# The Riemann Hypothesis is True

### Frank Vega

CopSonic, 1471 Route de Saint-Nauphary 82000 Montauban, France

#### **Abstract**

The Riemann hypothesis has been considered the most important unsolved problem in pure mathematics. The David Hilbert's list of 23 unsolved problems contains the Riemann hypothesis. Besides, it is one of the Clay Mathematics Institute's Millennium Prize Problems. The Robin criterion states that the Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality  $\sigma(n) < e^{\gamma} \times n \times \log\log n$  holds for all natural numbers n > 5040, where  $\sigma(x)$  is the sum-of-divisors function and  $\gamma \approx 0.57721$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. The Nicolas criterion states that the Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality  $\prod_{q \leq q_n} \frac{q}{q-1} > e^{\gamma} \times \log \theta(q_n)$  is satisfied for all primes  $q_n > 2$ , where  $\theta(x)$  is the Chebyshev function. Using both inequalities, we show that the Riemann hypothesis is true.

Keywords: Riemann hypothesis, Robin inequality, Nicolas inequality, Chebyshev function,

prime numbers

2000 MSC: 11M26, 11A41, 11A25

#### 1. Introduction

The Riemann hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part  $\frac{1}{2}$  [1, 2]. In mathematics, the Chebyshev function  $\theta(x)$  is given by

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{q \le x} \log q$$

where  $q \le x$  means all the prime numbers q that are less than or equal to x. Let  $N_n = 2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 11 \times \cdots \times p_n$  denotes a primorial number of order n such that  $p_n$  is the n<sup>th</sup> prime number. Thus,  $\theta(q_n) = \log N_n$ . Say Nicolas $(q_n)$  holds provided

$$\prod_{q \le q_n} \frac{q}{q-1} > e^{\gamma} \times \log \theta(q_n).$$

The constant  $\gamma \approx 0.57721$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and log is the natural logarithm. The importance of this inequality is:

Email address: vega.frank@gmail.com (Frank Vega)

**Theorem 1.1.** Nicolas $(q_n)$  holds for all prime numbers  $q_n > 2$  if and only if the Riemann hypothesis is true [1].

As usual  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum-of-divisors function of n [3]:

$$\sum_{d|n} d$$

where  $d \mid n$  means the integer d divides n and  $d \nmid n$  signifies that the integer d does not divide n. Define f(n) to be  $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$ . Say Robins(n) holds provided

$$f(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$
.

The importance of this inequality is:

**Theorem 1.2.** Robins(n) holds for all natural numbers n > 5040 if and only if the Riemann hypothesis is true [2]. Moreover, if the Riemann hypothesis is false, then there are infinitely many natural numbers n > 5040 such that Robins(n) does not hold [2].

It is known that Robins(n) holds for many classes of numbers n. We recall that an integer n is said to be square free if for every prime divisor q of n we have  $q^2 \nmid n$  [3].

**Theorem 1.3.** Robins(n) holds for all natural numbers n > 5040 that are square free [3].

Let  $q_1 = 2, q_2 = 3, ..., q_m$  be the first m consecutive primes, then an integer of the form  $\prod_{i=1}^m q_i^{a_i}$  with  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge ... \ge a_m \ge 0$  is called an Hardy-Ramanujan integer [3]. Based on the theorem 1.2, we know this result:

**Theorem 1.4.** *If the Riemann hypothesis is false, then there are infinitely many natural numbers* n > 5040 *which are an Hardy-Ramanujan integer and* Robins(n) *does not hold* [3].

We define  $H = \gamma - B$  such that  $B \approx 0.2614972128$  is the Meissel-Mertens constant [4]. For all real numbers  $x \ge 2$ , the function u(x) is defined as follows

$$u(x) = \sum_{q > x} \left( \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right).$$

For all real numbers x > 1, we define:

$$\delta(x) = \left(\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} - \log\log x - B\right).$$

**Definition 1.5.** We define another function:

$$\varpi(x) = \left(\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} - \log \log \theta(x) - B\right)$$

for all real numbers  $x \ge 3$ .

Putting all together yields the proof that the inequality  $\varpi(p) > u(p)$  is satisfied for a prime number  $p \ge 3$  if and only if Nicolas(p) holds. In this way, we introduce another criterion for the Riemann hypothesis based on the Nicolas criterion and deduce some of its consequences.

# 2. Known Results

We know from the constant H, the following formula:

**Theorem 2.1.** [3].

$$\sum_{q} \left( \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right) = \gamma - B = H.$$

We know this property for the Chebyshev function:

**Theorem 2.2.** [5].

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\theta(x)}{x} = 1.$$

Mertens second theorem states that:

**Theorem 2.3.** [4].

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \delta(x) = 0.$$

We know these properties for the function f(n):

**Theorem 2.4.** [6]. Let  $\prod_{i=1}^m q_i^{a_i}$  be the representation of n as a product of primes  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m$  with natural numbers as exponents  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ . Then,

$$f(n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i + 1}}\right).$$

**Theorem 2.5.** [3]. For all natural numbers n > 1:

$$f(n) < \prod_{q|n} \frac{q}{q-1}.$$

We know this result for the Riemann zeta function:

**Theorem 2.6.** [7].

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_k^2}} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_k^2}{q_k^2 - 1} = \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

Finally, we know that:

**Theorem 2.7.** [1]. For all real numbers  $x \ge 2$ :

$$0 < u(x) \le \frac{1}{2 \times (x-1)}.$$

**Theorem 2.8.** [8]. For x > -1:

$$\log(1+x) \le x.$$

# 3. A Central Theorem

The following is a key theorem. It gives an upper bound on f(n) that holds for all natural numbers n. The bound is too weak to prove  $\mathsf{Robins}(n)$  directly, but is critical because it holds for all natural numbers n. Further the bound only uses the primes that divide n and not how many times they divide n.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let n > 1 and let all its prime divisors be  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m$ . Then,

$$f(n) < \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}.$$

*Proof.* We use that theorem 2.5:

$$f(n) < \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}.$$

Now, for every prime q > 1,

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q^2}} = \frac{q^2}{q^2 - 1}.$$

So

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q^2}} \times \frac{q+1}{q} = \frac{q^2}{q^2 - 1} \times \frac{q+1}{q}$$
$$= \frac{q}{q-1}.$$

Then by theorem 2.6,

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{q_i^2}} < \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

Putting this together yields the proof:

$$f(n) < \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}$$

$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_i^2}} \times \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}$$

$$< \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}.$$

# 4. A Simple Case

We can easily prove that Robins(n) is true for certain kind of numbers:

**Theorem 4.1.** Robins(n) holds for all natural numbers n > 5040 when  $q \le 5$ , where q is the largest prime divisor of n.

*Proof.* Let n > 5040 and let all its prime divisors be  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m \le 5$ , then we need to prove

$$f(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

that is true when

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \le e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

according to the theorem 2.5. For the prime divisors  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m \le 5$ ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \le \frac{2 \times 3 \times 5}{1 \times 2 \times 4} = 3.75 < e^{\gamma} \times \log\log(5040) \approx 3.81.$$

For all natural numbers n > 5040, we note that

$$e^{\gamma} \times \log \log(5040) < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

and therefore, the proof is complete when  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m \le 5$ .

### 5. The Function $\varpi(x)$

**Theorem 5.1.** The inequality  $\varpi(p) > u(p)$  is satisfied for a prime number  $p \ge 3$  if and only if Nicolas(p) holds.

*Proof.* We start from the inequality:

$$\varpi(p) > u(p)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(\sum_{q \le p} \frac{1}{q} - \log\log\theta(p) - B\right) > \sum_{q > p} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

We add the following formula to the both sides of the inequality,

$$\sum_{q \le p} \left( \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right)$$

and due to the theorem 2.1, we obtain that

$$\sum_{q \le p} \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \log\log\theta(p) - B > H$$

because of

$$H = \sum_{q \leq p} \left( \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \sum_{q > p} \left( \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{q \le p} \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) = \sum_{q \le p} \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{q \le p} \left( \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right).$$

We distribute it and remove *B* from the both sides:

$$\sum_{q \le p} \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) > \gamma + \log\log\theta(p)$$

since  $H = \gamma - B$ . If we apply the exponentiation to the both sides of the inequality, then we have that

$$\prod_{q \le p} \frac{q}{q - 1} > e^{\gamma} \times \log \theta(p)$$

which means that Nicolas(p) holds. The same happens in the reverse implication.

**Theorem 5.2.** The Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality  $\varpi(p) > u(p)$  is satisfied for all prime numbers  $p \ge 3$ .

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of theorems 1.1 and 5.1.

#### Theorem 5.3.

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\varpi(x)=0.$$

*Proof.* We know that  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \varpi(x) = 0$  for the limits  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \delta(x) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\theta(x)}{x} = 1$ . In this way, this is a consequence from the theorems 2.2 and 2.3.

### 6. On Hardy-Ramanujan integers

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $\prod_{i=1}^m q_i^{a_i}$  be the representation of an Hardy-Ramanujan integer n > 5040 as a product of the first m primes  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m$  with natural numbers as exponents  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_m \ge 0$ . If  $\mathsf{Robins}(n)$  does not hold, then  $\mathsf{Nicolas}(q_m)$  holds indeed.

*Proof.* When Robins(n) does not hold, then

$$f(n) \ge e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$
.

We assume that  $Nicolas(q_m)$  does not hold as well. Consequently,

$$\prod_{q \le q_m} \frac{q}{q-1} \le e^{\gamma} \times \log \log N_m.$$

According to the theorem 2.5,

$$e^{\gamma} \times \log \log N_m \ge \prod_{q \le q_m} \frac{q}{q-1}$$
  
>  $f(n)$   
 $\ge e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$ .

However, this implies that  $N_m > n$  which is a contradiction since n > 5040 is an Hardy-Ramanujan integer.

# 7. Ancillary Theorem

Theorem 7.1.

$$\sum_{q} \left( \frac{1}{q} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{q}) \right) = \log(\frac{\pi^2}{6}) - H.$$

*Proof.* If we add H to

$$\sum_{q} \left( \frac{1}{q} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{q}) \right)$$

then we obtain that

$$\begin{split} H + \sum_{q} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{q})\right) &= H + \sum_{q} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(\frac{q+1}{q})\right) \\ &= \sum_{q} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q}\right) + \sum_{q} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(\frac{q+1}{q})\right) \\ &= \sum_{q} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \log(\frac{q+1}{q})\right) \\ &= \sum_{q} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) + \log(\frac{q}{q+1})\right) \\ &= \sum_{q} \left(\log(\frac{q^2}{(q-1)\times(q+1)})\right) \\ &= \sum_{q} \left(\log(\frac{q^2}{(q^2-1)})\right) \\ &= \log(\frac{\pi^2}{6}) \end{split}$$

according to the theorems 2.1 and 2.6. Therefore, the proof is done.

# 8. Main Insight

The next theorem is a main insight.

**Theorem 8.1.** Let  $\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \log \log n' \le \log \log n$  for some natural number n > 5040 such that n' is the square free kernel of the natural number n. Then Robins(n) holds.

*Proof.* Let n' be the square free kernel of the natural number n, that is the product of the distinct primes  $q_1, \ldots, q_m$ . By assumption we have that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \log \log n' \le \log \log n.$$

For all square free  $n' \le 5040$ , Robins(n') holds if and only if  $n' \notin \{2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 30\}$  [3]. However, Robins(n) holds for all natural numbers n > 5040 when  $n' \in \{2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30\}$  due to the theorem 4.1. When n' > 5040, we know that Robins(n') holds and so

$$f(n') < e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n'.$$

By the previous theorem 3.1:

$$f(n) < \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}.$$

Suppose by way of contradiction that Robins(n) fails. Then

$$f(n) \ge e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$
.

We claim that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n.$$

Since otherwise we would have a contradiction. This shows that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n'.$$

Thus

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n',$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i+1}{q_i} > f(n'),$$

This is a contradiction since f(n') is equal to

$$\frac{(q_1+1)\times\cdots\times(q_m+1)}{q_1\times\cdots\times q_m}$$

according to the formula f(x) for the square free numbers [3].

# 9. Proof of Main Theorem

**Theorem 9.1.** *The Riemann hypothesis is true.* 

*Proof.* We claim that for every sufficiently large Hardy-Ramanujan integer n > 5040, then Robins(n) always holds. Let  $\prod_{i=1}^m q_i^{a_i}$  be the representation of a sufficiently large Hardy-Ramanujan integer n > 5040 as a product of the first m primes  $q_1 < \cdots < q_m$  with natural numbers as exponents  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_m \ge 0$ . Suppose that Robins(n) does not hold and so, the Riemann hypothesis would be false. Hence,

$$f(n) \ge e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$
.

We use that theorem 2.4,

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i + 1}}\right) \ge e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i^2}{q_i^2 - 1}\right) \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}\right) \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i + 1}}\right)\right) \ge e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\frac{\log \log N_m}{\log \log n} \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i^2}{q_i^2 - 1} \right) \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} \right) \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i + 1}}) \right) \ge e^{\gamma} \times \log \log N_m$$

where  $N_m$  is the primorial number of order m. If we apply the logarithm to the both sides of the inequality, then

$$\log(\frac{\log\log N_m}{\log\log n}) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i^2}{q_i^2 - 1}\right) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}\right) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i + 1}})\right) \ge \gamma + \log\log\theta(q_m)$$

because of  $\log N_m = \theta(q_m)$ . Let's multiply by -1 the both sides of the inequality,

$$\log(\frac{\log\log n}{\log\log N_m}) - \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i^2}{q_i^2 - 1}\right) - \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}\right) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} (\frac{q_i^{a_{i+1}}}{q_i^{a_{i+1}} - 1})\right) \le -\gamma - \log\log\theta(q_m)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\log(\frac{\log\log n}{\log\log N_m}) - \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i^2}{q_i^2 - 1}\right) + \left(\sum_{q \le q_m} \frac{1}{q}\right) - \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}\right) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^m (\frac{q_i^{a_i + 1}}{q_i^{a_i + 1} - 1})\right)$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{q \le q_m} \frac{1}{q}\right) - \gamma - \log\log\theta(q_m)$$

after adding  $\sum_{q \leq q_m} \frac{1}{q}$  to the both sides of the inequality. This the same as

$$\log(\frac{\log\log n}{\log\log N_m}) - \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i^2}{q_i^2 - 1}\right) + \sum_{q} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{q})\right) - \sum_{q > q_m} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{q})\right) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{q_i^{a_i + 1}}{q_i^{a_i + 1} - 1}\right)\right) \le \varpi(q_m) - H$$

which is

$$\begin{split} \log(\frac{\log\log n}{\log\log N_{m}}) - \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{q_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}^{2}-1}\right) - \sum_{q>q_{m}}\left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(1+\frac{1}{q})\right) + \log(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}(\frac{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}-1})\right) \\ \leq u(q_{m}) + \varepsilon \end{split}$$

due to the definition 1.5 and the theorems 2.1, 5.1, 5.3, 6.1 and 7.1, where  $\varepsilon = \varpi(q_m) - u(q_m)$  is a sufficiently small positive real number that goes to 0 when  $q_m$  tends to infinity. Actually,  $q_m$  cannot have an upper bound under our assumption, so the positive value  $\varepsilon$  gets smaller and smaller as the chosen Hardy-Ramanujan integer n grows. In general, if  $q_m$  would have an upper bound, then our assumption fails as a consequence of the theorem 8.1: our assumption is that

there would be infinitely many natural numbers n > 5040 which are an Hardy-Ramanujan integer and counterexample of the Robin inequality. We know that

$$u(q_{m}) + \sum_{q > q_{m}} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{q})\right) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}^{2} - 1}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{q > q_{m}} \left(\log\left(\frac{q}{q - 1}\right) - \frac{1}{q}\right) + \sum_{q > q_{m}} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{q})\right) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}^{2} - 1}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{q > q_{m}} \left(\log\left(\frac{q}{q - 1}\right) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{q})\right) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}^{2} - 1}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{q > q_{m}} \left(\log\left(\frac{q}{q - 1}\right) + \log\left(\frac{q}{q + 1}\right)\right) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}^{2} - 1}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{q > q_{m}} \left(\log\left(\frac{q^{2}}{q^{2} - 1}\right)\right) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}^{2} - 1}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{q} \left(\log\left(\frac{q^{2}}{q^{2} - 1}\right)\right)$$

$$= \log\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right)$$

using the theorem 2.6. It is enough to distribute and remove the value of  $\log(\frac{\pi^2}{6})$  from the both sides to show that

$$\log(\frac{\log\log n}{\log\log N_m}) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{q_i^{a_i+1}}{q_i^{a_i+1}-1}\right)\right) \le \varepsilon$$

which is equivalent to

$$\log n^{\frac{1}{\log\log N_m}} \times e^{\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{q_i^{a_i+1}}{q_i^{a_i+1}-1}\right)} \leq e^{e^{\varepsilon}}$$

when we use the exponentiation two times. We know that

$$\log n^{\frac{1}{\log\log N_m}} > \log n^{\frac{1}{\log\log n}} = e$$

according to the theorems 1.3 and 4.1. Certainly, for every real number x > 1, we have that  $x^{\frac{1}{\log x}} = e$  because of

$$\log(x^{\frac{1}{\log x}}) = \frac{1}{\log x} \times \log x = 1 = \log e.$$

We use that theorem 2.8,

$$e^{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{q_i^{a_i+1}}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right)} \ge 1 + \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{q_i^{a_i+1}}{q_i^{a_i+1}-1}\right) > 2$$

since

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left( \frac{q_i^{a_i+1}}{q_i^{a_i+1} - 1} \right) > 1.$$

In this way, we would have that  $e \times 2 < e^{e^{\varepsilon}}$  which is equivalent to  $\log(1 + \log 2) < \varepsilon$ . So,

$$\log(1 + \log 2) \approx 0.526589034139 > 0.5$$

and thus

 $0.5 < \varepsilon$ .

However, the inequality  $0.5 < \varepsilon$  is not satisfied for a sufficiently small positive value of  $\varepsilon$ , since we know that  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0 as n grows. In conclusion, for every sufficiently large Hardy-Ramanujan integer n > 5040, then Robins(n) always holds. By contraposition, the Riemann hypothesis is true, because of the theorems 1.2 and 1.4.

# Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Richard J. Lipton and Craig Helfgott for helpful comments and my mother and maternal brother for their support.

#### References

- [1] J.-L. Nicolas, Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler, Journal of number theory 17 (3) (1983) 375–388. doi:10.1016/0022-314X(83)90055-0.
- [2] G. Robin, Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann, J. Math. pures appl 63 (2) (1984) 187–213.
- [3] Y. Choie, N. Lichiardopol, P. Moree, P. Solé, On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 19 (2) (2007) 357–372. doi:10.5802/jtnb.591.
- [4] F. Mertens, Ein Beitrag zur analytischen Zahlentheorie., J. reine angew. Math. 1874 (78) (1874) 46–62. doi:10.1515/crll.1874.78.46.
   URL https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1874.78.46
- [5] T. H. Grönwall, Some asymptotic expressions in the theory of numbers, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 14 (1) (1913) 113–122. doi:10.2307/1988773.
- [6] A. Hertlein, Robin's Inequality for New Families of Integers, Integers 18.
- [7] H. M. Edwards, Riemann's Zeta Function, Dover Publications, 2001.
- [8] L. Kozma, Useful Inequalities, http://www.lkozma.net/inequalities\_cheat\_sheet/ineq.pdf, accessed on 2021-11-16 (2021).