New Criterion for the Riemann Hypothesis

Frank Vega

To my mother

Abstract. The Riemann hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part $\frac{1}{2}$. It is considered by many to be the most important unsolved problem in pure mathematics. Let $\Psi(n) = n \cdot \prod_{q \mid n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)$ denote the Dedekind Ψ function where $q \mid n$ means the prime q divides n. Define, for $n \geq 3$; the ratio $R(n) = \frac{\Psi(n)}{n \cdot \log \log n}$ where log is the natural logarithm. Let $N_n = 2 \cdot \ldots \cdot q_n$ be the primorial of order n. There are several statements equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis. We state that if for each large enough prime number q_n, there exists another prime $q_{n'} > q_n$ such that $R(N_{n'}) \leq R(N_n)$, then the Riemann hypothesis is true. In this note, using our criterion, we prove that the Riemann hypothesis is true.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 11M26; Secondary 11A41, 11A25.

Keywords. Riemann hypothesis, prime numbers, Riemann zeta function, Chebyshev function.

1. Introduction

In mathematics, the Chebyshev function $\theta(x)$ is given by

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{q \leq x} \log q$$

with the sum extending over all prime numbers q that are less than or equal to x, where log is the natural logarithm. Leonhard Euler studied the following value of the Riemann zeta function (1734) [1].

Proposition 1.1. *We define* [1, (1) pp. 1070]*:*

$$\zeta(2) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_k^2}{q_k^2 - 1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6},$$

https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2023-2f203-v4 **ORCID:** https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8210-4126 Content not peer-reviewed by Cambridge University Press. Lic

where q_k is the kth prime number (We also use the notation q_n to denote the nth prime number). By definition, we have

$$\zeta(2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2},$$

where n denotes a natural number. Leonhard Euler proved in his solution to the Basel problem that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_k^2}{q_k^2 - 1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6},$$

where $\pi \approx 3.14159$ is a well-known constant linked to several areas in mathematics such as number theory, geometry, etc.

The number $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant which is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(-\log n + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}\right) \\ &= \int_{1}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{\lfloor x \rfloor}\right) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Here, $\lfloor \ldots \rfloor$ represents the floor function. In number theory, $\Psi(n) = n \cdot \prod_{q \mid n}\left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)$ is called the Dedekind Ψ function, where $q \mid n$ means the prime q divides n.

Definition 1.2. We say that $\mathsf{Dedekind}(q_n)$ holds provided that

$$\prod_{q \leq q_n}\left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) \geq \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)} \cdot \log \theta(q_n).$$

A natural number N_n is called a primorial number of order n precisely when,

$$N_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n} q_k.$$

We define $R(n) = \frac{\Psi(n)}{n \cdot \log \log n}$ for $n \geq 3$. $\mathsf{Dedekind}(q_n)$ holds if and only if $R(N_n) \geq \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)}$ is satisfied.

Proposition 1.3. *Unconditionally on Riemann hypothesis, we know that* [7, Proposition 3. pp. 3]*:*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} R(N_n) = \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)}.$$

Proposition 1.4. *For all prime numbers $q_n > 5$* [3, Theorem 1.1. pp. 358]*:*

$$\prod_{q \leq q_n}\left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) < e^{\gamma} \cdot \log \theta(q_n).$$

The well-known asymptotic notation Ω was introduced by Godfrey Harold Hardy and John Edensor Littlewood [4]. In 1916, they also introduced the two symbols Ω_R and Ω_L defined as [5]:

$$f(x) = \Omega_R(g(x)) \text{ as } x \to \infty \text{ if } \limsup_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} > 0;$$

$$f(x) = \Omega_L(g(x)) \text{ as } x \to \infty \text{ if } \liminf_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} < 0.$$

After that, many mathematicians started using these notations in their works. From the last century, these notations Ω_R and Ω_L changed as Ω_+ and Ω_-, respectively. There is another notation: $f(x) = \Omega_\pm(g(x))$ (meaning that $f(x) = \Omega_+(g(x))$ and $f(x) = \Omega_-(g(x))$ are both satisfied). Nowadays, the notation $f(x) = \Omega_+(g(x))$ has survived and it is still used in analytic number theory as:

$$f(x) = \Omega_+(g(x)) \text{ if } \exists k > 0 \, \forall x_0 \, \exists x > x_0 \colon f(x) \geq k \cdot g(x)$$

which has the same meaning to the Hardy and Littlewood older notation. For $x \geq 2$, the function f was introduced by Nicolas in his seminal paper as [6, Theorem 3 pp. 376], [2, (5.5) pp. 111]:

$$f(x) = e^{\gamma} \cdot \log \theta(x) \cdot \prod_{q \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

Finally, we have the Nicolas Theorem:

Proposition 1.5. *If the Riemann hypothesis is false then there exists a real b with $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$ such that, as $x \to \infty$* [6, Theorem 3 (c) pp. 376], [2, Theorem 5.29 pp. 131]*:*

$$\log f(x) = \Omega_\pm(x^{-b}).$$

Putting all together yields a proof for the Riemann hypothesis.

2. Central Lemma

Several analogues of the Riemann hypothesis have already been proved. Many authors expect (or at least hope) that it is true. However, there exist some implications in case of the Riemann hypothesis could be false. The following is a key Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *If the Riemann hypothesis is false, then there exist infinitely many prime numbers q_n such that* $\mathsf{Dedekind}(q_n)$ *fails (i.e.* $\mathsf{Dedekind}(q_n)$ *does not hold).*

Proof. The function g is defined as [7, Theorem 4.2 pp. 5]:

$$g(x) = \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)} \cdot \log \theta(x) \cdot \prod_{q \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}.$$

We claim that $\mathsf{Dedekind}(q_n)$ fails whenever there exists some natural number $x_0 \geq 5$ for which $g(x_0) > 1$ or equivalent $\log g(x_0) > 0$ and q_n is the greatest

https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2023-2f203-v4 **ORCID:** https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8210-4126 Content not peer-reviewed by Cambridge University Press. Lic

prime number such that $q_n \leq x_0$. It was proven the following bound [7, Theorem 4.2 pp. 5]:

$$\log g(x) \geq \log f(x) - \frac{2}{x}.$$

By Proposition 1.5, if the Riemann hypothesis is false, then there is a real number $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$ such that there exist infinitely many natural numbers x for which $\log f(x) = \Omega_{+}(x^{-b})$. Actually Nicolas proved that $\log f(x) = \Omega_{\pm}(x^{-b})$, but we only need to use the notation Ω_{+} under the domain of the natural numbers. According to the Hardy and Littlewood definition, this would mean that

$$\exists k > 0, \forall y_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists y \in \mathbb{N}\ (y > y_0)\colon\ \log f(y) \geq k \cdot y^{-b}.$$

The previous inequality is also $\log f(y) \geq \left(k \cdot y^{-b} \cdot \sqrt{y}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}}$, but we notice that

$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \left(k \cdot y^{-b} \cdot \sqrt{y}\right) = \infty$$

for every possible values of $k > 0$ and $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$. Now, this implies that

$$\forall y_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists y \in \mathbb{N}\ (y > y_0)\colon\ \log f(y) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}}.$$

Note that, the value of k is not necessary in the statement above. In this way, if the Riemann hypothesis is false, then there exist infinitely many natural numbers x such that $\log f(x) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$. Since $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x_0}} > \frac{2}{x_0}$ for $x_0 \geq 5$, then it would be infinitely many natural numbers x_0 such that $\log g(x_0) > 0$. In addition, if $\log g(x_0) > 0$ for some natural number $x_0 \geq 5$, then $\log g(x_0) = \log g(q_n)$ where q_n is the greatest prime number such that $q_n \leq x_0$. The reason is because of the equality of the following terms:

$$\prod_{q \leq x_0} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1} = \prod_{q \leq q_n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}$$

and

$$\theta(x_0) = \theta(q_n)$$

according to the definition of the Chebyshev function. □

3. Main Insight

This is the main insight.

Lemma 3.1. *The Riemann hypothesis is true whenever for each large enough prime number q_n, there exists another prime $q_{n'} > q_n$ such that*

$$R(N_{n'}) \leq R(N_n).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, if the Riemann hypothesis is false and the inequality

$$R(N_{n'}) \leq R(N_n)$$

https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2023-2f203-v4 **ORCID:** https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8210-4126 Content not peer-reviewed by Cambridge University Press. Lic

is satisfied for each large enough prime number q_n, then there exists an infinite subsequence of natural numbers n_i such that

$$R(N_{n_{i+1}}) \leq R(N_{n_i}),$$

$q_{n_{i+1}} > q_{n_i}$ and $\mathsf{Dedekind}(q_{n_i})$ fails. By Proposition 1.3, this is a contradiction with the fact that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} R(N_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} R(N_n) = \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)}.$$

By definition of the limit inferior for any positive real number ε, only a finite number of elements of $R(N_n)$ are less than $\frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)} - \varepsilon$. This contradicts the existence of such previous infinite subsequence and thus, the Riemann hypothesis must be true. $\square$

4. Main Theorem

This is the main theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *The Riemann hypothesis is true.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, the Riemann hypothesis is true whenever

$$R(N_{n'}) \leq R(N_n)$$

is satisfied for large enough prime numbers $q_{n'} > q_n$. That is the same as

$$\frac{\prod_{q\leq q_{n'}}\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)}{\log\theta(q_{n'})} \leq \frac{\prod_{q\leq q_n}\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)}{\log\theta(q_n)}$$

and

$$\frac{\prod_{q\leq q_{n'}}\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)}{\prod_{q\leq q_n}\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)} \leq \frac{\log\theta(q_{n'})}{\log\theta(q_n)}$$

which is

$$\log\log\theta(q_{n'}) - \log\log\theta(q_n) \geq \sum_{q_n<q\leq q_{n'}} \log\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)$$

after of applying the logarithm to the both sides and distribute the terms. That is equivalent to

$$1 - \frac{\log\log\theta(q_n)}{\log\log\theta(q_{n'})} \geq \frac{\sum_{q_n<q\leq q_{n'}}\log\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)}{\log\log\theta(q_{n'})}$$

after dividing both sides by $\log\log\theta(q_{n'})$. This is possible because of the prime number $q_{n'}$ is large enough and thus, the real number $\log\log\theta(q_{n'})$ would be greater than 0. We can apply the exponentiation to the both sides in order to obtain that

$$\exp\left(1 - \frac{\log\log\theta(q_n)}{\log\log\theta(q_{n'})}\right) \geq \left(\prod_{q_n<q\leq q_{n'}} \log\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{\log\log\theta(q_{n'})}}$$

and

$$e \geq \exp\left(\frac{\log\log\theta(q_n)}{\log\log\theta(q_{n'})}\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{q_n < q \leq q_{n'}} \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{\log\log\theta(q_{n'})}}.$$

We can take an arbitrary large enough prime $q_{n'}$ such that

$$\frac{\log\log\theta(q_n)}{\log\log\theta(q_{n'})} \approx 0.$$

Certainly, we could have

$$\exp\left(\frac{\log\log\theta(q_n)}{\log\log\theta(q_{n'})}\right) \approx 1$$

for an arbitrary prime number $q_{n'}$ much greater than q_n. For large enough prime $q_{n'}$, we have

$$e = (\log\theta(q_{n'}))^{\frac{1}{\log\log\theta(q_{n'})}}$$

since $e = x^{\frac{1}{\log x}}$ for $x > 0$. Hence, it is enough to show that

$$\log\theta(q_{n'}) \gg \prod_{q_n < q \leq q_{n'}} \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right),$$

where $\gg$ means "much greater than". That is equal to

$$e^{\gamma} \cdot \log\theta(q_{n'}) \gg e^{\gamma} \cdot \prod_{q_n < q \leq q_{n'}} \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

By Proposition 1.4, we know that

$$e^{\gamma} \cdot \log\theta(q_{n'}) > \prod_{q \leq q_{n'}} \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

So, we deduce that

$$1 \gg e^{\gamma} \cdot \prod_{q \leq q_n} \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}$$

which is trivially true since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(e^{\gamma} \cdot \prod_{q \leq q_n} \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}\right) = 0. \qquad \square$$

References

[1] Ayoub, R.: Euler and the Zeta Function. The American Mathematical Monthly **81**(10), 1067–1086 (1974). https://doi.org/10.2307/2319041

[2] Broughan, K.: Euler's Totient Function, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 1, pp. 94–143. Cambridge University Press (2017). https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108178228.007

[3] Choie, Y., Lichiardopol, N., Moree, P., Solé, P.: On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis. Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux **19**(2), 357–372 (2007). https://doi.org/10.5802/jtnb.591

[4] Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E.: Some problems of diophantine approximation: Part II. The trigonometrical series associated with the elliptic ϑ-functions. Acta mathematica **37**(1), 193–239 (1914)

[5] Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E.: Contributions to the theory of the Riemann zeta-function and the theory of the distribution of primes. Acta Mathematica **41**, 119–196 (1916)

[6] Nicolas, J.L.: Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler. Journal of Number Theory **17**(3), 375–388 (1983). https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-314X(83)90055-0

[7] Solé, P., Planat, M.: Extreme values of the Dedekind ψ function. Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory **3**(1), 33–38 (2011)

Frank Vega
NataSquad
10 rue de la Paix
FR 75002 Paris
France
e-mail: `vega.frank@gmail.com`