

EDITORIAL POLICY & GUIDELINES FOR CONTRIBUTORS

The *European Constitutional Law Review* (EuConst) follows the classical approach of constitutionalism, to discuss EU law's developments as well as comparative public law of European states, political and constitutional theory and history. The journal is a platform for scholarly discussion of European constitutional events and evolution. It is open to contributions in this field from any country in the world and from any discipline. These contributions should satisfy as to substance, apart from the common scholarly criteria, two specific conditions, to a) have a distinctly European relevance and b) include a reference to and discussion of legal aspects involved.

Submitting an article, case note or book review

The editors of the *European Constitutional Law Review* are happy to receive contributions on relevant subjects at any time. Before submitting, authors should ensure that their contribution falls within the scope of EuConst as stated above.

Manuscripts should be sent in Microsoft Word format (and not, specifically, in PDF format) to enable editing, anonymisation and comments. EuConst has an exclusive submission policy. Authors are required to state clearly, when submitting, that their contribution is not under consideration elsewhere.

Articles and case notes can be sent by email to euconst@uva.nl. Authors of article contributions are asked to aim for a length of no more than 10,000 words (including footnotes). Case notes should not exceed 5,000 words. Upon request, the editors will consider whether relaxation of these limits is justified.

Book reviews can be sent to our book review editors Nik de Boer and Vestert Borger at euconst-books@uva.nl. Book reviews should not exceed 5,000 words. For more information on the EuConst book review section, see cambridge.org/euconst under Information – Book review info.

All submissions must be written in good English. Authors who are uncertain whether their English is of sufficient quality, should have their manuscript reviewed and edited by a native speaker with a background in law. Accepted contributions will be edited, linguistically and substantively, subject to authors' approval. Authors should ensure that their submissions conform to the house style. A style sheet is available on the journal website, cambridge.org/euconst under Information – Instructions for contributors.

Special sections

EuConst is happy to host a special section of articles stemming from a conference or research project in one of its issues each year. We are especially interested in sets of articles that form a coherent whole of excellent research and fit well into the scope of our journal. A call for proposals is issued each year and one proposal selected. Please see our journal homepage cambridge.org/euconst for any active call for proposals.



The *European Constitutional Law Review* is edited at the G.K. VAN HOGENDORP CENTRE FOR EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL STUDIES, a Jean Monnet centre of excellence at the University of Amsterdam.

EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW REVIEW

2021 VOLUME 17 ISSUE 3

CONTENTS

Articles

Diletta TEGA – The Italian Constitutional Court in its Context: A Narrative – 369

Mathieu LELOUP – Who Safeguards the Guardians? A Subjective Right of Judges to their Independence under Article 6(1) ECHR – 394

Jan KOMÁREK – Freedom and Power of European Constitutional Scholarship – 422

Special section “Migration and the Rule of Law”

Iris GOLDNER LANG and Boldizsár NAGY – External Border Control Techniques in the EU as a Challenge to the Principle of Non-Refoulement – 442

Evangelia (Lilian) TSOURDI – Asylum in the EU: One of the Many Faces of Rule of Law Backsliding? – 471

Nika BAČIĆ SELANEC and Davor PETRIĆ – Migrating with Dignity: Conceptualising Human Dignity Through EU Migration Law – 498

Case Notes

Marta MARONI – An Open Internet? The Court of Justice of the European Union between Network Neutrality and Zero Rating ECJ (Grand Chamber) 15 September 2020, Joined Cases C-807/18 and C-39/19, *Telenor Magyarország Zrt v Nemzeti Média- és Hírközlési Hatóság Elnöke* – 517

Marek ANTOŠ and Filip HORÁK – Proportionality Means Proportionality Czech Constitutional Court, 2 February 2021, Pl. ÚS 44/17 – 538

Book Review Essays

Thomas HORSLEY – Rethinking Judicial Narratives: The Court of Justice and the Treaty of Rome – 553

Louis Michael SEIDMAN – Constitutional Scepticism and Local Facts – 566

Cambridge Core

For further information about this journal please
go to the journal website at:
[cambridge.org/euconst](https://www.cambridge.org/euconst)



MIX
Paper from
responsible sources
FSC® C007785

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS