Exact Equation of Ellipse Perimeter by Analytical Method

Abolfazl Soltani *

Department of Physics, University of Birjand, Birjand, Iran

Email: soltani.a.physics@gmail.com

Abstract

As you know, we do not have any exact equation for calculating the perimeter of an ellipse. In this article, we obtain this equation analytically. In this article we will find a way for derivation of the solution of Elliptic integral in terms of elementary functions.

Keywords: Ellipse perimeter, Ellipse, Perimeter, Elliptic integral

Introduction

Calculating the perimeter of an ellipse is difficult analytically, and for more than 300 years all efforts in this direction have failed. To calculate the perimeter of an ellipse using the formula:

$$r = \frac{a_0(1 - \epsilon_0^2)}{1 - \epsilon_0 \cos \theta} \quad (1)$$

which is equation of an ellipse in polar coordinates [1][2], we must calculate the following integral (which is the equation of the length of a polar curve) [1][3].

$$L = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \sqrt{r^2 + \left(\frac{dr}{d\theta}\right)^2} d\theta \quad (2)$$

Substituting 1 in 2 leads us to integral 3, which is clearly difficult to calculate.

$$P_{\text{Ellipse}} = a_0(1 - \epsilon_0^2) \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \epsilon_0 \cos \theta)^2 + \epsilon_0^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(1 - \epsilon_0 \cos \theta)^4}} d\theta \quad (3)$$

Therefore, to extract the perimeter of an ellipse, analytically, we must look for another method. ‘‘we define the ellipse as a linear transformation of the circle with radius 1’’[4]. This was the sentence that led me to try to extract the perimeter of an ellipse using the perimeter of a circle. If x and y are two points on an ellipse. In such a case, it can be shown, by linear transformation method, that for b and a , y/b and x/a are two points on a circle with the following equation [4]:

$$\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^2 = 1$$

Familiarity with this subject lead me to think that instead of calculating the perimeter of the ellipse, we can obtain the perimeter of its equivalent or associated circle.

Consider Figure 1. In this figure, you see an ellipse with dimensions a and b , which is surrounded in circle C_1 with center O and radius $r = a$. From points A and B we have drawn four tangent lines to the ellipse and the parallelogram $ACBD$ was formed. now we can draw a circle with the center O that is tangent to all four faces of this parallelogram. The red circle in

Fig. 1 is this circle. We call this circle the *equivalent circle* or the *associated circle with ellipse*. In this article, we show that the perimeter of our ellipse is equal to the perimeter of the red circle and the formula for the perimeter of ellipse is as follows:

$$P_{Ellipse} = \frac{2\pi a_0}{\sqrt{2}^{\epsilon_0}} \quad (4)$$

Where a_0 is semi-major axis and ϵ_0 is eccentricity of the ellipse. This is the equation we have been looking for, at least since Leonhard Euler.

Fig. 1.

Associated Circle of Ellipse

Using Figure 1, the equation of radius of red circle (associated circle with ellipse) can be obtained by the boundary conditions method. And then obtained the perimeter of the circle. There are two boundary conditions here: 1- The first boundary condition is that in Figure 1, as the b increases, the ellipse becomes larger, and as a result, the red circle also enlarges, and in the case of $b = a$, the ellipse becomes the red circle:

$$b_0 = a_0 \text{ and } b_0 = a_0 \sqrt{1 - \epsilon_0^2} \Rightarrow \epsilon_0 = 0 \quad (I)$$

$$r = \frac{a_0(1 - \epsilon_0^2)}{1 - \epsilon_0 \cos \theta} \stackrel{(I)}{\Rightarrow} r = a_0$$

which it means that the red circle in this case is circle C_1 . In fact, the circle C_1 is the limit state of the red circle (upper bound). In the case of $b_0 = a_0$ our ellipse is a circle (C_1) and the equation for the perimeter of ellipse is in the form of equation of perimeter of circle namely:

$$P = 2\pi a_0 \quad (5)$$

Therefore, we must obtain the shape of final equation for the perimeter of ellipse in such a way that in the boundary state $\epsilon_0 = 0$ gives us the perimeter of its associated circle namely $2\pi a_0$.

2- The second boundary condition is that in Figure 1, if the value of b decreases and approaches zero ($b \rightarrow 0$), in this case our ellipse will be **almost** in the form of a straight line (mode $b = 0$ is not acceptable and gives us an **exact** straight line that a straight line is never considered an ellipse). In this case, the parallelogram $ACBD$ in Figure 1, which as we have said is a parallelogram tangent to the ellipse, turns into an orange square in Figure 2, which intersects the circle C_1 at four points. In such a case, the associated circle of ellipse is the green circle which is tangent to the four sides of the orange square (the green circle is the lower boundary of red circle).

Fig. 2.

Since in boundary condition 1 our ellipse perimeter was equal to the perimeter of its associated circle namely the circle C_1 (as we said, the red circle in figure 1, when $r_{red\ circle} = a_0$, is the circle C_1) in this case also we expect the perimeter of our ellipse be equal to the perimeter of its associated circle namely the green circle. The radius of the green circle according to figure 3 is equal to:

$$OE^2 + EH^2 = a_0^2 \xrightarrow{OE=EH} 2(OE)^2 = a_0^2 \Rightarrow OE = r_{green\ circle} = \frac{a_0}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Therefore, the perimeter of green circle is equal to:

$$P_{green\ circle} = 2\pi \frac{a_0}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Fig. 3. When b_0 approaches zero in ellipse in figure 1, ellipse becomes almost a straight line which is almost coincident with the MH line, and its associated circle is the green circle.

So, in the case of $b \rightarrow 0$ or $\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 1$, the shape of final equation of our ellipse perimeter must be converted to the equation:

$$P_{\text{ellipse}} = P_{\text{green circle}} = 2\pi \frac{a_0}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (6)$$

Given that we expect the ellipse perimeter equation to contain a and ϵ , applying two boundary conditions 1 and 2 (formulas 5 and 6) leads us to the following equation:

$$P_{\text{Ellipse}} = P_{\text{red circle}} = \frac{2\pi a_0}{\sqrt{2}\epsilon_0} \quad (7)$$

This is the final shape of equation of ellipse perimeter.

If we substitute $\epsilon = 0$ in equation 7, we get equation 5, and if we substitute $\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ in equation 7, we get equation 6.

Calculation and Comparison

In Table 1, we have calculated the perimeter of several ellipses, for the random values a and b , using equation 7. As you can see in Table 1, the values calculated by our analytical equation (P_{Analytic}) are very close to the values calculated by an approximate equation known as the Ramanujan equation (this Ramanujan equation (P_R) is the most accurate equation he has proposed for the ellipse perimeter [5] Many other approximations also have been proposed by other researchers about the ellipse perimeter). When the results of an analytical equation are very close to the results of an approximate and numerical equation, it only means that the analytical equation is completely correct. Is it possible to justify the closeness of an analytical equation results with the results of an approximate equation, in another way?

$$P_{\text{Ramanujan}} = \pi \left[(a+b) + \frac{3(a-b)^2}{10(a+b) + \sqrt{a^2 + 14ab + b^2}} \right] \quad (8)$$

	a	b	$P_{Analytic}$	$P_{Ramanujan}$
1	8	3	36.47	36.36
2	8	4	37.22	38.75
3	3	1	13.60	13.36
4	10	2	44.91	42.02
5	2	1	9.308	9.68
6	5	2	22.88	23.01
7	10	4	45.20	46.02

Table. 1. Calculate and compare of the perimeter of a few ellipses by analytical method (equation 7) and an approximate method. All values are in terms of meters, for example.

Elliptic integrals

Now that we have the exact equation of ellipse perimeter, we can also calculate the value of integral 3. we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{Ellipse} &= a_0(1 - \epsilon_0^2) \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \epsilon_0 \cos \theta)^2 + \epsilon_0^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(1 - \epsilon_0 \cos \theta)^4}} d\theta = \frac{2\pi a_0}{\sqrt{2}^{\epsilon_0}} \\
 &\Rightarrow \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \epsilon_0 \cos \theta)^2 + \epsilon_0^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(1 - \epsilon_0 \cos \theta)^4}} d\theta = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}^{\epsilon_0} (1 - \epsilon_0^2)} \quad (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

In addition, the perimeter in Cartesian coordinates is obtained by substituting equation 10 (which is the ellipse equation in Cartesian coordinates) in 11

$$\frac{x^2}{a_0^2} + \frac{y^2}{b_0^2} = 1 \Rightarrow y = \pm b_0 \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{a_0^2}} \quad (10)$$

$$P = \int_{-\alpha}^{\beta} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^2} dx \stackrel{10}{\Rightarrow} P_{Ellipse} = \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^2} dx = \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{1 + \frac{b_0^2 x^2}{a_0^4 (1 - \frac{x^2}{a_0^2})}} dx \quad (11)$$

Equation 11 must be equal to relation 7, therefore:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{1 + \frac{b_0^2 x^2}{a_0^4 (1 - \frac{x^2}{a_0^2})}} dx = \frac{2\pi a_0}{\sqrt{2}^{\epsilon_0}} \quad (12)$$

We obtain the values of two elliptic integrals in equations 9 and 12. Calculating these two integrals may open the way to solve elliptic integrals in terms of elementary functions. Because, as you know, so far man has not been able to write the solution of elliptic integrals in terms of elementary functions. And so far, attempts to solve elliptic integrals analytically have failed.

Conclusion

It seems that after more than 300 years, we have been able to obtain the exact equation of the perimeter of an ellipse.

About the previous preprint of the article dated Jan 25, 2022

In mathematics and physics articles, because the author's mind is involved in a lot of calculations and analysis, despite a lot of effort, accuracy and editing, fundamental errors can still occur, and the advantage of preprint is that these errors can be solve quickly. In the Jan 25 version, I also made a big mistake and assumed for the ellipse $\sqrt{1-\epsilon_0^2} < 1 - \epsilon_0^2$, which we know this point is wrong and we have: $\sqrt{1-\epsilon_0^2} > 1 - \epsilon_0^2$. This mistake changed my thoughts and put the whole article in the wrong direction. In the new version of the article, the idea is the same as the previous one, namely extraction the perimeter of ellipse using its associated circle.

References:

- [1]. Silverman, R. *Calculus with Analytic Geometry* (Prentice-Hall, Inc. New Jersey. 1985), pp. 628-641
- [2]. Johnson, R. *Calculus with Analytic Geometry* (Allyn and Bacon. Inc. Boston, ed. 4), pp. 536-539
- [3]. Sherman, S. *Calculus with Analytic Geometry* (McGraw-Hill, Inc., ed. 3, 1982), pp. 548-554
- [4]. Mazer, A. *The Ellipse* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 2010), pp. 152-154
- [5]. Villarino MB. Ramanujan's Perimeter of an Ellipse. arXiv preprint math/0506384. 2005 Jun 20.