



Regular Article

Individual- and family-level associations between child psychopathology and parenting

Madison Aitken^{1,2} , Florence Perquier¹, John D. Haltigan^{1,2,3} , Li Wang⁴, Brendan F. Andrade^{1,2}, Marco Battaglia^{1,2}, Peter Szatmari^{1,2,3} and Katholiki Georgiades⁴

¹Cundill Centre for Child and Youth Depression, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Canada, ²Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Canada, ³Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada and ⁴Department of Psychiatry and Behavioural Neurosciences & Offord Centre for Child Studies, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada

Abstract

Parenting can protect against the development of, or increase risk for, child psychopathology; however, it is unclear if parenting is related to psychopathology symptoms in a specific domain, or to broad liability for psychopathology. Parenting differs between and within families, and both overall family-level parenting and the child-specific parenting a child receives may be important in estimating transdiagnostic associations with psychopathology. Data come from a cross-sectional epidemiological sample ($N = 10,605$ children ages 4–17, 6434 households). Parents rated child internalizing and externalizing symptoms and their parenting toward each child. General and specific (internalizing, externalizing) psychopathology factors, derived with bifactor modeling, were regressed on parenting using multilevel modeling. Less warmth and more aversive/inconsistent parenting in the family, and toward an individual child relative to family average, were associated with higher general psychopathology and specific externalizing problems. Unexpectedly, more warmth in the family, and toward an individual child relative to family average, was associated with higher specific internalizing problems in 4–11 (not 12–17) year-olds. Less warmth and more aversive/inconsistent parenting are broad correlates of child psychopathology. Aversive/inconsistent parenting, is also related to specific externalizing problems. Parents may behave more warmly when their younger children have specific internalizing problems, net of overall psychopathology.

Keywords: bifactor modeling; externalizing; family; internalizing; parenting

(Received 21 April 2022; revised 20 January 2023; accepted 21 January 2023)

Introduction

Variation in parenting is an important risk or protective factor associated with the development of child psychopathology (McLeod et al., 2007). While shared genetic factors explain the association between parenting and psychopathology in part (Kendler, 1996), environmental influences of parenting have also been demonstrated in families in which parents and children are not genetically related (Bornovalova et al., 2014). We focus here on parental warmth (McLeod, Weisz, et al., 2007), and aversive or inconsistent (Yap et al., 2014) parenting, which are among the most widely investigated indicators of adaptive and maladaptive parenting, respectively (McLeod et al., 2007; Yap et al., 2014).

Most studies examining associations between parenting and child psychopathology focus on two dimensions of child psychopathology: internalizing (anxiety, depression, and sometimes somatic problems) and externalizing (disruptive and antisocial behavior;

Achenbach & Edelbrock, 1978, Pinquart, 2017a; 2017b). For example, in clinical and community samples, greater parental warmth is associated with less child externalizing and internalizing problems, whereas aversive and inconsistent parenting are associated with more child externalizing and internalizing problems (Pinquart, 2017a; 2017b; Rothenberg et al., 2020). However, externalizing and internalizing problems are frequently comorbid (Boylan et al., 2007; Greene et al., 2002); therefore, examining them separately may miss opportunities to identify the ways in which parenting is related to children's overall liability for psychopathology.

Parenting is also associated with processes relevant across the spectrum of psychopathology (Wood et al., 2003), such as emotion regulation (Aldao et al., 2010; Carver et al., 2017). For example, when children show intense negative emotional responses to change or limits, they may evoke aversive parental reactions that intensify their negative emotions, and inconsistent parenting that negatively reinforces emotion dysregulation (Scaramella & Leve, 2004). In contrast, warm parenting may support emotion regulation by reinforcing children seeking out parental support, contributing to the socialization of adaptive emotion regulation strategies (Alegre et al., 2014). Therefore, parenting may be associated with child psychopathology through unique processes related to externalizing and internalizing problems (Ballash et al., 2006; Patterson,

Corresponding author: Madison Aitken, email: madison.aitken@camh.ca

Cite this article: Aitken, M., Perquier, F., Haltigan, J. D., Wang, L., Andrade, B. F., Battaglia, M., Szatmari, P., & Georgiades, K. (2023). Individual- and family-level associations between child psychopathology and parenting. *Development and Psychopathology*, 1–9, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579423000202>

1986), and through processes associated with broad liability for psychopathology (Fraire & Ollendick, 2013; Lahey *et al.*, 2021).

Dimensional models of psychopathology

Consistent with the high rates of comorbidity across internalizing and externalizing disorders (Angold *et al.*, 1999; Boylan *et al.*, 2007; Greene *et al.*, 2002), internalizing and externalizing problems appear to share underlying processes and risk factors, such as emotion dysregulation and negative emotionality (Beauchaine & Zisner, 2017; Caspi *et al.*, 2014; Caspi & Moffitt, 2018; Haltigan *et al.*, 2018; Pesenti-Gritti *et al.*, 2008). A general psychopathology factor, which accounts for variance in symptoms across the spectrum of psychopathology (Caspi *et al.*, 2014; Caspi & Moffitt, 2018; Haltigan *et al.*, 2018; Kotov *et al.*, 2017; Laceulle *et al.*, 2015; Patalay *et al.*, 2015) has been proposed in response to the high rates of co-occurrence among psychiatric disorders and the many shared factors underlying disorders across the spectrum of psychopathology. One way that such a general psychopathology factor has been represented is through bifactor models (Caspi *et al.*, 2014). Bifactor models consist of a latent *general* psychopathology factor, on which all items/symptoms load, along with two or more *specific* factors reflecting variance in certain domains of psychopathology (e.g., internalizing and externalizing) once overall psychopathology has been taken into account (Caspi *et al.*, 2014; Haltigan *et al.*, 2018). For clarity, we use the term “specific” throughout to describe these residual latent psychopathology factors that remain once variance due to the general psychopathology factor has been accounted for in a bifactor model.

Bifactor models may be useful for understanding associations between parenting and psychopathology because they separate general and specific dimensions of psychopathology, making it possible to parse general correlates of psychopathology from factors associated with the presence of symptoms in specific domains (Lahey *et al.*, 2021). By examining how general and specific psychopathology dimensions are associated with risk and protective factors, such as parenting, in community samples, we may be able to identify the most salient targets to be tested in early intervention clinical trials (Forbes *et al.*, 2019). That is, future early intervention trials targeting those parenting dimensions associated with a general psychopathology factor may be the most efficient way to decrease children’s overall risk for mental health problems.

The few studies that have examined parenting in relation to the general psychopathology factor have reported small but significant negative longitudinal associations with observed positive parenting behaviors (Deutz *et al.*, 2020). Significant, moderate associations between harsh parenting (a measure that goes beyond aversive parenting to also include physical discipline) and higher levels of child general psychopathology have been found in cross-sectional (Waldman *et al.*, 2016) but not longitudinal studies (Deutz *et al.*, 2020). For the specific psychopathology factors, significant cross-sectional associations between harsh parenting and specific externalizing (moderate effect) and internalizing problems (small effect) have been reported (Waldman *et al.*, 2016), but longitudinal associations between overall positive or harsh parenting and specific internalizing and externalizing have been non-significant (Deutz *et al.*, 2020; Wang *et al.*, 2016). Overall, the most consistent evidence suggests that harsher and less positive parenting are broad correlates of child psychopathology (Fraire & Ollendick, 2013; Lahey *et al.*, 2021), rather than being associated with specific internalizing or externalizing problems. However, previous studies have not examined associations between

traditional measures of parental warmth, aversive, or inconsistent parenting and the general psychopathology factor.

Multilevel family models

Multilevel study designs, in which assessments are conducted across multiple children within the same family, offer two main benefits in the study of parenting and psychopathology. First, both the overall parenting children are exposed to in the family, as well as how they are parented relative to their siblings, are associated with differences in child psychopathology (Boyle *et al.*, 2004). The child-specific parenting a child receives and overall parenting in the family can be disaggregated in multilevel models by examining within- and between-family differences (Jenkins *et al.*, 2009). Second, the study of parenting and child psychopathology is complicated by possible confounding variables in the family environment (McLeod, Wood, *et al.*, 2007). A confounding variable is a variable that is related to both the dependent variable (i.e., child psychopathology) and the independent variable (Tulchinsky & Varavikova, 2014). For example, parents’ own psychopathology symptoms may affect their ability to respond adaptively to their child’s emotions (Breux *et al.*, 2016; Morris *et al.*, 2007), increasing the likelihood that their child may develop internalizing and externalizing problems (Schwartz *et al.*, 2017). Parental psychopathology may also be transmitted genetically and through passive gene–environment correlations (Jaffee & Price, 2007). Other family-level factors, such as socioeconomic risk, are also associated with both parenting and child psychopathology (Mills-Koonce *et al.*, 2016). Moreover, socioeconomic risk, parent psychopathology, and parenting are interrelated and interact in complex ways to influence children’s risk for psychopathology (Parra *et al.*, 2006).

Multilevel analyses that include within-family parenting differences across siblings, as well as overall parenting differences between families, can reduce potential confounding effects of passive gene–environment correlations and other family-level variables, such as parental psychopathology and socioeconomic risk (D’Onofrio *et al.*, 2013; Jenkins *et al.*, 2009; Lahey & D’Onofrio, 2010). Previous studies have identified differences in parenting and in child internalizing and externalizing within families based on children’s age and sex (Meunier *et al.*, 2012); therefore, it is important to include age and sex as child-level control variables when examining associations between parenting and child psychopathology (Boyle *et al.*, 2004).

In the current investigation, to determine the extent to which parenting has broad and specific associations with child psychopathology, we examined associations between parenting and child psychopathology in a representative community sample of children using bifactor modeling of psychopathology. We used a multilevel model including siblings in the same household, allowing us to test associations between parenting and child psychopathology after accounting for family-level differences. We expected that greater parental warmth and less aversive/inconsistent parenting would be associated with lower general psychopathology and specific externalizing and internalizing problems, with the strongest associations being with general psychopathology and specific externalizing problems.

Method

Participants

We analyzed data from the 2014 Ontario Child Health Study (OCHS), a cross-sectional epidemiological survey of children ages 17–4 (Boyle *et al.*, 2019). In families with two or more children,

Table 1. Household characteristics ($k = 6434$)

Variable	% or $M(SD)$
Parent age	41.6 (7.2)
Parent marital status	
Married/common law	81.80
Widowed/separated/divorced	12.21
Single/never married	5.99
Parent education	
High school or less	17.47
Non-university certificate/diploma	40.23
University	42.30
Parent race/ethnicity	
White	62.67
Aboriginal	2.70
South Asian	9.94
East and South East Asian	10.39
West Asian and Arab	2.81
Black	4.83
Latin American	2.02
Other and multi-race	4.64
Number of children	
1	49.25
2	40.85
3	7.73
≥ 4	2.16
Low income	17.26
Household income (CAD)	100,812 (163,467)

a target child was randomly selected and up to three additional children in the household were included. We refer to children within the same household as siblings. The parent/caregiver most knowledgeable about the target child (referred to as parent) provided information about the household and children (see Table 1). Children ($N = 10,605$, $Mage = 10.6$ years, $SD = 4.1$; 51.4% male, in 6434 households) were included in the present study if they had data available on the parenting and child psychopathology measures. Excluded children ($n = 197$) were more likely to live in single-parent families, to have no siblings, and to have lower household income (see Supplementary Materials for details).

Seventeen percent of households met criteria for low income. Most respondent parents (96%) were biological parents to the target child, female (87%), and married or living common-law (82%). Most children (72%) were living with two biological parents.

Measures

Child psychopathology

For each child in the household, the parent completed the OCHS Emotional Behavioural Scale (OCHS-EBS), measuring internalizing (27 items on generalized anxiety, separation anxiety, major depression, and social phobia) and externalizing (25 items on attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, and conduct disorder) problems in the past 6 months. Each item was rated on a 3-point scale. The OCHS-EBS has

demonstrated construct validity for a 2-factor structure (internalizing and externalizing), measurement invariance across age and sex, internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and convergent validity with a diagnostic interview in the present sample (Duncan et al., 2018).

Parenting

Parents completed two 5-item scales (Warmth and Aversive/Inconsistent Parenting) adapted from the National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth (Statistics Canada, 1994) and the Parent Behavior Inventory (Lovejoy et al., 1999), rating the frequency of behaviors toward each child (0 = *never*; 4 = *always*) in the past 6 months. *Warmth* included items such as: “I enjoy doing things with him/her” and “I listen to his/her ideas and opinions” ($\alpha = .84$). *Aversive/inconsistent parenting* included items such as “I get angry and yell at him/her” and “I threaten punishment more often than I use it” ($\alpha = .72$; $r = -.24$ between warmth and aversive/inconsistent parenting). Items were selected or adapted for administration in the OCHS following exploratory factor analysis across two general population surveys that included similar parenting items. For each scale (Warmth; Aversive/Inconsistent), the five items with the highest factor loadings and that showed adequate variability in responses were selected. Items were then tested by Statistics Canada in cognitive interviews with parents to ensure they were easily understandable. Any items found to be unclear were modified and retested with parents. A similar measure of parenting has previously shown significant associations with child externalizing and internalizing problems in a representative sample of Canadian children and parents (Sim & Georgiades, 2022).

Covariates

Parents rated their own psychological distress in the past 30 days using the 6-item Kessler Screening Questionnaire. Each item was rated on a 5-point scale. The scale has demonstrated internal consistency and predicts mental disorder diagnoses in the general population (Kessler et al., 2010). Household composition and total income were reported by parents. Low income was defined as before-tax household income below the 2013 Canadian low-income level (Statistics Canada, 2015).

Procedure

Data were collected in the home from October 2014 to September 2015 (Boyle et al., 2019). Participants provided informed consent or assent. Procedures were approved by Statistics Canada. The present analysis was approved by the Research Ethics Board at the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health.

Analysis

Analyses were performed using Stata 15 and Mplus 8 (Muthén & Muthén, 2017). Values of $p < .05$ were considered statistically significant. Sampling weights were applied in all analyses. We tested an orthogonal bifactor model, with a general psychopathology factor on which all items loaded, and uncorrelated specific internalizing and externalizing factors, using confirmatory factor analysis with the weighted least squares mean and variance adjusted estimator, which uses pairwise deletion for missing data (Muthén & Muthén, 2017). Models accounted for clustering of children within households. Three competing factor models were also tested (see Supplementary Materials and Table S1). Models were evaluated by examining fit statistics and factor loadings. Reliability

coefficients were calculated for factors in the orthogonal bifactor model (Dueber, 2017; Rodriguez *et al.*, 2016). Differences in the frequency of internalizing and externalizing problems have been identified across boys and girls and across childhood and adolescence (Bongers *et al.*, 2003); therefore, model fit was also tested separately by sex and age group (4–11 and 12–17 years; see Supplementary Materials).

We used multilevel modeling to estimate the association between parenting and psychopathology using saved factor scores from the orthogonal bifactor model. Separate models were run with saved factor scores for the general factor, and specific internalizing and externalizing factors, as dependent variables, including: 1) a null model with no predictors, to partition variance in psychopathology into within- and between-family components (Jenkins *et al.*, 2009); and 2) a model with average parenting at the family level, and child-specific parenting (mean centered within the family, allowing us to test the effects of the parenting a child receives relative to their family average). The robust maximum likelihood estimator was used, with full information maximum likelihood estimation to handle missing data. Models included, at the family level, parent psychological distress, number of siblings and low income, and, at the child level, age, sex, and number of biological parents at home. Continuous control variables were mean centered at the family level. Given that parenting differences and differences in the association between parenting and psychopathology have been reported across children and adolescents and boys and girls (Wang *et al.*, 2011), interactions of parenting with child sex and age were tested. We report fully standardized beta coefficients, which are the recommended measure of effect size for multilevel models (Lorah, 2018).

Results

See Table S2 for descriptive statistics for continuous independent variables.

The orthogonal bifactor model fit the data well (CFI = .948, TLI = 0.943, RMSEA = .018; see Table 2). Model fit was similar in separate age and sex subgroups (see Supplementary Materials).

Intraclass correlation coefficients from the null models indicated the following proportions of variance at the family level: general psychopathology = .50; internalizing = .22; externalizing = .12. When family- and child-level predictors were added (see Table 3), at the family level, greater overall aversive/inconsistent parenting was associated with significantly higher general psychopathology ($\beta = 0.34$) and specific externalizing ($\beta = 0.47$), and greater overall warmth was associated with significantly lower general psychopathology ($\beta = -0.26$) and specific externalizing ($\beta = -0.18$) but higher specific internalizing ($\beta = 0.18$).

At the child level (see Table 3), more aversive/inconsistent parenting toward a specific child, relative to family average, was associated with significantly higher general psychopathology ($\beta = 0.08$) and specific externalizing ($\beta = 0.13$), and greater overall warmth was associated with significantly lower general psychopathology ($\beta = -0.14$) and specific externalizing ($\beta = -0.10$) but higher specific internalizing ($\beta = 0.06$). Effect sizes (standardized regression coefficients) for both warmth and aversive/inconsistent parenting at the child level were in the small range after accounting for family-level parenting and other family- and child-level control variables.

Some associations were significantly stronger in 4–11-year-olds than 12–17-year-olds (family-level warmth and aversive/inconsistent parenting with internalizing) and in girls than in boys

(child-level warmth with general psychopathology and specific externalizing; and aversive/inconsistent parenting with specific externalizing; see Supplementary Materials and Tables S3–S4).

Discussion

Estimating associations between parenting and child psychopathology in cross-sectional and longitudinal studies is complicated by the presence of many potential confounding variables at the individual and at the family level (Lahey, 2011) and high rates of comorbidity in psychopathology symptoms (Angold *et al.*, 1999). To address these challenges, we used multilevel modeling to isolate associations at the family and at the child level separately, along with a bifactor model with a general psychopathology factor consisting of symptoms across the spectrum of psychopathology.

The general psychopathology factor has been described as measuring emotion dysregulation, negative emotionality, and unwanted irrational thoughts (Carver *et al.*, 2017; Caspi *et al.*, 2014; Caspi & Moffitt, 2018; Deutz *et al.*, 2020), each of which is associated with increased liability for psychopathology (Aldao *et al.*, 2010; Eisenberg *et al.*, 2001). Our analysis demonstrates that lower parental warmth and more aversive/inconsistent parenting overall in the family, and toward an individual child relative to their siblings, have broad associations with child psychopathology, as measured by the general psychopathology factor. Variance in the general psychopathology factor was equally distributed between family and child levels, suggesting that children within families show considerable similarities, as well as important differences, in general psychopathology. Family- and child-level associations between parenting and general psychopathology were significant after controlling for family-level variables, such as parent mental health, family composition, and low income.

It is important to contextualize our findings in the broader literature showing that associations between parenting and child psychopathology are bidirectional (Allmann *et al.*, 2021; Belsky *et al.*, 2000; Kendler, 1996; Lengua & Kovacs, 2005; Li *et al.*, 2019; Pinquart, 2017a). Parenting may contribute to increased risk for psychopathology through behavioral, social, and relational processes (Morris *et al.*, 2017; Rothenberg *et al.*, 2020). At the same time, children's temperament (Rothbart, 2007) and behavior influence parenting (Lengua & Kovacs, 2005; Li *et al.*, 2019; Rothenberg *et al.*, 2020; Scaramella & Leve, 2004). For example, in experimental manipulations, parents behave more negatively toward children displaying more disruptive behavior (Wymbs, 2011), consistent with evocative effects, in which child characteristics elicit certain parenting behaviors (Neiderhiser *et al.*, 2004). Our results are cross-sectional, and longitudinal and behavior-genetic studies testing bidirectional associations between parenting and child general psychopathology are needed; however, our findings of associations between child-specific parenting and child psychopathology suggest potential evocative effects on parenting. In addition, our results should be interpreted considering the shared method variance, which may have inflated associations between parenting and child psychopathology.

We also found that higher child general psychopathology was associated with greater parent psychological distress, consistent with evidence that parental depression is related to child general psychopathology (Deutz *et al.*, 2020; Wade *et al.*, 2021). Psychopathology is moderately to highly heritable (Lahey *et al.*, 2011). However, genetic and environmental influences are not easily separable because children inherit an overall genetic risk for psychopathology from their parents, and the same genetic

Table 2. Factor loadings for orthogonal bifactor model

Abbreviated Item	Internalizing	General	Abbreviated Item	Externalizing	General
Internalizing			Externalizing		
Doesn't like people doesn't know	.62	.46	Cruelty/bullying/meanness	.60	.47
Afraid doing things in front of others	.44	.55	Gets in fights	.57	.48
Avoids social situations	.39	.63	Uses weapons	.59	.40
Nervous with people doesn't know	.76	.46	Physically cruel	.67	.49
Anxious meeting people	.65	.52	Sets fires	.49	.48
Fearful/anxious	.21	.78	Destroys things	.56	.49
Worries about doing better	.24	.53	Broken into house/building/car	.71	.35
Hard to stop worrying	.24	.75	Steals	.62	.37
Anxious/on edge	.12	.87	Stays out at night	.31	.44
Nervous/high-strung/tense	.08	.81	Runs away	.52	.55
When anxious, mind blank	.11	.73	Truancy	.26	.54
Unhappy/sad/depressed	-.08	.82	Careless mistakes	.37	.53
Trouble enjoying	-.04	.87	Can't concentrate/pay attention	.55	.56
No pleasure	.08	.73	Fails to finish	.46	.61
Appetite changes	.13	.53	Distractible	.59	.62
Trouble sleeping	.04	.70	Fidgets	.54	.52
Overtired/lacks energy	.03	.68	Can't stay seated	.65	.45
Feels worthless/inferior	-.05	.86	Impulsive	.57	.60
Harms self/attempts suicide	-.20	.73	Difficulty awaiting turn	.58	.47
Talks about killing self	-.22	.82	Loses temper	.41	.66
Upset leaving loved ones	.48	.49	Argues with adults	.43	.61
Worries bad happen to loved ones	.66	.52	Blames	.43	.59
Worries something cause separation from loved ones	.70	.52	Easily annoyed	.28	.68
Avoids school because separation from loved ones	.44	.53	Angry/resentful	.32	.75
Scared to sleep alone	.44	.38	Gets back at people	.46	.56
Separation nightmares	.50	.52			
Feeling sick separating from loved ones	.45	.55			

factors may predict less adaptive parenting, or may evoke different parenting behaviors (Jaffee & Price, 2007; McAdams et al., 2014). We therefore emphasize the importance of not interpreting our findings as evidence of parenting *causing* child psychopathology and that further research using genetically informed designs is needed.

For the specific internalizing and externalizing factors, children within families showed little similarity, with most of the variance being at the individual level. Higher specific child externalizing was associated with less warmth and more aversive/inconsistent parenting on average toward children in the family, as well as toward an individual child relative to their siblings (Deutz et al., 2020; Waldman et al., 2016). Our findings support results of previous studies that have used standard, non-bifactor, definitions of externalizing problems (Meunier et al., 2012) and suggest that the warmth and aversiveness/inconsistency children are exposed to, both in the overall family, and the individual parenting they receive relative to their siblings, are associated with differences in specific externalizing, net of overall psychopathology.

We did not find consistent associations between specific internalizing and what is generally considered maladaptive parenting

(less warmth, more aversive/inconsistent parenting). Instead, greater parental warmth overall in the family and toward an individual child relative to their siblings was associated with more specific internalizing problems, although follow-up analyses indicated these associations were significant in younger (4–11-year-old) but not older (12–17-year-old) children. An examination of item loadings shows that, after accounting for variance associated with the general psychopathology factor, items related to separation anxiety and social phobia continued to have relatively strong loadings on the specific internalizing factor. While unexpected, our findings are consistent with evidence that parents may show greater warmth and encouragement toward children who are more inhibited or who exhibit separation anxiety or social anxiety (Belsky et al., 2000; Muris & Merckelbach, 1998). Previous findings of associations between aversive/inconsistent parenting and child internalizing (Pinquart, 2017b) may have been driven primarily by associations with children's overall psychopathology.

Effect sizes for associations between parenting variables and both general and specific psychopathology factors were small at the child level, after family level parenting was taken into account. However, these effect sizes were consistently stronger at the family

Table 3. Multilevel regressions with psychopathology factors as dependent variables ($N = 10,605$, $K = 6434$)

Independent variable	Internalizing			Externalizing			General psychopathology		
	β	$SE(\beta)$	p	β	$SE(\beta)$	p	β	$SE(\beta)$	p
<i>Child level</i>									
Age	-0.149	0.017	<.001	-0.182	0.016	<.001	0.104	0.015	<.001
Sex (female)	0.109	0.019	<.001	-0.232	0.015	<.001	-0.032	0.020	.104
One biological parent at home	-0.005	0.022	.823	0.053	0.019	.005	0.147	0.026	<.001
<i>Parenting</i>									
Warmth	0.056	0.018	.002	-0.100	0.018	<.001	-0.135	0.017	<.001
Aversive/inconsistent	-0.020	0.016	.191	0.127	0.015	<.001	0.084	0.016	<.001
<i>Family level</i>									
Parent psychological distress	0.208	0.045	<.001	0.079	0.039	.043	0.337	0.044	<.001
Number of siblings at home	-0.163	0.034	<.001	0.047	0.035	.172	-0.074	0.022	.001
Low income	0.082	0.034	.015	0.076	0.032	.017	-0.010	0.022	.648
<i>Parenting</i>									
Mean warmth	0.175	0.038	<.001	-0.179	0.040	<.001	-0.255	0.030	<.001
Mean aversive/inconsistent	0.076	0.044	.083	0.471	0.041	<.001	0.337	0.032	<.001

Note. Bold values significant at $p < .05$.

level, ranging from small to medium. Our results are similar to those reported in a previous study of associations between differential parenting and child internalizing and externalizing problems (Boyle et al., 2004), supporting the relatively greater importance of overall parenting within the family compared to child-specific parenting in non-clinical samples. Preventive interventions that focus on family-level parenting may therefore have the greatest potential to interrupt bidirectional associations between maladaptive parenting and overall child psychopathology at the population level, though interventions targeting within-family parenting differences may provide a small additional benefit.

Limitations, strengths, and future research directions

We relied on parent report (primarily mothers), which may have inflated associations between parenting and psychopathology due to informant effects. Relatedly, parenting ratings were skewed, suggesting potential under/over-rating. We also used a brief measure of parenting, and further studies using more detailed and established questionnaire measures of parenting, along with observationally coded parenting measures would provide stronger evidence. Our study is cross-sectional and cannot determine the direction of the association between parenting and psychopathology. We did not have information on the relatedness of siblings and were unable to test genetic contributions to the association between parenting and psychopathology. Caution must be used when interpreting the meaning of the specific psychopathology factors given the relatively small proportion of variance attributable to them in our sample and that specific factors have not consistently demonstrated external validity (Deutz et al., 2020). Strengths include the use of a large, epidemiological sample, reducing sampling bias; and measuring multiple children within a household, allowing us to separate family- and child-level differences. Further research in clinical samples is needed to determine whether similar patterns of results are seen among youth with higher levels of psychopathology symptoms. Future research using longitudinal designs,

observationally coded- and/or multi-informant measures of parenting, and information on genetic relatedness is needed to further understand associations between parenting and general psychopathology.

Conclusion

Less parental warmth and more aversive and inconsistent parenting each had broad associations with overall liability for child psychopathology in our representative epidemiological sample of Ontario children. Additional research testing bidirectional associations between parenting and general psychopathology using genetically informed designs would help to understand the nature of these associations. The development and evaluation of preventive interventions focused on reducing maladaptive parenting may have important protective effects on children's overall liability for psychopathology, notwithstanding the bidirectional and genetically mediated associations between parenting and psychopathology (Forbes et al., 2019; Lahey, 2011; McAdams et al., 2014). Such interventions may have the greatest benefit by primarily targeting overall parenting within the family.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579423000202>.

Funding statement. Funding for this study was provided by the Cundill Centre for Child and Youth Depression at the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health.

Conflicts of interest. None.

References

- Achenbach, T. M., & Edelbrock, C. S. (1978). The classification of child psychopathology: A review and analysis of empirical efforts. *Psychological Bulletin*, 85(6), 1275–1301. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.85.6.1275>
- Aldao, A., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Schweizer, S. (2010). Emotion-regulation strategies across psychopathology: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30(2), 217–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2009.11.004>

- Alegre, A., Benson, M. J., & Pérez-Escoda, N. (2014). Maternal warmth and early adolescents' internalizing symptoms and externalizing behavior: Mediation via emotional insecurity. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 34(6), 712–735. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272431613501408>
- Allmann, A. E. S., Klein, D. N., & Kopala-Sibley, D. C. (2021). Bidirectional and transactional relationships between parenting styles and child symptoms of ADHD, ODD, depression, and anxiety over 6 years. *Development and Psychopathology*, 34(4), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579421000201>
- Angold, A., Costello, E. J., & Erkanli, A. (1999). Comorbidity. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 40(1), 57–87.
- Ballash, N., Leyfer, O., Buckley, A. F., & Woodruff-Borden, J. (2006). Parental control in the etiology of anxiety. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 9(2), 113–133. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-006-0007-z>
- Beauchaine, T. P., & Zisner, A. (2017). Motivation, emotion regulation, and the latent structure of psychopathology: An integrative and convergent historical perspective. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 119, 108–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2016.12.014>
- Belsky, J., Rha, J. H., & Park, S. Y. (2000). Exploring reciprocal parent and child effects in the case of child inhibition in US and Korean samples. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 24(3), 338–347. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01650250050118321>
- Bongers, I. L., Koot, H. M., Van der Ende, J., & Verhulst, F. C. (2003). The normative development of child and adolescent problem behavior. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 112(2), 179–192. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.112.2.179>
- Bornoalova, M. A., Cummings, J. R., Hunt, E., Blazei, R., Malone, S., & Iacono, W. G. (2014). Understanding the relative contributions of direct environmental effects and passive genotype-environment correlations in the association between familial risk factors and child disruptive behavior disorders. *Psychological Medicine*, 44(4), 831–844. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291713001086>
- Boylan, K., Vaillancourt, T., Boyle, M., & Szatmari, P. (2007). Comorbidity of internalizing disorders in children with oppositional defiant disorder. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 16(8), 484–494. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-007-0624-1>
- Boyle, M. H., Duncan, L., Georgiades, K., Wang, L., Comeau, J., Ferro, M. A., Van Lieshout, R. J., Szatmari, P., MacMillan, H. L., Bennett, K., Janus, M., Lipman, E. L., & Kata, A. (2019). The 2014 Ontario Child Health Study Emotional Behavioural Scales (OCHS-EBS) Part II: Psychometric adequacy for categorical measurement of selected DSM-5 disorders. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 64(6), 434–442. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0706743718808251>
- Boyle, M. H., Georgiades, K., Duncan, L., Comeau, J., & Wang, L. (2019). The 2014 Ontario Child Health Study—Methodology. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 64(4), 237–245. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0706743719833675>
- Boyle, M. H., Jenkins, J. M., Georgiades, K., Cairney, J., Duku, E., & Racine, Y. (2004). Differential-maternal parenting behavior: Estimating within- and between-family effects on children. *Child Development*, 75(5), 1457–1476. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00751.x>
- Breaux, R. P., Harvey, E. A., & Lugo-Candelas, C. I. (2016). The role of parent psychopathology in emotion socialization. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 44(4), 731–743. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-015-0062-3>
- Statistics Canada (2015). Low income lines, 2013–2014. In *Income research paper series*.
- Statistics Canada. National longitudinal survey of children and youth 1994). Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada,
- Carver, C. S., Johnson, S. L., & Timpano, K. R. (2017). Toward a functional view of the p factor in psychopathology. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 5(5), 880–889. <https://doi.org/10.1177/216770261710037>
- Caspi, A., Houts, R. M., Belsky, D. W., Goldman-Mellor, S. J., Harrington, H. L., Israel, S., Meier, M. H., Ramrakha, S., Shalev, I., Poulton, R., & Moffitt, T. E. (2014). The p factor: One general psychopathology factor in the structure of psychiatric disorders? *Clinical Psychological Science*, 2(2), 119–137. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702613497473>
- Caspi, A., & Moffitt, T. E. (2018). All for one and one for all: Mental disorders in one dimension. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 175(9), 831–844. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.17121383>
- D’Onofrio, B. M., Lahey, B. B., Turkheimer, E., & Lichtenstein, P. (2013). Critical need for family-based, quasi-experimental designs in integrating genetic and social science research. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103(1), 46–55. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2013.301252>
- Deutz, M. H. F., Geeraerts, S. B., Belsky, J., Deković, M., van Baar, A. L., Prinzie, P., & Patalay, P. (2020). General psychopathology and dysregulation profile in a longitudinal community sample: Stability, antecedents and outcomes. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, 51(1), 114–126. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10578-019-00916-2>
- Dueber, D. M. (2017). Bifactor indices calculator: A Microsoft Excel-based tool to calculate various indices relevant to bifactor CFA models. <https://doi.org/10.13023/edp.tool.01>, <https://dx.doi.org/10.13023/edp.tool.01>
- Duncan, L., Georgiades, K., Wang, L., Comeau, J., Ferro, M. A., Van Lieshout, R. J., Szatmari, P., Bennett, K., MacMillan, H. L., Lipman, E. L., Janus, M., Kata, A., & Boyle, M. H. (2018). The 2014 Ontario Child Health Study Emotional Behavioural Scales (OCHS-EBS) Part I: A checklist for dimensional measurement of selected DSM-5 disorders. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 64(6), 423–433. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0706743718808250>
- Eisenberg, N., Cumberland, A., Spinrad, T. L., Fabes, R. A., Shepard, S. A., Reiser, M., Murphy, B. C., Losoya, S. H., & Guthrie, I. K. (2001). The relations of regulation and emotionality to children’s externalizing and internalizing problem behavior. *Child Development*, 72(August), 1112–1134. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00337>
- Forbes, M. K., Rapee, R. M., & Krueger, R. F. (2019). Opportunities for the prevention of mental disorders by reducing general psychopathology in early childhood. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 119(December 2018), 103411. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2019.103411>
- Forbes, M. K., Wright, A. G. C., Markon, K. E., & Krueger, R. F. (2019). The network approach to psychopathology: Promise versus reality. *World Psychiatry*, 18(3), 272–273. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20659>
- Fraire, M. G., & Ollendick, T. H. (2013). Anxiety and oppositional defiant disorder: A transdiagnostic conceptualization. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 33(2), 229–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2012.11.004>
- Greene, R. W., Biederman, J., Zerwas, S., Monuteaux, M. C., Goring, J. C., & Faraone, S. V. (2002). Psychiatric comorbidity, family dysfunction, and social impairment in referred youth with oppositional defiant disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 159(7), 1214–1224.
- Haltigan, J. D., Aitken, M., Skilling, T., Henderson, J., Hawke, L., Battaglia, M., Strauss, J., Szatmari, P., & Andrade, B. F. (2018). “P” and “DP”: Modeling symptom-level bifactor models of psychopathology and dysregulation in clinically referred children and adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 57(6), 384–396. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2018.03.010>
- Jaffee, S. R., & Price, T. S. (2007). Gene-environment correlations: A review of the evidence and implications for prevention of mental illness. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 12(5), 432–442. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.mp.4001950>
- Jenkins, J. M., Cheung, C., Frampton, K., Rasbash, J., Boyle, M. H., & Georgiades, K. (2009). The use of multilevel modeling for the investigation of family process. *European Journal of Developmental Science*, 3(2), 131–149.
- Kendler, K. S. (1996). Parenting: A genetic-epidemiologic perspective. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 153(1), 11–20.
- Kessler, R. C., Green, J. G., Gruber, M. J., Sampson, N. A., Bromet, E., Cuitan, M., . . . , & Zaslavsky, A. M. (2010). Screening for serious mental illness in the general population with the K6 screening scale: Results from the WHO World Mental Health (WMH) survey initiative. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 19(Supplement 1), 4–22. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.310>
- Kotov, R., Krueger, R. F., Watson, D., Achenbach, T. M., Althoff, R. R., Bagby, R. M., . . . , & Zimmerman, M. (2017). The hierarchical taxonomy of psychopathology (HiTOP): A dimensional alternative to traditional nosologies. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 126(4), 454–477. <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000258>
- Laceulle, O. M., Vollebergh, W. A. M. M., & Ormel, J. (2015). The structure of psychopathology in adolescence: Replication of a general psychopathology factor in the TRAILS study. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 3(6), 850–860. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702614560750>

- Lahey, B. B. (2011). Out of the funhouse mirrors: Steps toward understanding the role of parenting in maladaptive child development. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 50(10), 975–977. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2011.06.017>
- Lahey, B. B., & D'Onofrio, B. M. (2010). All in the family: Comparing siblings to test causal hypotheses regarding environmental influences on behavior. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 19(5), 319–323. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721410383977>
- Lahey, B. B., Moore, T. M., Kaczurkin, A. N., & Zald, D. H. (2021). Hierarchical models of psychopathology: Empirical support, implications, and remaining issues. *World Psychiatry*, 20(1), 57–63. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20824>
- Lahey, B. B., Van Hulle, C. A., Singh, A. L., Waldman, I. D., & Rathouz, P. J. (2011). Higher-order genetic and environmental structure of prevalent forms of child and adolescent psychopathology. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 68(2), 181. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.192>
- Lengua, L. J., & Kovacs, E. A. (2005). Bidirectional associations between temperament and parenting and the prediction of adjustment problems in middle childhood. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 26(1), 21–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2004.10.001>
- Li, J., Bin, Willems, Y. E., Stok, F. M., Deković, M., Bartels, M., & Finkenauer, C. (2019). Parenting and self-control across early to late adolescence: A three-level meta-analysis. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 14(6), 967–1005. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691619863046>
- Lorah, J. (2018). Effect size measures for multilevel models: Definition, interpretation, and TIMSS example. *Large-Scale Assessments in Education*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40536-018-0061-2>
- Lovejoy, M. C., Weis, R., O'Hare, E., & Rubin, E. C. (1999). Development and initial validation of the Parent Behavior Inventory. *Psychological Assessment*, 11(4), 534–545. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.11.4.534>
- McAdams, T. A., Neiderhiser, J. M., Rijdsdijk, F. V., Narusyte, J., Lichtenstein, P., & Eley, T. C. (2014). Accounting for genetic and environmental confounds in associations between parent and child characteristics: A systematic review of children-of-twins studies. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140(4), 1138–1173. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036416>
- McLeod, B. D., Weisz, J. R., & Wood, J. J. (2007). Examining the association between parenting and childhood depression: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 27(8), 986–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2007.03.001>
- McLeod, B. D., Wood, J. J., & Weisz, J. R. (2007). Examining the association between parenting and childhood anxiety: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 27(2), 155–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2006.09.002>
- Meunier, J. C., Biscaglia, R., & Jenkins, J. M. (2012). Differential parenting and children's behavioral problems: Curvilinear associations and mother-father combined effects. *Developmental Psychology*, 48(4), 987–1002. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026321>
- Mills-Koonce, W. R., Willoughby, M. T., Garrett-Peters, P., Wagner, N., & Vernon-Feagans, L. (2016). The interplay among socioeconomic status, household chaos, and parenting in the prediction of child conduct problems and callous-unemotional behaviors. *Development and Psychopathology*, 28(3), 757–771. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579416000298>
- Morris, A. S., Criss, M. M., Silk, J. S., & Houtberg, B. J. (2017). The impact of parenting on emotion regulation during childhood and adolescence. *Child Development Perspectives*, 11(4), 233–238. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12238>
- Morris, A. S., Silk, J. S., Steinberg, L., Myers, S. S., & Robinson, L. R. (2007). The role of the family context in the development of emotion regulation. *Social Development*, 16(2), 361–388. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9507.2007.00389.x>
- Muris, P., & Merckelbach, H. (1998). Perceived parental rearing behaviour and anxiety disorders symptoms in normal children. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 25(6), 1199–1206. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(98\)00153-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(98)00153-6)
- Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. Mplus User's Guide, 8th edn. (2017). Los Angeles.
- Neiderhiser, J. M., Reiss, D., Pedersen, N. L., Lichtenstein, P., Spotts, E. L., Hansson, K., . . . , & Elthammer, O. (2004). Genetic and environmental influences on mothering of adolescents: A comparison of two samples. *Developmental Psychology*, 40(3), 335–351. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.40.3.335>
- Parra, G. R., DuBois, D. L., & Sher, K. J. (2006). Investigation of profiles of risk factors for adolescent psychopathology: A person-centered approach. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology: The Official Journal for the Society of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, American Psychological Association, Division*, 35(3), 386–402. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15374424jccp3503_4
- Patalay, P., Fonagy, P., Deighton, J., Belsky, J., Vostanis, P., & Wolpert, M. (2015). A general psychopathology factor in early adolescence. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 207(1), 15–22. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.114.149591>
- Patterson, G. R. (1986). Performance models for antisocial boys. *American Psychologist*, 41(4), 432–444.
- Pesenti-Gritti, P., Spatola, C. A. M., Fagnani, C., Ogliari, A., Patriarca, V., Stazi, M. A., & Battaglia, M. (2008). The co-occurrence between internalizing and externalizing behaviors: A general population twin study. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 17(2), 82–92. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-007-0639-7>
- Pinquart, M. (2017a). Associations of parenting dimensions and styles with externalizing problems of children and adolescents: An updated meta-analysis. *Developmental Psychology*, 53(5), 873–932. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000295>
- Pinquart, M. (2017b). Associations of parenting dimensions and styles with internalizing symptoms in children and adolescents: A meta-analysis. *Marriage and Family Review*, 53(7), 613–640. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01494929.2016.1247761>
- Rodriguez, A., Reise, S. P., & Haviland, M. G. (2016). Evaluating bifactor models: Calculating and interpreting statistical indices. *Psychological Methods*, 21(2), 137–150. <https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000045>
- Rothbart, M. K. (2007). Temperament, development, and personality. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 16(4), 207–212.
- Rothenberg, W. A., Lansford, J. E., Alampay, L. P., Al-Hassan, S. M., Bacchini, D., Bornstein, M. H., . . . , & Yotanyamaneewong, S. (2020). Examining effects of mother and father warmth and control on child externalizing and internalizing problems from age 8 to 13 in nine countries. *Development and Psychopathology*, 32(3), 1113–1137. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579419001214>
- Scaramella, L. V., & Leve, L. D. (2004). Clarifying parent-child reciprocities during early childhood: The early childhood coercion model. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 7(2), 89–107.
- Schwartz, O. S., Simmons, J. G., Whittle, S., Byrne, M. L., Yap, M. B. H., Sheeber, L. B., & Allen, N. B. (2017). Affective parenting behaviors, adolescent depression, and brain development: A review of findings from the Orygen adolescent development study. *Child Development Perspectives*, 11(2), 90–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12215>
- Sim, A., & Georgiades, K. (2022). Neighbourhood and family correlates of immigrant children's mental health: A population-based cross-sectional study in Canada. *BMC Psychiatry*, 22(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-022-04096-7>
- Tulchinsky, T. H., & Varavikova, E. A. (2014). Measuring and evaluating the health of a population. In *The new public health* (pp. 113–169). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-012703350-1/50005-x>
- Wade, M., Plamondon, A., & Jenkins, J. M. (2021). A family socialization model of transdiagnostic risk for psychopathology in preschool children. *Research on Child and Adolescent Psychopathology*, 49(8), 975–988. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-021-00789-x>
- Waldman, I. D., Poore, H. E., van Hulle, C., Rathouz, P. J., & Lahey, B. B. (2016). External validity of a hierarchical dimensional model of child and adolescent psychopathology: Tests using confirmatory factor analyses and multivariate behavior genetic analyses. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 125(8), 1053–1066. <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000183>
- Wang, F. L., Eisenberg, N., Valiente, C., & Spinrad, T. L. (2016). Role of temperament in early adolescent pure and co-occurring internalizing and externalizing problems using a bifactor model: Moderation by parenting and gender. *Development and Psychopathology*, 28(4), 1487–1504. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579415001224>

- Wang, M. Te, Dishion, T. J., Stormshak, E. A., & Willett, J. B.** (2011). Trajectories of family management practices and early adolescent behavioral outcomes. *Developmental Psychology, 47*(5), 1324–1341. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024026>
- Wood, J. J., McLeod, B. D., Sigman, M., Hwang, W. C., & Chu, B. C.** (2003). Parenting and childhood anxiety: Theory, empirical findings, and future directions. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 44*(1), 134–151. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-7610.00106>
- Wymbs, B. T.** (2011). Mechanisms underlying the influence of disruptive child behavior on interparental communication. *Journal of Family Psychology, 25*(6), 873–884. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025372>
- Yap, M. B. H., Pilkington, P. D., Ryan, S. M., & Jorm, A. F.** (2014). Parental factors associated with depression and anxiety in young people: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 156*, 8–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2013.11.007>