# Possible Counterexample of the Riemann Hypothesis

Frank Vega

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

**Abstract** Under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis is true, von Koch deduced the improved asymptotic formula  $\theta(x) = x + O(\sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x)$ , where  $\theta(x)$  is the Chebyshev function. A precise version of this was given by Schoenfeld: He found under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis is true that  $\theta(x) < x + \frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x$  for every  $x \ge 599$ . On the contrary, we prove if there exists some real number  $x \ge 10^8$  such that  $\theta(x) > x + \frac{1}{\log \log \log x} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x$ , then the Riemann hypothesis should be false. In this way, we show that under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis is true, then  $\theta(x) < x + \frac{1}{\log \log \log x} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x$  for all  $x \ge 10^8$ .

**Keywords** Riemann hypothesis · Nicolas inequality · Chebyshev function · prime numbers

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** MSC 11M26 · MSC 11A41 · MSC 11A25

### 1 Introduction

The Riemann hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part  $\frac{1}{2}$  [2]. The Riemann hypothesis belongs to the David Hilbert's list of 23 unsolved problems [2]. Besides, it is one of the Clay Mathematics Institute's Millennium Prize Problems [2]. This problem has remained unsolved for many years [2]. In mathematics, the Chebyshev function  $\theta(x)$  is given by

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \le x} \log p$$

F. Vega

CopSonic, 1471 Route de Saint-Nauphary 82000 Montauban, France

ORCiD: 0000-0001-8210-4126 E-mail: vega.frank@gmail.com F. Vega

where  $p \le x$  means all the prime numbers p that are less than or equal to x. Say Nicolas $(p_n)$  holds provided

$$\prod_{q < p_n} \frac{q}{q-1} > e^{\gamma} \times \log \theta(p_n).$$

The constant  $\gamma \approx 0.57721$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, log is the natural logarithm, and  $p_n$  is the  $n^{th}$  prime number. The importance of this property is:

**Theorem 1.1** [7], [8]. Nicolas $(p_n)$  holds for all prime numbers  $p_n > 2$  if and only if the Riemann hypothesis is true.

We know the following properties for the Chebyshev function:

**Theorem 1.2** [11]. If the Riemann hypothesis holds, then

$$\theta(x) = x + O(\sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x)$$

for all  $x \ge 10^8$ .

**Theorem 1.3** [9]. For  $2 \le x \le 10^8$ 

$$\theta(x) < x$$
.

We also know that

**Theorem 1.4** [10]. If the Riemann hypothesis holds, then

$$\left(\frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log x} \times \prod_{q \le x} \frac{q}{q-1} - 1\right) < \frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}}$$

for all numbers  $x \ge 13.1$ .

Let's define  $H = \gamma - B$  such that  $B \approx 0.2614972128$  is the Meissel-Mertens constant [6]. We know from the constant H, the following formula:

**Theorem 1.5** [3].

$$\sum_{q} \left( \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right) = \gamma - B = H.$$

For  $x \ge 2$ , the function u(x) is defined as follows

$$u(x) = \sum_{q > x} \left( \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right).$$

We use the following theorems:

**Theorem 1.6** [5]. For x > -1:

$$\frac{x}{x+1} \le \log(1+x).$$

**Theorem 1.7** [4]. For  $x \ge 1$ :

$$\log(1 + \frac{1}{x}) < \frac{1}{x + 0.4}.$$

Let's define:

$$\delta(x) = \left(\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} - \log\log x - B\right).$$

**Definition 1.8** We define another function:

$$\boldsymbol{\varpi}(x) = \left(\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} - \log \log \theta(x) - B\right).$$

Putting all together yields the proof that the inequality  $\varpi(x) > u(x)$  is satisfied for a number  $x \ge 3$  if and only if  $\mathsf{Nicolas}(p)$  holds, where p is the greatest prime number such that  $p \le x$ . In this way, we introduce another criterion for the Riemann hypothesis based on the Nicolas criterion and deduce some of its consequences.

## 2 Results

**Theorem 2.1** The Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality  $\varpi(x) > u(x)$  is satisfied for all numbers  $x \ge 3$ .

*Proof* In the paper [8] is defined the function:

$$f(x) = e^{\gamma} \times (\log \theta(x)) \times \prod_{q < x} \frac{q-1}{q}.$$

We know that f(x) is lesser than 1 when Nicolas(p) holds, where p is the greatest prime number such that 2 . In the same paper, we found that

$$\log f(x) = U(x) + u(x)$$

where  $U(x) = -\varpi(x)$  [8]. When f(x) is lesser than 1, then  $\log f(x) < 0$ . Consequently, we obtain that

$$-\boldsymbol{\varpi}(x) + u(x) < 0$$

which is the same as  $\varpi(x) > u(x)$ . Therefore, this is a consequence of the theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 2.2** If the Riemann hypothesis holds, then

$$\frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x} + 1.2 \times \log x + 2} + \frac{\log x}{\log \theta(x)} > 1$$

for all numbers  $x \ge 13.1$ .

F. Vega

*Proof* Under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis is true, then we would have

$$\prod_{q \le x} \frac{q}{q-1} < e^{\gamma} \times \log x \times \left(1 + \frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}}\right)$$

after of distributing the terms based on the theorem 1.4 for all numbers  $x \ge 13.1$ . If we apply the logarithm to the both sides of the previous inequality, then we obtain that

$$\sum_{q \le x} \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) < \gamma + \log\log x + \log\left(1 + \frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}}\right).$$

That would be equivalent to

$$\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{q \leq x} \left( \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right) < \gamma + \log\log x + \frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x} + 1.2 \times \log x + 2}$$

where we know that

$$\begin{split} \log\left(1 + \frac{3\times\log x + 5}{8\times\pi\times\sqrt{x}}\right) &< \frac{1}{\frac{8\times\pi\times\sqrt{x}}{3\times\log x + 5}} + 0.4\\ &= \frac{3\times\log x + 5}{8\times\pi\times\sqrt{x} + 0.4\times(3\times\log x + 5)}\\ &= \frac{3\times\log x + 5}{8\times\pi\times\sqrt{x} + 1.2\times\log x + 2} \end{split}$$

according to theorem 1.7 since  $\frac{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}}{3 \times \log x + 5} \ge 1$  for all numbers  $x \ge 13.1$ . We use the theorem 1.5 to show that

$$\sum_{q \le x} \left( \log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right) = H - u(x)$$

and  $\gamma = H + B$ . So,

$$H - u(x) < H + B + \log\log x - \sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} + \frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x} + 1.2 \times \log x + 2}$$

which is the same as

$$H - u(x) < H - \delta(x) + \frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x} + 1.2 \times \log x + 2}.$$

We eliminate the value of H and thus,

$$-u(x) < -\delta(x) + \frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x} + 1.2 \times \log x + 2}$$

which is equal to

$$u(x) + \frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x} + 1.2 \times \log x + 2} > \delta(x).$$

Under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis is true, we know from the theorem 2.1 that  $\varpi(x) > u(x)$  for all numbers  $x \ge 13.1$  and therefore,

$$\varpi(x) + \frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x} + 1.2 \times \log x + 2} > \delta(x).$$

Hence.

$$\frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x} + 1.2 \times \log x + 2} > \log \log \theta(x) - \log \log x.$$

Suppose that  $\theta(x) = \varepsilon \times x$  for some constant  $\varepsilon > 1$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} \log\log\theta(x) - \log\log x &= \log\log(\varepsilon\times x) - \log\log x \\ &= \log\left(\log x + \log\varepsilon\right) - \log\log x \\ &= \log\left(\log x \times \left(1 + \frac{\log\varepsilon}{\log x}\right)\right) - \log\log x \\ &= \log\log x + \log\left(1 + \frac{\log\varepsilon}{\log x}\right) - \log\log x \\ &= \log\left(1 + \frac{\log\varepsilon}{\log x}\right). \end{split}$$

In addition, we know that

$$\log(1 + \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log x}) \ge \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log \theta(x)}$$

using the theorem 1.6 since  $\frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log x} > -1$  when  $\varepsilon > 1$ . Certainly, we will have that

$$\log(1 + \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log x}) \ge \frac{\frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log x}}{\frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log x} + 1} = \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log \varepsilon + \log x} = \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log \theta(x)}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x} + 1.2 \times \log x + 2} > \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log \theta(x)}.$$

If we add the following value of  $\frac{\log x}{\log \theta(x)}$  to the both sides of the inequality, then

$$\frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x} + 1.2 \times \log x + 2} + \frac{\log x}{\log \theta(x)} > \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log \theta(x)} + \frac{\log x}{\log \theta(x)}$$

$$= \frac{\log \varepsilon + \log x}{\log \theta(x)}$$

$$= \frac{\log \theta(x)}{\log \theta(x)}$$

$$= 1.$$

We know this inequality is satisfied when  $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$  since we would obtain that  $\frac{\log x}{\log \theta(x)} \ge 1$ . Therefore, the proof is done.

6 F. Vega

**Theorem 2.3** If there exists some real number  $x \ge 10^8$  such that

$$\theta(x) > x + \frac{1}{\log \log \log x} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x,$$

then the Riemann hypothesis is false.

**Proof** If the Riemann hypothesis holds, then

$$\theta(x) = x + O(\sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x)$$

for all  $x \ge 10^8$  from the theorem 1.2. Now, suppose there is a real number  $x \ge 10^8$  such that  $\theta(x) > x + \frac{1}{\log\log\log x} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x$ . That would be equivalent to

$$\log \theta(x) > \log(x + \frac{1}{\log \log \log x} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x)$$

and so.

$$\frac{1}{\log \theta(x)} < \frac{1}{\log(x + \frac{1}{\log\log\log x} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x)}$$

for all numbers  $x \ge 10^8$ . Hence,

$$\frac{\log x}{\log \theta(x)} < \frac{\log x}{\log(x + \frac{1}{\log\log\log x} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x)}.$$

If the Riemann hypothesis holds, then

$$\frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x} + 1.2 \times \log x + 2} + \frac{\log x}{\log(x + \frac{1}{\log \log \log x} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x)} > 1$$

for those values of x that complies with

$$\theta(x) > x + \frac{1}{\log \log \log x} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x$$

due to the theorem 2.2. By contraposition, if there exists some number  $y \ge 10^8$  such that for all  $x \ge y$  the inequality

$$\frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x} + 1.2 \times \log x + 2} + \frac{\log x}{\log(x + \frac{1}{\log\log\log x} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x)} \le 1$$

is satisfied, then the Riemann hypothesis should be false. Let's define the function

$$\upsilon(x) = \frac{3 \times \log x + 5}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x} + 1.2 \times \log x + 2} + \frac{\log x}{\log(x + \frac{1}{\log\log\log x} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x)} - 1.$$

The Riemann hypothesis is false when there exists some number  $y \ge 10^8$  such that for all  $x \ge y$  the inequality  $v(x) \le 0$  is always satisfied. We ignore when  $2 \le x \le 10^8$  since  $\theta(x) < x$  according to the theorem 1.3. We know that the function v(x) is monotonically decreasing for every number  $x \ge 10^8$ . The derivative of v(x) is negative for all  $x \ge 10^8$ . Indeed, a function v(x) of a real variable x is monotonically decreasing

in some interval if the derivative of v(x) is lesser than zero and the function v(x) is continuous over that interval [1]. It is enough to find a value of  $y \ge 10^8$  such that  $v(y) \le 0$  since for all  $x \ge y$  we would have that  $v(x) \le v(y) \le 0$ , because of v(x) is monotonically decreasing. We found the value  $y = 10^8$  complies with  $v(y) \le 0$ . In this way, we obtain that  $v(x) \le 0$  for every number  $x \ge 10^8$ . Hence, the proof is completed.

**Theorem 2.4** Under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis is true, then

$$\theta(x) < x + \frac{1}{\log \log \log x} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x$$

for all  $x \ge 10^8$ .

*Proof* This is a direct consequence of the theorem 2.3.

## **Appendix**

We found the derivative of v(x) in the web site https://www.wolframalpha.com/input. Besides, we determine the sign of the function v(x) using the tool gp from the web site https://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr. In the project PARI/GP, the method sign(F(X)) returns -1 when the function F(X) is negative in the value of X. We checked that is negative for  $X=10^8$  with a real precision of 1000016 significant digits when F(X)=v(x). We also checked that is still negative for X=100000!, where (...)! means the factorial function.

### References

- Anderson, G., Vamanamurthy, M., Vuorinen, M.: Monotonicity Rules in Calculus. The American Mathematical Monthly 113(9), 805–816 (2006). DOI 10.1080/00029890.2006.11920367
- 2. Borwein, P.B., Choi, S., Rooney, B., Weirathmueller, A.: The Riemann Hypothesis: A Resource for the Afficionado and Virtuoso Alike, vol. 27. Springer Science & Business Media (2008)
- 3. Choie, Y., Lichiardopol, N., Moree, P., Solé, P.: On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis. Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 19(2), 357–372 (2007). DOI 10.5802/jtnb.591
- Ghosh, A.: An Asymptotic Formula for the Chebyshev Theta Function. arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.09231 (2019)
- Kozma, L.: Useful Inequalities. http://www.lkozma.net/inequalities\_cheat\_sheet/ineq. pdf (2021). Accessed on 2022-01-11
- Mertens, F.: Ein Beitrag zur analytischen Zahlentheorie. J. reine angew. Math. 1874(78), 46–62 (1874). DOI 10.1515/crll.1874.78.46. URL https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1874.78.46
- Nicolas, J.L.: Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler et hypothese de Riemann. Séminaire de Théorie des nombres DPP, Paris 82, 207–218 (1981)
- Nicolas, J.L.: Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler. Journal of number theory 17(3), 375–388 (1983).
   DOI 10.1016/0022-314X(83)90055-0
- Rosser, J.B., Schoenfeld, L.: Approximate Formulas for Some Functions of Prime Numbers. Illinois Journal of Mathematics 6(1), 64–94 (1962). DOI doi:10.1215/ijm/1255631807
- 10. Rosser, J.B., Schoenfeld, L.: Sharper Bounds for the Chebyshev Functions  $\theta(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$ . Mathematics of computation pp. 243–269 (1975). DOI 10.1090/S0025-5718-1975-0457373-7
- 11. Von Koch, H.: Sur la distribution des nombres premiers. Acta Mathematica **24**(1), 159 (1901)