# Artificial Intelligence's Role in Enhancing Conflict Resolution within the Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) System

Teresa de Jesus Candeias

University of Lisbon, Faculty of Law

**Abstract:** This essay discusses the paradigm of the Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) system within the judicial context, highlighting the relevance of digital platforms for the efficient resolution of legal disputes. The influence of arbitration rules as the foundation for ODR is analyzed in detail, emphasizing how the effectiveness of these platforms is intrinsically linked to the strategic integration of a diverse range of functionalities. Special attention is given to the revolutionary power of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in this scenario, examining how advances in AI have the potential to enhance decision-making and virtual mediation. By skillfully intertwining the principles of arbitration with technological possibilities and the capacity of AI, this study not only sheds light on the future of conflict resolution but also piques the interest of a broad audience interested in the intersection of law, technology, and innovation.

**Keywords:** Online Dispute Resolution; Artificial Intelligence; AI; Arbitration; Digital; Information and Communication Technology; ODR;

**Introduction:**

In the contemporary legal landscape, the concept of Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) has transcended the conventional boundaries of conflict resolution. This system, based on digital platforms, aims to provide an agile and efficient means of resolving disputes, often challenging the traditional limitations of time and space. ODR not only facilitates expedited access to justice but also resonates with the preferences of an increasingly digitalized society. A notable feature of ODR is its ability to offer a diversified range of resolution mechanisms, with arbitration standing out. By allowing involved parties to select specialized arbitrators, ODR adapts to the technical nature of many disputes. The agility of this process is evident in the swift decision-making, in contrast to the often overburdened traditional judicial system.

It is in the interaction of ODR with Artificial Intelligence (AI) that a new horizon of possibilities emerges. AI, through algorithms and machine learning, enhances the process by analyzing data, identifying patterns, and consequently providing insights that underpin impartial and informed decisions. This application of AI can not only expedite conflict resolution but also enhance the quality of decisions, reducing the margin for human errors and biases.

## 1. Context and Fundamental Features of the ODR System

The Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) system emerges as a revolutionary approach to conflict management, with the potential to reshape how legal disputes are handled in the digital age.

In recent years, the evolution of digital technologies has fundamentally transformed various aspects of human life, and dispute resolution is no exception. Online Dispute Resolution, abbreviated as ODR, emerges as an innovative response to the demands of an increasingly interconnected society. Online dispute resolution, or ODR, refers to a broad set of

technologies intended to complement or replace the traditional ways in which people used to resolve their disputes.[1] ODR shares and builds upon the fundamental characteristics of ADR, emphasizing easier and more efficient methods for handling conflicts.[2]

Online Dispute Resolution (ODR), in the judicial context, constitutes a publicly accessible digital environment where litigating parties can convene with the aim of effectively resolving disputes.[3]

ODR involves the use of information and communication technology to negotiate, mediate, arbitrate, conduct processes, and primarily or exclusively resolve disputes online. When the platforms used significantly contribute to resolving disputes, this online resolution equates to ODR.[4]

It is important to highlight three key points that differentiate ODR from other forms of technology-assisted dispute resolution:

The first is that the program operates exclusively online. Unlike other judicial programs that provide an online interface for discrete tasks (e.g., electronic filing, video hearings), ODR users do not interact with the court in any other way for traditional court procedures or events.[5] The second is that the program is explicitly designed to assist litigants in resolving their dispute or case, rather than being a technological platform to support judicial decision-making or court personnel.[6] Dispute resolution inherently includes the possibility of challenging the validity of claims or presenting affirmative defenses; court-related ODR is not merely a platform for defendants to negotiate a payment schedule to satisfy debts.[7] Third, the program is hosted or supported by the judiciary. It is not a private ADR mechanism but integrates and extends the dispute resolution services offered by the judiciary into the digital space to efficiently, effectively, transparently, and fairly serve citizens.[8]

## 2. Integration of ODR, Courts, and Arbitration in the Digital Society

The definition of Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) concerning the courts can encompass a variety of methodologies and tools aimed at assisting in dispute resolution.[9] This approach can lead to the provision of dispute resolution services without the imperative need for formalizing a complaint.[10] Furthermore, it can provide support for a wide range of decision-making mechanisms, including information sharing, direct negotiations between the involved parties, synchronous or asynchronous mediation, as well as adjudicatory processes mediated by technological means.[11] When litigants successfully resolve their conflict, the program can fill out standard resolution agreement forms that can be automatically filed with the court, if necessary, to close the case. If litigants are unsuccessful, the program can also provide ongoing access to traditional court dispute resolution, automatically filling out the necessary court forms. [12] The design and implementation of court-related ODR programs should not diminish due process or access to justice for program users. [13]

The growing need to resolve disputes efficiently and fairly has led to the development of alternative mechanisms such as arbitration and ODR. Arbitration rules, as an addition to the arbitration agreement, are provided by the entity designated by the parties and apply within the framework of formal arbitration proceedings.[14] Parties agree to submit to arbitration under the auspices of a specific institution and to adhere to the binding regulatory framework for both themselves and the tribunal. Parties can choose to follow the UNCITRAL Rules in the case of ad hoc arbitration or may decide to select the arbitration guidelines themselves.[15] In these instances, these principles refer to the arbitration clause as an extension of the main agreement. As conflict resolution methods evolve, ODR becomes the most popular method for resolving digital disputes.[16] ODR procedures involve the filing of electronic documents in which parties can use encryption or electronic signatures to protect the integrity of documents and authenticate transactions. Typically, when parties turn to ODR for

assistance, a service provider enables the appointment of a neutral panel of arbitrators or judges.[17] Parties often prefer structured and clear procedures in which the submission process is uncomplicated and well-defined. Institutions such as WIPO, SIAC, and ICC have positive track records in online dispute resolution through mediation or other alternative dispute resolution methods.[18]

ODR provides considerable flexibility, allowing initiation at any stage of a dispute or even before its emergence. ODR can also be concluded by mutual consent of the parties if it is determined to be unworkable. [19] Parties have the prerogative to self-determine the methods and procedures for online dispute resolution when confronted with disputes associated with a specific electronic contract. Even in the absence of a formal contract stipulating ODR as a dispute resolution method, ODR means can be employed when disputes arise.[20] In contrast to litigation, parties have the freedom to choose the governing law of the contract, the dispute resolution mechanism, the ODR service provider, and other related matters. The use of ODR allows the selection of a neutral third party from an experienced pool of mediators and arbitrators, contributing to greater impartiality and enabling parties to present their arguments without the fear that their private issues will be publicly exposed through legal precedents.[21]

A plurality of dispute resolution methods can be encompassed within the scope of ODR, including negotiation, conciliation, mediation, arbitration, and hybrid arrangements such as final offer arbitration, Med-Arb, mini-trials, and neutral evaluation.[22] ODR can be the subject of both judicial and extrajudicial adjudication. For instance, arbitration constitutes an example of an adjudicatory procedure in which the arbitrator's determination binds both parties.[23] Conversely, in a non-adjudicative context, the emphasis lies on reaching an understanding about a dispute, avoiding pronouncements on its merits. The intervention of a neutral third party through mediation provides alternatives for resolving disagreements

between parties and encourages active participation in the dispute resolution process.[24]

## 3. Fundamental Elements of ODR

ODR emerges as an innovative response to address these challenges, providing a digital platform for efficient and accessible dispute resolution. However, the successful operation of ODR relies on the inclusion of a set of essential features, namely:

Case Management - The system must allow users to input relevant information, request appropriate data, and provide templates for initiating the dispute process. It is crucial that self-represented parties have the ability to commence litigation, input relevant data, and monitor the progress of the process, being aware of the required documents at specific stages;[25]

Screening - The system should provide information regarding the urgency of taking prompt action and indicate the appropriate location for submitting the specific dispute. This functionality assumes particular relevance in cases of domestic abuse or when there is a potential risk of child abduction. Screening systems play a crucial role in expediting actions in high-risk situations;[26]

Consulting Tools - The system should offer resources for fact-checking, such as specialized literature, articles, case reports, current legislation, and audiovisual materials. Additionally, calculators (e.g., for child support calculations) and systems to guide parties regarding the Best Alternative to a Negotiated Agreement (BATNA) may be incorporated to inform the parties about likely outcomes if dispute resolution were to be decided by a mediator (e.g., a judge or arbitrator);[27]

Communication Tools - To facilitate negotiation, mediation, conciliation, or facilitation processes, the system must provide robust communication tools. This functionality may even encompass mediation through separate sessions, if necessary. For many ODR providers, the availability of effective communication means is at the core of their objectives;[28]

Decision Support Tools - If the involved parties cannot resolve their conflict, recourse can be made to game theory-based software or Artificial Intelligence to facilitate mutual concessions. Specialized professionals (such as lawyers) can provide valuable guidance on such concessions. In their absence, the existence of suitable decision support tools becomes indispensable;[29]

Document Drafting Software - After reaching a negotiated agreement, the system should enable the creation of appropriate documents through specialized software. Developing plans (e.g., parenting plans) after reaching a principle agreement for dispute resolution constitutes a task of considerable complexity.[30]

## 4. Recent Developments in ODR Driven by New Technologies

Regardless of the use of AI tools, it is possible to resolve disputes exclusively electronically, through negotiation, mediation, or arbitration mechanisms, which facilitate access to justice for those living in remote places or simply seeking a simple and speedy resolution to their claims.[31]

These initiatives were initially geared towards addressing consumer complaints, small claims actions, and financial matters within the realm of family law.[32] In the "first phase" of ODR, Information and Communication Technology tools are used as a means to settle a specific dispute, allowing litigating parties to communicate and engage in dialogue without the need for physical presence.[33] Within this sphere, individuals continue to play a central role in the planning and determination processes;

computational tools are mere instruments devoid of independence and lacking significant influence over the conduct of the procedure.[34]

In the "second phase" of ODR, Information and Communication Technology tools emerge as a procedural component, assisting or, in some cases, replacing the mediator; adopting an active role, they function as a genuine "fourth entity" (beyond the two parties and the mediator/arbitrator).[35] In this approach, the tools used are not limited to facilitating contact between the parties and access to information; they enable the formulation of ideas, the development of strategies, and the elaboration of determinations, representing a truly autonomous and intelligent upgrade compared to first-generation systems.[36]

### 4.1 Challenges in the Integration of Artificial Intelligence

In the context of implementing Artificial Intelligence (AI) in ODR, it is evident that the application of this technology raises a series of considerations.[37] While AI may offer a promising solution for streamlining judicial procedures, it is crucial to recognize that indiscriminate adoption is not without implications. For example, when employing AI in the resolution of minor criminal offenses such as speeding, there may be a reduction in court backlog; however, the fairness of the outcomes may not necessarily benefit from this approach.[38]

A likely consequence is a lack of awareness among individuals who, by pleading guilty, inadvertently accrue a criminal offense on their records.[39] This dilemma is especially problematic for those subject to background checks. Therefore, the implementation of AI in ODR requires a cautious and thoughtful approach.[40] Furthermore, considering a scenario in which AI reaches a substantial level of maturity in the private sector, the possibility of establishing a two-tier dispute resolution system arises. This would involve service providers with access to highly effective AI contrasting with more affordable service providers but lacking such

access.[41] This disparity becomes evident when initial AI knowledge support services are available, creating an imbalance among participants.[42]

In this context, AI systems can position themselves as substitutes for lawyers, establishing their presence in the market as the primary alternative for those with limited access to lower-cost or free legal services.[43] The impact of this trend on access to justice depends on how accessible these AI alternatives are for average litigants. If there are guarantees of affordability, whether through benevolent initiatives by developers or government regulations, the phenomenon will not pose a substantial threat to procedural equity. However, mismanagement could hinder how historically disadvantaged groups seek justice.[44]

It is plausible to argue that the ultimate potential benefit of AI in ODR is the creation of highly efficient systems, overcoming judicial obstacles and replacing bureaucracy with more effective processes than traditional models like ADR.[45] Substantial concerns have been raised, however, regarding the transparency and governance of the data and algorithms underlying arbitral proceedings.[46] Moreover, the disclosure of judgments as part of the public domain raises latent concerns, along with potential risks related to safeguarding confidentiality and personal data protection.[47]

Apprehensions have emerged regarding the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on decision-making processes, emphasizing the pressing need to increase transparency through the exposure of notable judgments.[48] It is important to note that the probability of unauthorized access and misuse of sensitive information resonates as one of the main privacy concerns within the context of AI adoption in ODR Mechanisms.[49]

Additionally, beyond the intrinsic characteristics of the information corpus itself and the way it is leveraged in developing AI capabilities, it is imperative to address the informational contexts under scrutiny. These encompass the scanning mechanisms that grant artificial intelligence its capacity for assimilating the dynamics inherent to its operational contexts.[50] Significant volumes of information are thus assessed, encompassing personal data such as names, addresses, and financial

information, which can be collected and subjected to analysis by AI-empowered technologies.[51] Underlying this circumstance, privacy-related concerns arise, including the issue of obtaining informed consent freely and transparently, the possibility of opt-out, limiting data collection, defining the purposes underlying AI processing, and even the capacity to delete information upon request.[52]

It is worth noting scrutiny of the use of AI-driven technologies in ODR mechanisms. This consideration alludes to the perspective that such technologies, imbued with the potential to access and employ private information, may serve purposes for which they were not originally designed.[53] In situations where such information were to be misappropriated, the consequence could be nefarious use, such as identity theft, fraud, or other illicit activities.[54] Additionally, one cannot disregard the bias of numerous disputes submitted for analysis by private entities holding substantial market power, such as mega-platforms, which, in turn, amplifies privacy concerns.[55] Excessive reliance on these systems, without periodic evaluation, can result in deviations from the original parameters of dispute resolution for which AI was initially conceived. Therefore, it is imperative that the evolution of AI in ODR is accompanied by continuous monitoring and appropriate adjustments.[56]

## 5. In Conclusion:

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into ODR systems represents a crucial milestone in modernizing the legal domain. By overcoming judicial obstacles and replacing bureaucracy with more efficient processes, AI demonstrates its ability to bring efficiency and agility to the legal environment. However, the disclosure of judgments as part of the public domain raises concerns related to confidentiality and the protection of personal data. The assimilation of operational dynamics through scanning technologies allows AI to process relevant information, including sensitive data such as names, addresses, and financial information. In this context, it

is imperative that the evolution of AI in ODR is accompanied by continuous monitoring and appropriate adjustments, ensuring the ethics and security of the processes. The tools and platforms described not only optimize effectiveness and save time but also contribute to reducing hostility among the parties involved. AI-powered mediation, which eliminates the need for physical contact, creates an environment conducive to reaching satisfactory agreements. The convergence of these factors culminates in the desirable achievement of settlement outcomes, where all parties involved can find a solution that satisfies them in whole or in part, transforming the traditional dynamic of winners and losers into a scenario of equity and mutual understanding.[57]

[1] Resolution Systems Institute. Online Dispute Resolution. Retrieved from https://www.aboutrsi.org/special-topics/online-dispute-resolution
[2] (idem, ibidem)
[3] National Center for State Courts. Guidance and Tools for Online Dispute Resolution. Retrieved from https://www.ncsc.org/odr/guidance-and-tools
[4] Philippe, M. (2020). Offline or Online? Virtual Hearings or ODR? Kluwer Arbitration Blog. Retrieved from http://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2020/04/26/offline-or-online-virtual-hearings-or-odr/
[5] National Center for State Courts. (s.d.). Guidance and Tools for Online Dispute Resolution. Retrieved from https://www.ncsc.org/odr/guidance-and-tools
[6] (idem, ibidem)
[7] (idem, ibidem)
[8] (idem, ibidem)
[9] (idem, ibidem)
[10] (idem, ibidem)
[11] (idem, ibidem)
[12] (idem, ibidem)

[13] (idem, ibidem)
[14] Bakhramova, M. (2022). ODR (Online Dispute Resolution) System as a Modern Conflict Resolution: Necessity and Significance. European Multidisciplinary Journal of Modern Science, 4. Retrieved from https://emjms.academicjournal.io/index.php/
[15] (idem, ibidem)
[16] (idem, ibidem)
[17] (idem, ibidem)
[18] (idem, ibidem)
[19] (idem, ibidem)
[20] (idem, ibidem)
[21] (idem, ibidem)
[22] (idem, ibidem)
[23] (idem, ibidem)
[24] (idem, ibidem)
[25] Esteban de la Rosa, F., & Zeleznikow, J. (2021). Making Intelligent Online Dispute Resolution Tools Available to Self-Represented Litigants in the Public Justice System: Towards an Ethical Use of AI Technology in the Administration of Justice. In Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Conference for Artificial Intelligence and Law (ICAIL'21), June 21–25, 2021, São Paulo, Brazil (pp. 5 pages). ACM, New York, NY, USA. doi:10.1145/3462757.3466077
[26] (idem, ibidem)
[27] (idem, ibidem)
[28] (idem, ibidem)
[29] (idem, ibidem)
[30] (idem, ibidem)
[31] Macedo, R. M. (2020). INTELIGÊNCIA ARTIFICIAL - Justiça Digital e Tribunais. Trabalho de investigação da 1ª Pós-Graduação em Direitos Humanos e Tribunais, Faculdade de Direito, Universidade de Coimbra.
[32] (idem, ibidem)
[33] (idem, ibidem)

[34] (idem, ibidem)
[35] (idem, ibidem)
[36] (idem, ibidem)
[37] Alessa, H. (2022). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Online Dispute Resolution: A Brief and Critical Overview. Information & Communications Technology Law, 31(3), 319-342. doi:10.1080/13600834.2022.2088060
[38] (idem, ibidem)
[39] (idem, ibidem)
[40] (idem, ibidem)
[41] (idem, ibidem)
[42] (idem, ibidem)
[43] (idem, ibidem)
[44] (idem, ibidem)
[45] (idem, ibidem)
[46] Nguyen Thao Vy, N. (2023). AI Implementation in ODR: A Game-Changer or a Troublemaker of Data Protection. Vietnamese Journal of Legal Sciences. DOI: 10.2478/vjls-2023-0001.
[47] (idem, ibidem)
[48] (idem, ibidem)
[49] (idem, ibidem)
[50] (idem, ibidem)
[51] (idem, ibidem)
[52] (idem, ibidem)
[53] (idem, ibidem)
[54] (idem, ibidem)
[55] (idem, ibidem)
[56] Alessa, H. (2022). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Online Dispute Resolution: A Brief and Critical Overview. Information & Communications Technology Law, 31(3), 319-342. doi:10.1080/13600834.2022.2088060
[57] Macedo, R. M. (2020). INTELIGÊNCIA ARTIFICIAL - Justiça Digital e Tribunais. Trabalho de investigação da 1ª Pós-Graduação em Direitos Humanos e Tribunais, Faculdade de Direito, Universidade de Coimbra.

## 6. The list of used Literatures


Alessa, H. (2022). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Online Dispute Resolution: A Brief and Critical Overview. Information & Communications Technology Law, 31(3), 319-342. doi:10.1080/13600834.2022.2088060

Bakhramova, M. (2022). ODR (Online Dispute Resolution) System as a Modern Conflict Resolution: Necessity and Significance. European Multidisciplinary Journal of Modern Science, 4. Retrieved from https://emjms.academicjournal.io/index.php/

Esteban de la Rosa, F., & Zeleznikow, J. (2021). Making Intelligent Online Dispute Resolution Tools Available to Self-Represented Litigants in the Public Justice System: Towards an Ethical Use of AI Technology in the Administration of Justice. In Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Conference for Artificial Intelligence and Law (ICAIL'21), June 21–25, 2021, São Paulo, Brazil (pp. 5 pages). ACM, New York, NY, USA. doi:10.1145/3462757.3466077

Macedo, R. M. (2020). INTELIGÊNCIA ARTIFICIAL - Justiça Digital e Tribunais. Trabalho de investigação da 1ª Pós-Graduação em Direitos Humanos e Tribunais, Faculdade de Direito, Universidade de Coimbra.

Nguyen Thao Vy, N. (2023). AI Implementation in ODR: A Game-Changer or a Troublemaker of Data Protection. Vietnamese Journal of Legal Sciences. DOI: 10.2478/vjls-2023-0001.

Philippe, M. (2020). Offline or Online? Virtual Hearings or ODR? Kluwer Arbitration Blog. Retrieved from http://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2020/04/26/offline-or-online-virtual-hearings-or-odr/