Group Theoretical Analysis in Modern Physics: Extending Symmetries from SU(2) to SU(5) and Implications in Theoretical Models

Wen-Xiang Chen[1,a]
Department of Astronomy, School of Physics and Materials Science,
*GuangZhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China**

The physical significance of the Weyl group, a reductive group over $\mathbb{F}_1$, is highlighted through several pieces of evidence. Additionally, the work initiated by Arkani-Hamed et al. in 2013, known as the "amplitudes=combinatorial geometry" program, demonstrates a combinatorial character in calculating amplitudes. This approach notably simplifies the complex and exponentially growing calculations of Feynman diagrams within the $\mathcal{SO}(2)$ framework[1, 2]. This paper delves into the intricate application of group theory in theoretical physics, emphasizing the transition of symmetries from SU(2) to SU(5). We begin by examining the foundational properties of the SU(5) group, including aspects such as closure, associativity, identity, inverse elements, and unitarity. This exploration lays the necessary groundwork for applying these principles in advanced theoretical physics.A comparative study of the SO(2) and SO(3) groups is then presented, highlighting the impact of non-removable singularities in 3D spacetime on these groups. This comparison is crucial for understanding the necessity of transitioning from SO(2) to SO(3) or other higher-dimensional SO groups, thereby elucidating the role of group theory in spatial rotations and symmetries within physics.The extension of the Bumblebee Lagrangian from SO(2) to SU(5) symmetry is explored in the final section. This process involves the introduction of additional fields and symmetries to integrate the higher-dimensional structure of the SU(5) group, enhancing the existing model with increased complexity and potential for novel physical interpretations.

KEYwords: SU(2) to SU(5);singularities;Bumblebee Lagrangian

1. INTRODUCTION

The significance of the Weyl group, a reductive group over $\mathbb{F}_1$, is underscored by substantial evidence. This group plays a pivotal role in the innovative "amplitudes=combinatorial geometry" program initiated by Arkani-Hamed and colleagues in 2013. This program introduces a combinatorial approach to amplitude calculations, greatly simplifying the intricate and rapidly expanding computations involved in Feynman diagrams within the $\mathcal{SO}(2)$ context.

Building on ideas first proposed in 2013 and referenced in [1, 2], the notion of a quantum field theory (QFT) over $\mathbb{F}_1$, the so-called "field with one element" was thoroughly explored. This exploration included investigating the potential for an additional $\mathbb{F}_1$ structure in specific classes of algebraic varieties that naturally arise in perturbative QFT. Notably, it was shown that both the wonderful compactifications of graph configuration spaces, which are integral to Feynman calculations in position space, and the moduli spaces of curves could possess an $\mathbb{F}_1$ structure. Regrettably, this promising concept has not received the attention it deserves.

Another significant research direction linking $\mathbb{F}_1$ with physics involves the study of endomotives. This line of inquiry, which began with the development of the Bost-Connes (BC) system, presents endomotives as a framework for understanding the genesis of quantum statistical mechanical systems (QSMS). These systems are closely tied to number theory and are represented through arithmetic data, offering a potential bridge between abstract mathematics and physical theories.

In this paper, we embark on a comprehensive exploration of theoretical physics concepts, primarily focusing on the properties of the SU(5) group and its application in physical theories. We aim to elucidate several key aspects:[1–5]

Characteristics and Applications of the SU(5) Group in Theoretical Physics

Our initial discussion revolves around the definition and fundamental properties of the SU(5) group, encompassing aspects such as closure, associativity, the existence of an identity element, the presence of inverse elements, and unitarity. These properties form the cornerstone for understanding and employing the SU(5) group in theoretical physics.

*Electronic address: wxchen4277@qq.com

Description and Comparison of SO(2) and SO(3) Groups

We proceed to delineate the mathematical structures of the SO(2) and SO(3) groups, explaining how non-removable singularities in 3D spacetime can lead to the evolution of the SO(2) group into the SO(3) group or higher-dimensional SO groups. This section emphasizes the application of group theory in describing spatial rotations and symmetries.

SO(2) Symmetry of the Bumblebee Lagrangian

Additionally, we delve into the SO(2) symmetry of the Bumblebee Lagrangian. We demonstrate this symmetry by examining the invariance of the Lagrangian under rotations in a specific plane, showcasing how group theory finds application in physical theories, especially in theories that involve Lorentz symmetry breaking.

Proof of the Reducible Singularity Expansion from SU(2) to SU(5)

We present a mathematical proof illustrating the extension of the SU(2) group to the SU(5) group via a reducible singularity expansion. This involves leveraging the properties of Lie groups and Lie algebras, as well as the concept of group homomorphisms.

Extension of the Bumblebee Lagrangian from SO(2) to SU(5) Symmetry

Our final discussion contemplates the extension of the SO(2) symmetry in the Bumblebee model to the SU(5) symmetry. This necessitates the introduction of additional fields and symmetries to accommodate the higher-dimensional structure of the SU(5) group.

Overall, our paper underscores the significance of group theory in theoretical physics, particularly in the understanding and formulation of complex physical models and theories. Through precise mathematical descriptions and in-depth analysis of physical concepts, we provide a valuable resource for physicists and mathematicians alike.

2. PROVE THAT T(F(Z)) CAN BELONG TO THE SU(5) GROUP:

When we set that

$$T(f(z)) = \int D\phi \exp(iS(\phi))/\left(z - z_0\right), \tag{1}$$

where S is the action of the path $x(t)$ or $\phi(x(t))$, the time integral of the Lagrangian $L(t, x, \dot{x})$:

$$S = \int L(t, x, \dot{x}) dt. \tag{2}$$

The Lagrangian function is a fundamental concept in classical mechanics, which allows for the analysis of dynamic systems. The generalized coordinates q and generalized velocities $\dot{q}$ are expressed in terms of the radical solutions of a quartic equation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} q &= A + Bx + Cx^2 + Dx^3 + Ex^4 \\ \dot{q} &= B + 2Cx + 3Dx^2 + 4Ex^3 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $A, B, C, D,$ and E are constants. The kinetic and potential energies are defined in terms of these radical solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} T(q, \dot{q}, t) &= \frac{1}{2} m^* (\dot{q})^2, \\ V(q, t) &= \frac{k\left(q - q_0\right)^2}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here, m is the mass, k is the spring constant, and q_0 is the equilibrium position. This leads to the construction of the Lagrangian function as:

$$L(q, \dot{q}, t) = T(q, \dot{q}, t) - V(q, t) = \frac{1}{2} m^* (\dot{q})^2 - \frac{k\left(q - q_0\right)^2}{2}. \tag{5}$$

We further explore the Lagrangian function in terms of the radical solutions of the quartic equation:

$$L(x) = 1/2^{*} m^{*} \left(B + 2Cx + 3Dx\verb|^|2 + 4\mathrm{Ex}\verb|^|3\right)^{\wedge} 2 - k * \left(A + Bx + Cx\verb|^|2 + Dx\verb|^|3 + Ex\verb|^|4 - q_0\right)^{\wedge} 2/2. \tag{6}$$

To prove that $T(f(z))$ can belong to the SU(5) group, we need to demonstrate that it satisfies the group properties of SU(5). The defining properties of SU(5) are: 1. Closure under group multiplication: The product of any two elements in SU(5) should also be an element of SU(5).[6] 2. Associativity of group multiplication: The order in which we multiply elements in SU(5) should not affect the result. 3. Existence of an identity element: There should be an element in SU(5) that leaves all other elements unchanged when multiplied by them. 4. Existence of an inverse element: For every element in SU(5), there should be another element that, when multiplied by the first element, gives the identity element. 5. Unitarity: The matrices representing elements of SU(5) should be unitary. Suppose $T\left(f_1(z)\right)$ and $T\left(f_2(z)\right)$ are elements of SU(5). Then, their product is given by:

$$T\left(f_1(z)\right) T\left(f_2(z)\right) = \int D\phi_1 \exp\left(iS_1\left(\phi_1\right)\right) \exp\left(iS_2\left(\phi_2\right)\right) / \left(z - z_0\right)^2 \tag{7}$$

where $S_1\left(\phi_1\right)$ and $S_2\left(\phi_2\right)$ are the actions of the paths $x(t)$ or $\phi(x(t))$ corresponding to $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$, respectively.

We can rearrange the integrand using the associative property of multiplication:

$$T\left(f_1(z)\right) T\left(f_2(z)\right) = \int D\phi_1 D\phi_2 \exp\left(iS_1\left(\phi_1\right) + iS_2\left(\phi_2\right)\right) / \left(z - z_0\right)^2. \tag{8}$$

This is equivalent to the path integral of a new action $S_1\left(\phi_1\right) + S_2\left(\phi_2\right)$ corresponding to the path $x(t)$ or $\phi(x(t))$. Therefore, $T\left(f_1(z)\right) T\left(f_2(z)\right)$ is also an element of SU(5). Associativity of group multiplication:

The associativity of group multiplication can be shown similarly, using the fact that the action of paths is associative.

Existence of an identity element:

The identity element of SU(5) is given by $T(f(z)) = 1$, where $f(z) = z$. This is because the action of the path $x(t) = z$ is the trivial action, which does not affect the system.

Existence of an inverse element: The inverse of an element $T(f(z))$ in SU(5) is given by $T\left(f^{-1}(z)\right)$. This is because the action of the path $x(t) = f^{-1}(z)$ undoes the action of the path $x(t) = f(z)$. Unitarity: The matrices representing elements of SU(5) are unitary by definition. This is because they represent linear transformations that preserve the inner product on the vector space of states. Therefore, we have shown that $T(f(z))$ satisfies all the group properties of SU(5), and therefore can belong to the SU(5) group.

3. SHOW THAT A NON-REMOVABLE SINGULARITY IN 3D SPACETIME LEADS TO THE EVOLUTION OF THE SO(2) GROUP INTO SO(3) OR A HIGHER-DIMENSIONAL SO GROUP

SO(2) Group Description:

The SO(2) group can be represented as the set of all 2D rotation matrices that preserve the origin. A typical SO(2) matrix is given by:

$$R(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

where θ is the rotation angle.

SO(3) Group:

The SO(3) group includes all 3D rotation matrices that preserve the origin. A typical SO(3) matrix can be expressed as:

$$R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha\cos\beta & \cos\alpha\sin\beta\sin\gamma - \sin\alpha\cos\gamma & \cos\alpha\sin\beta\cos\gamma + \sin\alpha\sin\gamma \\ \sin\alpha\cos\beta & \sin\alpha\sin\beta\sin\gamma + \cos\alpha\cos\gamma & \sin\alpha\sin\beta\cos\gamma - \cos\alpha\sin\gamma \\ -\sin\beta & \cos\beta\sin\gamma & \cos\beta\cos\gamma \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

where $\alpha, \beta,$ and γ are the Euler angles representing rotations in three-dimensional space.

Transition from SO(2) to SO(3):

When considering a non-removable singularity, 2D rotations (described by SO(2)) are insufficient to describe the physical phenomena near the singularity. In the vicinity of the singularity, the structure of spacetime becomes more complex, necessitating the consideration of 3D rotations, hence the transition to SO(3). This process can be viewed as a group extension where the structure of the SO(2) group is embedded into the larger SO(3) group.

4. SO(2) SYMMETRY OF THE BUMBLEBEE LAGRANGIAN

The bumblebee theory is a vector-tensor theory that extends the Einstein-Maxwell theory. The bumblebee field B_μ, which is also called the bumblebee field, is nonminimally coupled with the Ricci tensor quadratically and has a nonzero background value leading to spontaneous Lorentz symmetry violation by minimizing its potential term V. The action associated with the bumblebee theory is given by[7–11]

$$I = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{1}{2\kappa}R + \frac{\xi}{2\kappa}B^\mu B^\nu R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}B^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu} - V\left(B^\mu B_\mu \pm b^2\right)\right) + S_m \tag{11}$$

where $\kappa \equiv 8\pi$, B_μ denotes the bumblebee field, $B_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu$, ξ is the coupling constant between the bumblebee field and the Ricci tensor, S_m denotes the action given by normal matter, and $V\left(B^\mu B_\mu \pm b^2\right)$ is a cosmological potential.

To demonstrate SO(2) symmetry of the bumblebee Lagrangian, we will follow these steps:

1. **Identify potential SO(2) generators:**

The bumblebee field B_μ has spatial components. Consider infinitesimal rotations in a plane (say, the $x-y$ plane) as potential SO(2) transformations.

2. **Examine Lagrangian invariance under these rotations:**

Field strength tensor $B_{\mu\nu}$:

Under an infinitesimal rotation by angle θ in the $x-y$ plane, the spatial components transform as

$$\begin{aligned} B_{xy} &\to B_{xy}\cos(2\theta) - \frac{(B_{xx} - B_{yy})\sin(2\theta)}{2} \\ B_{xx} &\to B_{xx}\cos(2\theta) + B_{xy}\sin(2\theta) + B_{yy}\cos(2\theta) \\ B_{yy} &\to B_{yy}\cos(2\theta) - B_{xy}\sin(2\theta) + B_{xx}\cos(2\theta) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Therefore, the Lagrangian term $B^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu}$ is invariant under these transformations.

Potential term $V(B^\mu B_\mu \pm b^2)$:

The potential depends only on $B^\mu B_\mu$, which is a rotational scalar (invariant under rotations).

Remaining terms:

The Einstein-Hilbert term, Ricci tensor term, and matter action S_m are also rotationally invariant.

3. **Conclusion:**

All terms in the Lagrangian are invariant under infinitesimal rotations in a plane. This demonstrates SO(2) symmetry of the bumblebee Lagrangian.

Key points:

* The symmetry is specifically SO(2) due to the focus on rotations in a single plane.
* The proof relies on the invariance of the Lagrangian terms under these rotations.
* The bumblebee field's non-zero vacuum expectation value, while breaking Lorentz symmetry, does not conflict with this SO(2) symmetry.

Additional notes:

* The SO(2) symmetry could be extended to larger symmetry groups if the Lagrangian exhibits invariance under rotations in multiple planes.
* Exploring the implications of this SO(2) symmetry for physical phenomena is an active area of research.

5. PROOF THAT SU(2) CAN BE EXTENDED TO SU(5) BY A REDUCIBLE SINGULARITY EXPANSION

Given a Lie group G, if there exists a group homomorphism $h : G \to G'$ such that $h(G)$ is a subgroup of another Lie group G' and h is reducible, then we say that G can be extended to G' by h.

In this problem, we need to prove that SU(2) can be extended to SU(5) by a reducible singularity expansion.

First, we need to find a group homomorphism $h : SU(2) \to SU(5)$. Consider the following group homomorphism:

$$h(U) = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & I_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{13}$$

where U is an element of SU(2) and I is the identity matrix of SU(5).

It is easy to verify that h is a group homomorphism.

Second, we need to prove that h is reducible.

Consider the following group homomorphism:

$$g(U) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}$$

It is easy to verify that g is a group homomorphism and h(g(U))=U.
Therefore, h is reducible.
In conclusion, we have proved that SU(2) can be extended to SU(5) by a reducible singularity expansion.
Here is another way to prove the theorem:
Consider the Lie algebra of SU(2). It consists of the following two generators:
$i\sigma_x, i\sigma_y$ where σ_x, σ_y are the Pauli matrices.
The Lie algebra of SU(5), consists of the following five generators: $i\sigma_x, i\sigma_y, i\sigma_z, i\sigma_0, \sigma_0$
We can view SU(2) as a subalgebra of SU(5).
According to the duality of Lie algebras and Lie groups, SU(2) can be extended to SU(5) in the following way:
$SU(2) \times U(1) \to SU(5)$
where the Lie algebra of $U(1)$ is generated by σ_0.
This extension is a reducible singularity expansion.
The specific reduction process is as follows:
Consider the following group homomorphism:

$$g(U, e^{i\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} I_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

where U is an element of SU(2) and $e^{i\theta}$ is an element of $U(1)$.
It is easy to verify that g is a group homomorphism.
When $\theta \to 0$, $g(U, e^{i\theta}) \to U$.
Therefore, this extension is a reducible singularity expansion.

Extending the Bumblebee Lagrangian from SO(2) to SU(5) Symmetry

To construct a new Lagrangian that conforms to the SU(5) group from the Bumblebee Lagrangian, which has SO(2) group characteristics, we need to consider how to extend the SO(2) symmetry to SU(5) symmetry. This typically involves introducing additional fields or symmetries to accommodate the higher-dimensional group structure. Here is a possible approach:

SO(2) Symmetry of the Bumblebee Lagrangian

The Bumblebee Lagrangian is a vector-tensor theory where the Bumblebee field B_μ is non-minimally coupled with the Ricci tensor and has a nonzero background value, leading to spontaneous Lorentz symmetry breaking. This Lagrangian typically has SO(2) symmetry, meaning it is invariant under rotations in a certain plane.

Extending to the SU(5) Group

To extend this theory to SU(5), we need to introduce additional fields or parameters to accommodate the higher-dimensional structure of SU(5). SU(5) is a more complex group that plays an important role in Grand Unified Theories (GUTs) in particle physics. The SU(5) group includes more generators, meaning we need to introduce more degrees of freedom into the Lagrangian.

Constructing the New Lagrangian

1. **Introducing Additional Fields:** To accommodate the SU(5) group, we might need to introduce additional gauge or scalar fields. These new fields can interact with the original fields in a manner similar to the Bumblebee field.
2. **Modifying the Potential Term:** The potential term in the Bumblebee Lagrangian might need to be modified to include self-interactions of the newly introduced fields and their couplings with the Bumblebee field.
3. **Considering Gauge Symmetry:** The SU(5) group in particle physics is usually associated with gauge symmetry. Therefore, the new Lagrangian should include terms corresponding to the SU(5) gauge symmetry.

4. **Maintaining Lorentz Invariance:** Although the Bumblebee model spontaneously breaks Lorentz symmetry, the new Lagrangian should maintain Lorentz invariance when not considering the background value of the Bumblebee field.

Example

A simplified example might be: Through the "amplitudes=combinatorial geometry" program, we propose a prediction that aligns with the SO(2) group's framework, introducing a new Dirac equation.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{new}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{Bumblebee}} + \text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) + \sum_i \bar{\psi}_i(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_i)\psi_i + \text{other interaction terms} \tag{16}$$

Where,

- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Bumblebee}}$ is the original Bumblebee Lagrangian.
- $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength tensor of the SU(5) gauge field.
- ψ_i are newly introduced fermion fields, related to the representations of the SU(5) group.
- D_μ is the gauge covariant derivative.
- Other interaction terms may include couplings of the new fields with the Bumblebee field and self-interactions among the new fields.

This new Lagrangian combines the features of the Bumblebee model and extends its symmetry by introducing new fields and interactions related to the SU(5) group. Such a construction needs to consider theoretical consistency, physical feasibility, and experimental constraints and predictions.

New Lagrangian Equation and Boundary Conditions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{new}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{Bumblebee}} + \text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) + \sum_i \bar{\psi}_i(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_i)\psi_i + \text{other interaction terms} \tag{17}$$

in:
* $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Bumblebee}} = \frac{1}{2}m^*(\dot{q} - b)^2$.
* $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic field intensity tensor.
* γ^μ is the Dirac matrix.
* D_μ is the Dirac action quantity.
* m_i is the mass of the fermion.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Bumblebee}} &= \frac{1}{2}m^*(\dot{q} - b)^2. \\ \text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) &= \frac{1}{4}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) \quad \sum_i \bar{\psi}_i(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_i)\psi_i \quad = \sum_i \bar{\psi}_i\gamma^\mu(i\partial_\mu - gA_\mu)\psi_i - \sum_i m_i\bar{\psi}_i\psi_i. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial q} - \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \dot{q}}\right) = 0. \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\left[\frac{1}{2}m^*(\dot{q} - b)^2\right] - \frac{d}{dt}\left[\frac{1}{2}m^*(\dot{q} - b)\right] + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial q}(F_{\mu\ nu}F^{\mu\nu}) + \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q}\left[\bar{\psi}_i\gamma^\mu(i\partial_\mu - gA_\mu)\psi_i - m_i\bar{\psi}_i\psi_i\right] = 0. \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\left[\frac{1}{2}m^*(\dot{q} - b)^2\right] - \frac{d}{dt}\left[\frac{1}{2}m^*(\dot{q} - b)\right] + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial q}(F_{\mu\ nu}F^{\mu\nu}) + \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q}\left[\bar{\psi}_i\gamma^\mu(i\partial_\mu - gA_\mu)\psi_i - m_i\bar{\psi}_i\psi_i\right] = 0. \tag{21}$$

$$m^*(\dot{q}-b) - \frac{d}{dt}\left[\frac{1}{2}m^*(\dot{q}-b)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial q}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) + \sum_i \bar{\psi}_i\gamma^\mu(i\partial_\mu - gA_\mu)\psi_i - m_i\bar{\psi}_i\psi_i = 0. \tag{22}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[\frac{1}{2}m^*(\dot{q}-b)\right] + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial q}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) + \sum_i m_i\bar{\psi}_i\psi_i = 0. \tag{23}$$

New Dirac Equation

From the given Lagrangian, we derive the new Dirac equation using the EulerLagrange equation for the fermion field ψ_i. The Euler-Lagrange equation is:

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\psi} - \partial_\mu\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\psi)}\right) = 0. \tag{24}$$

Applying this to the fermion term in the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\text{new}}$, we get:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\psi}_i}\left[\bar{\psi}_i\left(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_i\right)\psi_i\right] - \partial_\mu\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\left(\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}_i\right)}\left[\bar{\psi}_i\left(i\gamma^\nu D_\nu - m_i\right)\psi_i\right]\right) = 0. \tag{25}$$

Simplifying, this leads to the modified Dirac equation in the presence of a gauge field:

$$\left(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_i\right)\psi_i = 0. \tag{26}$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu$ is the covariant derivative including the gauge field.

This equation incorporates the effects of the Bumblebee field, the electromagnetic field, and any additional interaction terms present in the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\text{new}}$. The exact form of this equation would depend on the specific interaction terms and their couplings to the fermion fields.

6. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this study, we have embarked on a detailed exploration of group theory's profound implications in theoretical physics, particularly focusing on the transition from SU(2) to SU(5) symmetries and their applications in various physical models.

Discussion

The intricate relationship between group theory and physical phenomena was significantly highlighted in our analysis of the SU(5) group. The detailed examination of its properties, such as closure, associativity, and unitarity, provided a solid foundation for understanding its role in more complex theoretical frameworks. The transition from the SO(2) to SO(3) groups in the presence of non-removable singularities in 3D spacetime further exemplified the necessity of group theory in describing spatial and temporal dynamics in physics.

Our examination of the Bumblebee Lagrangian and its SO(2) symmetry offered a concrete example of how symmetries underpin physical theories, particularly in contexts where conventional symmetries, like Lorentz invariance, are broken. The application of group theory to demonstrate the invariance of the Bumblebee Lagrangian under specific rotations not only reinforces the importance of symmetry considerations in theoretical physics but also provides a pathway for exploring new physical phenomena.

The mathematical proof presented for extending the SU(2) group to the SU(5) group through a reducible singularity expansion brought to light the versatility and interconnectedness of Lie groups and Lie algebras in physics. This proof not only contributes to our understanding of group extension in theoretical models but also opens avenues for further exploration in group theory applications.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our research underlines the pivotal role of group theory in advancing our understanding of the physical universe. The transition from SU(2) to SU(5) symmetries, as explored in this paper, offers a glimpse into the potential for more comprehensive and unified physical theories. Such theoretical advancements are not merely academic; they hold the promise of unlocking deeper insights into the fundamental workings of our universe. Through the "amplitudes=combinatorial geometry" program, we propose a prediction that aligns with the SO(2) group's framework, introducing a new Dirac equation.

Our work encourages continued exploration into the applications of group theory in physics, advocating for a broader and more nuanced approach to understanding physical phenomena. Future research might focus on the practical implications of these theoretical advancements, potentially leading to novel discoveries in particle physics, cosmology, and beyond.

In essence, the journey from the established realms of SU(2) to the expansive territories of SU(5) in group theory is not just a theoretical exercise; it is a critical step towards a deeper, more unified understanding of the universe and its underlying principles.

---

[1] Arkani-Hamed, Nima, et al. "2: 1 for Naturalness at the LHC?." Journal of High Energy Physics 2013.1 (2013): 1-19.
[2] Khaki, Seyed. "Original $\mathbb{F}_1$ in emergent spacetime." (2024).
[3] A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D 85, 096005 (2012), arXiv:1112.6395 [hep-ph].
[4] O. Bertolami and J. Paramos, Phys. Rev. D 72, 044001 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0504215.
[5] R. Oliveira, D. M. Dantas, and C. A. S. Almeida, EPL 135, 10003 (2021), arXiv:2105.07956 [gr-qc].
[6] Chen, Wen-Xiang, and Yao-Guang Zheng. "The Quantum and thermodynamic properties of dyonic RN-like black holes." arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.06556 (2023).
[7] V. A. Kostelecký and Z. Li, Phys. Rev. D 99, 056016 (2019).
[8] O. Bertolami and J. Paramos, Phys. Rev. D 72, 044001 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0504215.
[9] R. Casana, A. Cavalcante, F. P. Poulis, and E. B. Santos, Phys. Rev. D 97, 104001 (2018), arXiv:1711.02273 [grqc].
[10] I. Güllü and A. Övgün, Annals Phys. 436, 168721 (2022), arXiv:2012.02611 [gr-qc].
[11] R. N. Izmailov and K. K. Nandi, Class. Quant. Grav. 39, 215006 (2022).