

ERRATUM

Conversational responsiveness in specific language impairment

D. V. M. BISHOP, JANET CHAN, CATHERINE ADAMS, JOANNE HARTLEY,
 AND FIONA WEIR

The following table contained misalignment of the categories and values in the original article published in 2000. Table 3 is reprinted here with the values correctly aligned. The authors regret these errors and any problems they may have caused.

Table 3. *Conversational responsiveness*

	Mean	SD	Min.	Max.	<i>N</i> > 0
No. of solicitations					
LA control	38.22	11.04	30	58	0
CA control	32.00	9.27	20	50	0
SLI-T	36.78	8.35	27	53	0
PLI	30.33	8.72	13	44	0
No response					
LA control	0.033	0.037	0.000	0.100	6
CA control	0.008	0.017	0.000	0.043	2
SLI-T	0.026	0.022	0.000	0.061	6
PLI	0.058	0.074	0.000	0.200	5
Nonverbal					
LA control	0.275	0.195	0.026	0.559	9
CA control	0.120	0.093	0	0.250	7
SLI-T	0.098	0.144	0	0.424	5
PLI	0.047	0.076	0	0.216	4
Prosodic					
LA control	0.014	0.023	0	0.065	3
CA control	0.031	0.032	0	0.080	5
SLI-T	0.052	0.060	0	0.182	6
PLI	0.032	0.056	0	0.162	3
Minimal verbal					
LA control	0.441	0.157	0.200	0.692	9
CA control	0.530	0.114	0.323	0.704	9
SLI-T	0.681	0.159	0.273	0.767	9
PLI	0.659	0.124	0.459	0.870	9
Extended verbal					
LA control	0.238	0.088	0.088	0.367	9
CA control	0.311	0.075	0.222	0.433	9
SLI-T	0.143	0.053	0.038	0.216	9
PLI	0.204	0.131	0	0.400	8

Note: LA, language age; CA, chronological age; SLI-T, typical specific language impairment; PLI, pragmatic language impairment.