part  $\frac{1}{2}$  [4]. As usual  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum-of-divisors function of n [2]:

$$\sum_{d|n} d$$

where  $d \mid n$  means the integer d divides to n and  $d \nmid n$  means the integer d does not divide to n. Define f(n) to be  $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$ . Say Robins(n) holds provided

$$f(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$
.

F. Vega

CopSonic, 1471 Route de Saint-Nauphary 82000 Montauban, France

ORCiD: 0000-0001-8210-4126 E-mail: vega.frank@gmail.com 2 F. Vega

The constant  $\gamma \approx 0.57721$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, and log is the natural logarithm. The importance of this property is:

**Theorem 1.1** *If the Riemann Hypothesis is false, then there are infinitely many natural numbers* n > 5040 *such that* Robins(n) *does not hold* [4].

We recall that an integer n is said to be square free if for every prime divisor q of n we have  $q^2 \nmid n$  [2]. Robins(n) holds for all natural numbers n > 5040 that are square free [2]. In addition, we show that Robins(n) holds for some n > 5040 when  $\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \log \log n' \le \log \log n$  such that n' is the square free kernel of the natural number n. Let  $q_1 = 2, q_2 = 3, \ldots, q_m$  denote the first m consecutive primes, then an integer of the form  $\prod_{i=1}^m q_i^{a_i}$  with  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_m \ge 0$  is called an Hardy-Ramanujan integer [2]. Based on the theorem 1.1, we know this result:

**Theorem 1.2** If the Riemann Hypothesis is false, then there are infinitely many natural numbers n > 5040 which are an Hardy-Ramanujan integer and Robins(n) does not hold [2].

We prove if the Riemann Hypothesis is false, then there are infinitely many Hardy-Ramanujan integers n > 5040 such that Robins(n) does not hold and  $n < (4.48311)^m \times N_m$ , where  $N_m = \prod_{i=1}^m q_i$  is the primorial number of order m.

### 2 A Central Lemma

These are known results:

**Lemma 2.1** [2]. For n > 1:

$$f(n) < \prod_{q|n} \frac{q}{q-1}. \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.2 [3].

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{g_L^2}} = \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$
 (2.2)

The following is a key lemma. It gives an upper bound on f(n) that holds for all natural numbers n. The bound is too weak to prove  $\mathsf{Robins}(n)$  directly, but is critical because it holds for all natural numbers n. Further the bound only uses the primes that divide n and not how many times they divide n.

**Lemma 2.3** Let n > 1 and let all its prime divisors be  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m$ . Then,

$$f(n) < \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}.$$

*Proof* We use that lemma 2.1:

$$f(n) < \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}.$$

Now for q > 1,

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q^2}} = \frac{q^2}{q^2 - 1}.$$

So

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q^2}} \times \frac{q+1}{q} = \frac{q^2}{q^2 - 1} \times \frac{q+1}{q}$$
$$= \frac{q}{q-1}.$$

Then by lemma 2.2,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_i^2}} < \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

Putting this together yields the proof:

$$f(n) < \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}$$

$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_i^2}} \times \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}$$

$$< \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}.$$

#### 3 A Particular Case

We can easily prove that Robins(n) is true for certain kind of numbers:

**Lemma 3.1** Robins(n) holds for n > 5040 when  $q \le 5$ , where q is the largest prime divisor of n.

*Proof* Let n > 5040 and let all its prime divisors be  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m \le 5$ , then we need to prove

$$f(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

that is true when

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \le e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

according to the lemma 2.1. For  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m \le 5$ ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i-1} \leq \frac{2\times 3\times 5}{1\times 2\times 4} = 3.75 < e^{\gamma} \times \log\log(5040) \approx 3.81.$$

However, we know for n > 5040

$$e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(5040) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

and therefore, the proof is complete when  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m \le 5$ .

4 F. Vega

### 4 Helpful Lemmas

For every prime number  $p_n > 2$ , we define the sequence  $Y_n = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2 \times \log(p_n)}}}{(1 - \frac{1}{\log(p_n)})}$ .

**Lemma 4.1** For every prime number  $p_n > 2$ , the sequence  $Y_n$  is strictly decreasing.

*Proof* For every real value  $x \ge 3$ , we state the function

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2 \times \log(x)}}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(x)}\right)}$$

which is equivalent to

$$f(x) = g(x) \times h(u)$$

where  $g(x) = e^{\frac{1}{2 \times \log(x)}}$  and  $h(u) = \frac{u}{u-1}$  for  $u = \log(x)$ . We know that g(x) decreases as  $x \ge 3$  increases, Moreover, we note that h(u) decreases as u > 1 increases where  $u = \log(x) > 1$  for  $x \ge 3$ . In conclusion, we can see that the function f(x) is monotonically decreasing for every real value  $x \ge 3$  and therefore, the sequence  $Y_n$  is monotonically decreasing as well. In addition,  $Y_n$  is essentially a strictly decreasing sequence, since there is not any natural number n > 1 such that  $Y_n = Y_{n+1}$ .

In mathematics, the Chebyshev function  $\theta(x)$  is given by

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \le x} \log p$$

where  $p \le x$  means all the prime numbers p that are less than or equal to x.

**Lemma 4.2** [5]. For  $x \ge 41$ :

$$\theta(x) > (1 - \frac{1}{\log(x)}) \times x.$$

Besides, we know that

**Lemma 4.3** [5]. For x > 286:

$$\prod_{q \le x} \frac{q}{q-1} < e^{\gamma} \times (\log x + \frac{1}{2 \times \log(x)}).$$

We will prove another important inequality:

**Lemma 4.4** Let  $q_1, q_2, ..., q_m$  denote the first m consecutive primes such that  $q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_m$  and  $q_m > 286$ . Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} < e^{\gamma} \times \log \left( Y_m \times \theta(q_m) \right).$$

**Proof** From the theorem 4.2, we know that

$$\theta(q_m) > (1 - \frac{1}{\log(q_m)}) \times q_m.$$

In this way, we can show that

$$\begin{split} \log\left(Y_m \times \theta(q_m)\right) &> \log\left(Y_m \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(q_m)}\right) \times q_m\right) \\ &= \log q_m + \log\left(Y_m \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(q_m)}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

We know that

$$\begin{split} \log\left(Y_m\times(1-\frac{1}{\log(q_m)})\right) &= \log\left(\frac{e^{\frac{1}{2\times\log(q_m)}}}{(1-\frac{1}{\log(q_m)})}\times(1-\frac{1}{\log(q_m)})\right) \\ &= \log\left(e^{\frac{1}{2\times\log(q_m)}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\times\log(q_m)}. \end{split}$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$\log q_m + \log \left( Y_m \times (1 - \frac{1}{\log(q_m)}) \right) \ge (\log q_m + \frac{1}{2 \times \log(q_m)}).$$

Due to the theorem 4.3, we prove that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} < e^{\gamma} \times (\log q_m + \frac{1}{2 \times \log(q_m)}) < e^{\gamma} \times \log(Y_m \times \theta(q_m))$$

when  $q_m > 286$ .

### 5 Proof of Main Theorems

The next theorem implies that Robins(n) holds for a wide range of natural numbers n > 5040.

**Theorem 5.1** Let  $\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \log \log n' \le \log \log n$  for some n > 5040 such that n' is the square free kernel of the natural number n. Then  $\mathsf{Robins}(n)$  holds.

*Proof* Let n' be the square free kernel of the natural number n. Let n' be the product of the distinct primes  $q_1, \ldots, q_m$ . By assumption we have that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \log \log n' \le \log \log n.$$

6 F. Vega

For all square free  $n' \le 5040$ , Robins(n') holds if and only if  $n' \notin \{2,3,5,6,10,30\}$  [2]. However, Robins(n) holds for all natural numbers n > 5040 when  $n' \in \{2,3,5,6,10,15,30\}$  due to the lemma 3.1. When n' > 5040, we know that Robins(n') holds and so

$$f(n') < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n'$$
.

By the previous lemma 2.3:

$$f(n) < \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}.$$

Suppose by way of contradiction that Robins(n) fails. Then

$$f(n) \ge e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$
.

We claim that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n.$$

Since otherwise we would have a contradiction. This shows that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n'.$$

Thus

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n',$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i+1}{q_i} > f(n'),$$

This is a contradiction since f(n') is equal to

$$\frac{(q_1+1)\times\cdots\times(q_m+1)}{q_1\times\cdots\times q_m}.$$

**Theorem 5.2** If the Riemann Hypothesis is false, then there are infinitely many Hardy-Ramanujan integers n > 5040 such that  $\mathsf{Robins}(n)$  does not hold and  $n < (4.48311)^m \times N_m$ , where  $N_m = \prod_{i=1}^m q_i$  is the primorial number of order m.

*Proof* Let  $\prod_{i=1}^m q_i^{a_i}$  be the representation of some natural number n > 5040 as a product of primes  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m$  with natural numbers as exponents  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ . The primes  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m$  must be the first m consecutive primes and  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_m \ge 0$  since the natural number n > 5040 could be an Hardy-Ramanujan integer. We assume that Robins(n) does not hold. Indeed, we know there are infinitely many Hardy-Ramanujan integers such as n > 5040 when the Riemann Hypothesis is false according to the theorem 1.2. From the lemma 4.4, we know that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} < e^{\gamma} \times \log\left(Y_m \times \theta(q_m)\right) = e^{\gamma} \times \log\log(N_m^{Y_m})$$

when  $q_m > 286$ . In this way, if Robins(n) does not hold, then  $n < N_m^{Y_m}$  since by the lemma 2.1 we have that

$$f(n) < \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}.$$

That is the same as  $n < N_m^{Y_m-1} \times N_m$ . We can check that  $q_m^{Y_m-1}$  is monotonically decreasing for all primes  $q_m > 286$  due to the lemma 4.1. Certainly, the function

$$g(x) = x^{\left(\frac{e^{\frac{1}{2 \times \log(x)}}}{(1 - \frac{1}{\log(x)})} - 1\right)}$$

complies that its derivative is lesser than zero for all real numbers x > 286. Indeed, a function g(x) of a real variable x is monotonically decreasing in some interval if the derivative of g(x) is lesser than zero and the function g(x) is continuous over that interval [1]. We know that  $q_m$  could comply with  $q_m \ge 1000000!$  for infinitely many Hardy-Ramanujan integers n > 5040 such that Robins(n) does not hold, where  $(\ldots)!$  is the factorial function. Certainly, if  $q_m$  would have an upper bound by some positive value, then there would not be infinitely many natural numbers n > 5040 which are an Hardy-Ramanujan integer and Robins(n) does not hold because of the theorem 5.1. Consequently, it is enough to show that

$$q_m^{Y_m-1} \le g(1000000!) < 4.48311$$

for all primes  $q_m \ge 1000000!$ . Moreover, we would obtain that

$$q_m^{Y_m-1} > q_i^{Y_m-1}$$

for every integer  $1 \le j < m$ . Finally, we can state that  $n < (4.48311)^m \times N_m$  since  $N_m^{Y_m-1} < (4.48311)^m$  when n > 5040 could be any of the infinitely many Hardy-Ramanujan integers such that Robins(n) does not hold and  $q_m \ge 1000000!$ .

## Acknowledgments

I thank Richard J. Lipton and Craig Helfgott for helpful comments.

#### References

- Anderson, G., Vamanamurthy, M., Vuorinen, M.: Monotonicity Rules in Calculus. The American Mathematical Monthly 113(9), 805–816 (2006). DOI 10.1080/00029890.2006.11920367
- Choie, Y., Lichiardopol, N., Moree, P., Solé, P.: On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis. Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 19(2), 357–372 (2007). DOI doi:10.5802/jtnb.591
- 3. Edwards, H.M.: Riemann's Zeta Function. Dover Publications (2001)
- Robin, G.: Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann. J. Math. pures appl 63(2), 187–213 (1984)
- Rosser, J.B., Schoenfeld, L.: Approximate Formulas for Some Functions of Prime Numbers. Illinois Journal of Mathematics 6(1), 64–94 (1962). DOI doi:10.1215/ijm/1255631807