



MRC Cognition
and Brain
Sciences Unit



UNIVERSITY OF
CAMBRIDGE




Fondation Fyssen



Hôpitaux
Universitaires
de Marseille

ap
hm



Institut de
Neurosciences des
Systèmes

Investigating neural processing of syllabic and phonemic timescales in spoken language

Jérémy Giroud^{1,2}, Agnès Trébuchon-Dafonseca^{2,3}, Manuel Mercier², Matthew H. Davis¹, Benjamin Morillon².

@JeremyGiroud

¹MRC Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit, University of Cambridge, UK, ²Aix Marseille Univ, Inserm, INS, Inst Neurosci Syst, Marseille, France, ³APHM, Clinical Neurophysiology, Timone Hospital, Marseille, France

Introduction

How the human brain efficiently processes speech remains a major question in neuroscience.

The coupling between **neural oscillations** and the rhythm of speech is proposed as a key mechanism.


Speech is thought to be sampled in parallel at both the **syllabic** and **phonemic** timescales reflected by **Theta** and **Gamma** neural oscillations [1,2].

Theta oscillations in auditory regions track syllabic rhythm during speech perception [3,4].

We investigated the concurrent processing of information at the syllabic and phonemic timescales during speech perception.


Results

Are syllabic & phonemic timescales reflected in stimulus brain coupling ?



Stimulus brain coupling averaged across electrodes and patients. The difference between true and permuted coherence values are plotted.

We extracted the frequency values of the 2 local maxima along the coherence spectrum at the individual level



Peak frequency values for 2 local maxima in each condition (n=9) and for each patient (n=10).

Discussion

Syllabic & phonemic timescales are represented in the acoustic properties of the stimuli and can be accurately classified (A).

Multiple acoustic features characterize syllabic and phonemic timescales with differential sensitivity to spectral and envelope cues (A).

Speech tracking adapts to track the syllabic timescales in the theta range (3-9 Hz) (B,C).

Oscillatory activity at higher frequency is sensitive to the phonemic timescale, in particular for spectral flux (B,C).

Methods

Stimuli

105 French sentences extracted from *TedTalks*.

Different **phonemic timescale** (low, medium, high) corresponding to 2, 2.5 or 3 phonemes per syllable.


Different **syllabic timescale** (temporally altered) corresponding to 3, 6 or 9 syllables per second.

		Phonemic timescale		
		Low	medium	high
Syllabic timescale	3Hz	3*2 6 phon/sec	3*2.5 7.5 phon/sec	3*3 9 phon/sec
	6Hz	6*2 12 phon/sec	6*2.5 15 phon/sec	6*3 18 phon/sec
	9Hz	9*2 18 phon/sec	9*2.5 22.5 phon/sec	9*3 27 phon/sec

iEEG Recording


10 French epileptic patients underwent intracranial recording (iEEG).

They performed a speech comprehension task with repetitions.



iEEG electrodes localisations. Each dot represent one recording site. Each colour represent data from one of the ten patients. Topographies are represented within a common template brain in a MNI space.

Are syllabic & phonemic timescales reflected in the acoustic properties of the stimuli?



Classification of the stimuli based on the phonemic and syllabic timescales as reflected by different acoustic features (power spectrum).

Different classification accuracies for syllabic and phonemic timescales

Different features as best predictors for syllabic and phonemic timescales

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to the patients and their families for their time and commitment.

We also acknowledge the wonderful work done by the nurses at the epilepsy unit during the Covid 19 pandemic.

References

- [1] Giraud & Poeppel (2012). Cortical oscillations and speech processing: emerging computational principles and operations. *Nature Neuroscience*.
- [2] Giroud et al. (2020). Asymmetric sampling in human auditory cortex reveals spectral processing hierarchy. *PLoS biology*.
- [3] Peelle & Davis (2012). Neural oscillations carry speech rhythm through to comprehension. *Frontiers in psychology*.
- [4] Luo & Poeppel (2007). Phase patterns of neuronal responses reliably discriminate speech in human auditory cortex. *Neuron*.