




## Regular Article

# Vagal flexibility to negative emotions moderates the relations between environmental risk and adjustment problems in childhood

Elisa Ugarte<sup>1,2</sup> , Jonas G. Miller<sup>3</sup> , David G. Weissman<sup>4</sup>  and Paul D. Hastings<sup>1,5,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Human Ecology, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA, <sup>2</sup>Center for Mind & Brain, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA,

<sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA, <sup>4</sup>Department of Psychology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA and <sup>5</sup>Department of Psychology, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA

### Abstract

Neurobiological and social-contextual influences shape children's adjustment, yet limited biopsychosocial studies have integrated temporal features when modeling physiological regulation of emotion. This study explored whether a common underlying pattern of non-linear change in respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA) across emotional scenarios characterized 4–6 year-old children's parasympathetic reactivity (N = 180). Additionally, we tested whether dynamic RSA reactivity was an index of neurobiological susceptibility or a diathesis in the association between socioeconomic status, authoritarian parenting, and the development of externalizing problems (EP) and internalizing problems over two years. There was a shared RSA pattern across all emotions, characterized by more initial RSA suppression and a subsequent return toward baseline, which we call vagal flexibility (VF). VF interacted with parenting to predict EP. More authoritarian parenting predicted increased EP two years later only when VF was low; conversely, when VF was very high, authoritarian mothers reported that their children had fewer EP. Altogether, children's patterns of dynamic RSA change to negative emotions can be characterized by a higher order factor, and the nature by which VF contributes to EP depends on maternal socialization practices, with low VF augmenting and high VF buffering children against the effects of authoritarian parenting.

**Keywords:** Authoritarian parenting; biopsychosocial models; Externalizing problems; Internalizing problems; Respiratory sinus arrhythmia

(Received 14 August 2020; revised 27 February 2021; accepted 2 July 2021; First Published online 6 December 2021)

Developmental psychopathology emphasizes that procedures and models incorporating multiple levels of analyses are necessary for understanding the development of mental health in children (Cicchetti, 2008; Kozak & Cuthbert, 2016). Biological and experiential factors interact in complex ways to shape development over time, and in order to understand and effectively address children's mental health problems, we need to properly assess, evaluate, and measure both environment and neurobiology. Considerable biopsychosocial research has focused on aspects of children's physiological functioning as dispositional characteristics that may moderate the effects of contextual factors on the development of psychopathology (Belsky & Pluess, 2016). In most studies, however, the measurement and quantification of physiological reactivity have not reflected the level of complexity or sophistication inherent in theoretical models of the links between physiology and emotion regulation (Hastings & Kahle, 2019), which limits the extent to which biopsychosocial moderation models have been appropriately tested.

Parasympathetic nervous system (PNS) activity plays an essential role in regulatory processes, serving restorative functions by down-regulating autonomic arousal and supporting an orienting response without recruiting the metabolically demanding

sympathetic nervous system (SNS; Porges, 2003). PNS activity has mainly been studied using respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA), a measure of heart rate variability that corresponds with respiration and serves as an index of parasympathetic control over cardiac activity. RSA reactivity, in the form of increases and decreases in RSA to different stimuli, has been regarded as a critical component of emotion regulation to affective demands (Hastings et al., 2014). Most studies of PNS reactivity as an index of regulation have examined static change scores of RSA from baseline to a task (Burt & Obradović, 2013). However, as described by Dennis-Tiwari (2019), emotion regulation is dynamic, such that it needs to be understood as change that occurs over seconds, developmental periods, and across contexts. In parallel, then, RSA reactivity needs to be understood as a dynamic, non-linear process rather than static change (Beauchaine et al., 2019; Burt & Obradović, 2013; Miller et al., 2013). Yet, whether reactivity is specific to or consistent across different affective stimuli like distinguishable negative emotions is unclear (Fortunato et al., 2013).

Although many studies have examined the potential moderating role of RSA reactivity on the association of contextual factors on psychopathology, results are far from consistent (El-Sheikh & Whitson, 2006; Obradović et al., 2011; Shader et al., 2018; Shanahan et al., 2014). A potential explanation resides in how reactivity is conceptualized and assessed, as traditional methods for quantifying PNS change such as static change scores are limited in their ability to capture physiological reactivity as a dynamic

**Corresponding author:** Elisa Ugarte, email: [eugarte@ucdavis.edu](mailto:eugarte@ucdavis.edu)

**Cite this article:** Ugarte, E., et al. (2023). Vagal flexibility to negative emotions moderates the relations between environmental risk and adjustment problems in childhood. *Development and Psychopathology* 35: 1051–1068, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579421000912>

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



process (Hastings *et al.*, 2014; Obradovic, 2012). Yet, the use of dynamic, non-linear approaches to RSA reactivity has not been examined in the context of biopsychosocial models. Further, the extent to which children evidence consistent patterns of dynamic RSA reactivity across tasks as one would expect if it reflects a trait-like, temperamental susceptibility to environmental influences also has received relatively less attention. Therefore, the goals of this study were two-fold. First, we examined children's underlying patterns of non-linear change in RSA across emotional scenarios to identify whether vagal flexibility (VF) could be utilized as a measure of individual differences in parasympathetic reactivity. Second, we examined the extent to which such a pattern of RSA reactivity moderated the association between authoritarian parenting and socioeconomic status (SES) and the development of internalizing (IP) and externalizing (EP) problems across two years.

### A dynamic approach to modeling RSA reactivity

According to Polyvagal Theory (Porges 2003, 2007), the myelinated vagus promotes affiliative behaviors and social engagement by down-regulating the SNS, supporting adequate physiological arousal to stimuli and facilitating an orienting response (Beauchaine, 2012; Hastings *et al.*, 2014). The vagus connects and rapidly carries information between the central nervous system and PNS-innervated peripheral tissue through efferent and afferent nerve signals, affecting numerous somatic targets including the cardiopulmonary system, from which RSA can be assessed to index PNS activity. Extensive research has focused on baseline RSA, or PNS activity in a wakeful relaxed state (Hastings *et al.*, 2014; Zisner & Beauchaine, 2016), and RSA reactivity to stimuli, which are jointly thought to reflect the capacity for flexible physiological self-regulation that underlies effective emotion regulation (Porges, 2003, 2007; Porges & Furman, 2011). According to Porges (2003), RSA reactivity reflects a process called "neuroception", through which an individual implicitly evaluates the meaning or safety of a situation, which occurs outside of conscious awareness. Neuroception is the sub-conscious appraisal of social situations that shapes the autonomic nervous response (ANS) response and consequently individuals' expression and regulation of emotions and social behavior. Polyvagal theory suggests that mild to moderate RSA withdrawal to stimuli, which usually accelerates heart rate, supports attention and orienting to salient cues that may indicate challenge, whereas RSA augmentation (or applying the vagal brake), which typically slows heart rate, facilitates a calm state for social engagement (Hastings *et al.*, 2008; Porges, 2007).

As such, a large body of research has examined these two directions of RSA reactivity to contextual challenges and emotional stimuli in relation to children's emotion reactivity, regulation, and IP and EP (Burt & Obradović, 2013; Graziano & Derefinko, 2013). Children with more EP and IP have been found to show atypical patterns of PNS activity relative to children with fewer problems; however, specific studies and meta-analyses have suggested that these findings are fairly inconsistent. For example, in separate meta-analyses, Graziano and Derefinko (2013) concluded that reduced RSA withdrawal to a variety of stimuli was associated with both more EP and more IP, yet Beauchaine and colleagues (2019) found that greater RSA withdrawal was associated with more EP but not more IP. These inconsistencies may be partially attributable to the samples and methodologies of the particular studies included in the meta-analyses, including how RSA reactivity has been assessed in those studies.

This body of research has mainly relied on static measures of RSA reactivity, such as arithmetic or residual change scores from baseline RSA to RSA during a challenge or stimulus exposure. However, researchers are increasingly recognizing that these traditional methods of quantifying PNS change are limited in their ability to capture physiological reactivity as a dynamic process (Brooker & Buss, 2010). Burt and Obradovic (2013) raised two methodological issues: (1) the inability of these statistical approaches to model measurement error and account for unreliability in physiological measures, and (2) their lack of temporal sensitivity to fluctuations in PNS activity that unfold over time in response to stimuli. Thus, a growing number of studies have used alternative analytic methods capable of capturing temporal dynamics of the physiology of emotion reactivity and regulation (Hastings & Kahle, 2019; Hastings *et al.*, 2014). For example, researchers have used latent growth curves to model non-linear changes of RSA that unfold over the course of emotional stimuli (Brooker & Buss, 2010; Fortunato *et al.*, 2013; Miller *et al.*, 2013). This dynamic perspective on parasympathetic reactivity and recovery has been termed vagal flexibility (Miller *et al.*, 2015). Using latent basis growth curve models (LGCM), Miller *et al.* (2013) found that VF, or dynamic decreases and increases of parasympathetic influence in response to emotional stimuli, was a better marker of parasympathetic contribution to emotion regulation than simple change scores. In four and six-year-old children, greater VF to an anger scenario was positively associated with better self-control of aggression (Miller *et al.*, 2013), while greater VF to sadness scenarios predicted greater empathy and prosociality concurrently and two years later (Miller *et al.*, 2016). Using a similar time-course approach, Cui and colleagues (2015) found that greater VF while recalling an anger event was concurrently related to better emotion regulation and more prosocial behaviors in adolescents.

Whether VF is a general or trait-like characteristic that a child is likely to evidence across discrete emotional challenges or specific to different stimuli like distinguishable negative emotions (e.g., sadness, anger, fear) has begun to be examined. Using LCGM, Fortunato and colleagues (2013) modeled RSA reactivity to different emotion-inducing video clips and found that inter-individual differences in children's non-linear RSA change were strongly correlated among negative emotions. In another study, RSA reactivity to sadness, fear, and anger were also correlated with each other, but not with RSA reactivity to happiness (Gatzke-Kopp *et al.*, 2015). These studies suggest that children may manifest a shared VF pattern across relatively similar challenges (e.g., passively engaging with negatively emotional stimuli).

This study builds on these findings by assessing dynamic RSA reactivity to negative emotions to identify whether a common, higher-order factor describes children's RSA responses across emotional stimuli. RSA reactivity may reflect individual differences in temperamental traits such as emotional and attentional reactivity (Rothbart, 2007). Some models of temperament and emotion focus on underlying motivational systems guiding behavior, which differ across negative emotions (Hane *et al.*, 2008). According to these models, children's physiological response may be expected to load onto distinct factors of avoidant (sadness and fear) and approach (anger) motivated emotions (Fortunato *et al.*, 2013). Conversely, Rothbart's model of temperament considers anger, fear, and sadness as subordinate dimensions of negative affectivity (2007). Under this theoretical lens, a general physiological response across negative emotions could underlie a proneness to flexibly regulate arousal in response to negative stimuli, a critical aspect of trait-like individual difference in emotion regulation

(Muhtadie et al., 2015; Porges, 2007). Thus, the first goal of this study was to examine whether VF, a dynamic measure of parasympathetic reactivity, was common across three negative emotions guided by two different motivational systems.

### RSA reactivity in biopsychosocial models of development

While studies have shown that VF to specific emotions is associated with children's feelings and behaviors (Brooker & Buss, 2010; Cui et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2013, 2016), research examining VF in the context of biopsychosocial models is missing in the literature (Miller & Hastings, 2019). To our knowledge, there has yet to be a study using a dynamic approach to parasympathetic change either within or across multiple tasks in interaction with environmental inputs predicting children's emotional and behavioral problems, although there is considerable theoretical justification for expecting such biopsychosocial processes (Cicchetti & Toth, 2009). The long-standing diathesis-stress model (Gottesman & Shields, 1967; Zuckerman, 1999) states that children with dispositional vulnerabilities may develop more problems than their counterparts when exposed to disadvantage. The integrated theory of neurobiological susceptibility (Ellis et al., 2011) introduced a new conceptualization of dispositional characteristics, such as autonomic nervous system reactivity, conferring not vulnerability but greater plasticity or capacity for change in response to context, such that those same children prone to manifesting more problems in disadvantageous contexts would be likely to fare better than average in advantageous contexts (Belsky, 1997; Belsky et al., 2007; Boyce & Ellis, 2005). Past studies of RSA reactivity to emotional stimuli or affective challenges and environmental factors predicting children's adjustment have provided support for either or both of these models (Obradović et al., 2010; Shanahan et al., 2014; Wagner et al., 2018b).

For example, less RSA reactivity (less withdrawal) to emotional video stimuli has been found to act as a differential susceptibility factor, associated with more problems in contexts of greater maternal rejection (Wagner et al., 2018b) marital conflict (Obradović et al., 2011), and community violence (Cui et al., 2019), and with fewer problems in less aversive contexts, whereas these environmental factors were not associated with problems for greater RSA withdrawal. Conversely, El-Sheikh et al. (2001), El-Sheikh and Whitson (2006) and Katz and Gottman (1997) found that less RSA reactivity acted as a diathesis, being associated with more problems for children who experienced more marital conflict, but not fewer problems than average in the absence of conflict; marital conflict did not predict problems when RSA withdrawal was greater. Other studies have failed to find that RSA reactivity moderated associations between environmental factors and adjustment (e.g., Eisenberg et al., 2012). At least three challenges in biopsychosocial research may contribute to such discrepancies in findings.

First, as argued previously, static change scores from baseline to emotional task may not provide the best or most appropriate measure of physiological change. RSA reactivity to affective challenges may be better represented as dynamic patterns of change over time (Hastings et al., 2014; Burt & Obradović, 2013). Second, parasympathetic regulation may confer susceptibility in general, to a broad array of environment factors, or more narrowly, to specific features of the environment, which Belsky and Pleuss (2009) conceptualized as domain-general versus domain-specific plasticity, respectively. A third, and similar, challenge is determining whether the interactive effects of PNS reactivity and environmental factors

differ depending on the observed outcome or domain of functioning, for example, IP or EP.

Expanding on the second challenge, the neurobiological susceptibility model treats both environmental conditions and reactive physiology as uniform processes when predicting maladjustment; hence, read at its broadest level, it proposes domain-general plasticity. However, it is possible that certain environmental conditions will interact differently with children's PNS responsivity to shape development (Boyce, 2016). Two such conditions that have been the focus of considerable research in developmental psychopathology are authoritarian parenting style (Pinquart 2017a, 2017b), which can be seen as more proximal and interpersonal for a child's experiences, and lack of family socioeconomic resources, which can be seen as more distal or as a setting condition for a child (Korous et al., 2018; Peverill et al., 2021). If similar patterns of biopsychosocial interactions between parasympathetic reactivity and both authoritarian parenting and SES emerge, it could be considered as tentative evidence for domain general plasticity rather than specificity.

Authoritarian parenting style is characterized by low flexibility or responsiveness and high control, power assertion, punitiveness, and valuing of obedience and respect for authority (Grusec, 2011; Maccoby & Martin, 1983). This particular parenting style is thought to provide a negative emotional climate for the parent-child relationship, reducing the effectiveness of parental socialization practices (Darling & Steinberg, 1993). Interactions characterized by greater control, punishment and negativity arouse children's negative affect without providing sufficient support, increasing emotion regulation problems and IP and EP (Eisenberg et al., 2010). Families in lower SES households experience a range of stressors that can undermine the quality of the parent-child relationship and increase controlling and punitive parenting (Conger & Conger, 2008). Yet, parents' childrearing behavior does not fully explain the contribution of SES to children's psychopathology. As discussed by Peverill and colleagues (2021), children from low-SES backgrounds are more likely to live in dangerous or substandard neighborhoods (Flouri & Sarmadi, 2016), lack adequate healthcare (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002) and experience food insecurity (Slopen et al., 2010), which are also risk factors associated with the development of EP and IP during early childhood (Korous et al., 2018).

It remains unknown whether children with a particular parasympathetic response profile will be susceptible to the adverse effects of these two environmental stressors to similar degrees. Studies have rarely examined how RSA reactivity moderates aspects of parenting and SES in the same model without creating cumulative risk scores that encompass both conditions (Li et al., 2019; Obradović et al., 2010). Notably, Conrath and colleagues (2013) found that RSA withdrawal interacted solely with caregiver behavior, but not with economic stress, to predict behavioral dysregulation. Other studies have found evidence for RSA reactivity interacting with SES to predict physical health while controlling for parenting (Hagan et al., 2016) and for RSA reactivity interacting with intrusive parenting to predict problems while controlling for SES (Rudd et al., 2017). Thus, it is plausible that RSA reactivity may act as a domain-general susceptibility factor, at least for these two types of environmental factors.

Considering the third challenge, it is unclear whether distinguishable aspects of functioning are similarly predicted by the interactive effects of RSA reactivity and environmental factors. Biopsychosocial studies that have examined RSA reactivity as a moderator of associations between environment and both IP

and EP have not produced consistent effects. Some studies have found interaction effects for both aspects of problems (Katz & Gottman, 1997) while others have found interaction effects only for one (Li et al., 2019; Mclaughlin et al., 2014; Obradović et al., 2011). Others have found gender differences in whether interaction effects predict IP or EP (Gray et al., 2017; Rudd et al., 2017), and temporal differences in whether effects predict IP or EP concurrently or prospectively (El-Sheikh et al., 2001; El-Sheikh & Whitson, 2006).

Although these three challenges make it difficult to infer from past studies how PNS regulation should be expected to predict children's adjustment in the context of environmental challenges, some likely patterns are evident. We expected low VF to negative emotion-inducing stimuli to be a marker of susceptibility. As suggested by polyvagal theory, children with mild RSA withdrawal or augmentation might perceive emotionally laden situations as safe contexts for engagement, which could pose a risk in social contexts characterized by punitive or strictly controlling parenting or unsafe neighborhoods. Further, low VF might indicate a poor dispositional capacity to flexibly modulate arousal, hindering emotion regulation and thus prompting children to rely on external sources of regulation. Being more dependent on external influences, these same children would be expected to thrive when raised in resource enriched environments, with warmer, more positive, and more supportive parent child interactions. Conversely, stronger VF might support more effective regulation of emotion, serving as a buffer from emotionally challenging contexts, but also reducing the potential influences of socializing experiences and contexts. Therefore, the second goal of this study was to investigate low VF as a potential susceptibility or sensitivity factor to the influence of authoritarian parenting and family socioeconomic resources for the development of EP and IP throughout early and middle childhood.

### The current study

Comprehensively, the current literature on biopsychosocial models of PNS reactivity as a moderator of environmental influences in the development of IP and EP exhibits substantial variability across studies. A potential reason lies in the use of static modeling of RSA reactivity, which does not capture the temporal, non-linear sensitivity of the PNS in response to stimuli (Miller et al., 2016). Based on previous findings using analytic methods capturing between-person differences in the temporal variation of PNS reactivity to specific negative emotions, we used the factor of curves model (FOCUS; McArdle & Epstein, 1987) to explore a higher order factor of RSA reactivity to three emotions. We hypothesized that VF would be characterized by a single, latent factor among all emotions. Second, and based on polyvagal theory, we explored whether VF would interact in ways consistent with neurobiological susceptibility in the associations between context and the development of IP and EP in the transition from early to middle childhood, expecting that low VF could potentially be a susceptibility factor. Specifically, we examined whether VF moderated the associations between both mother's authoritarian behaviors and family SES and children's development of IP and EP over two years.

## Methods

### Participants

This study used data from a study of biopsychosocial processes contributing to the development of children at risk for EP, which

was conducted from 2005 to 2010. At time 1 (T1), 180 children (95 boys, 85 girls) and their mothers were recruited in a large city in Canada through direct mailing or advertisement in preschool and elementary schools. Children's age ranged from 4.0–4.9 years ( $n = 98$ ) or 6.0–6.9 years ( $n = 82$ ) at screening, although 12 children passed their 5<sup>th</sup> or 7<sup>th</sup> birthday before the lab visit ( $M_{age} = 5.58$ ,  $SD = 1.10$ ). Families were predominantly Caucasian (78.7%), with fewer identifying as Latinx/Hispanic, Black, Asian, mixed ethnicity, or other; lived in opposite-sex two-parent households (72%; 28% single-mother households); and spoke English (57.2%) or French (23.9%) as their first language. Families were, on average, of middle SES, with considerable variability ( $M = \$79,700$  CAD,  $SD = \$43,470$ , Mode = \$50–60,000, range from less than \$10,000 to over \$220,000). Half of mothers (54.5%) and fathers (46.1%) had some college or an undergraduate degree. All children lived with their mothers and had no identified physical or cognitive challenges.

To obtain a more socioeconomically diverse sample, direct mailings targeted lower-income neighborhoods. To oversample children with externalizing symptoms, some advertisements targeted families of children with difficult, disruptive or aggressive behaviors. Mothers responded to a subset of items from the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL; Achenbach & Rescorla, 2000) during a telephone screening interview, and 47.8% of the sample had externalizing and/or aggression problem T-scores equal to or above 60 at screening. Mothers were financially compensated with \$75 for their participation at both Time 1 and 2, and children received a t-shirt at the end of each visit. At time 2 (T2; 24 months later), 152 families (81 boys, 71 girls;  $M = 7.09$  years,  $SD = 1.13$ ) returned for a follow-up visit.

### Procedure

At time 1 (T1), children and their mothers attended a 3-hour laboratory assessment conducted in the family's preferred language, either English ( $n = 146$ ) or French ( $n = 34$ ). Prior to this visit, mothers were mailed consent forms for them to review, as well as a set of questionnaires including the Parenting Style and Dimensions Questionnaire and the full version of the age-appropriate CBCL (either preschool, 1.5–5 years, or school age, 6–18 years). One hour into the laboratory visit, cardiac monitors were attached to the children's chest to record inter-beat intervals (IBI). After they were comfortable with the monitors, children's cardiac activity was recorded while seated during three baseline procedures lasting a total of four minutes: listening to soothing music (1 min), watching a calming video (2 min), and sitting quietly (1min). Mothers then left the room and children watched a video presenting a series of emotional vignettes; the mood induction videos lasted a total of 7.5 minutes. At T2 mothers completed the school-age version of the CBCL.

### Measures

#### Authoritarian parenting

At T1, Mothers completed the Parenting Styles and Dimensions Questionnaire (Robinson et al., 2001) to assess their authoritarian parenting style. This questionnaire contains 24 items measuring levels of verbal hostility (i.e., "I yell or shout when my child misbehaves"), corporal punishment (i.e., "I slap my child when he or she misbehaves"), punitive or non-reasoning strategies (i.e., "I take away privileges without much explanation"), and directedness (i.e., "I scold and criticize to make my child improve"), generating an overall authoritarian score. Mothers endorsed items on a scale

ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (always). The overall scale of authoritarian parenting showed good internal consistency, with  $\alpha = 0.79$ . With a mean score of 2.08, mothers reported that, on average, they used all 24 of these behaviors “once in a while,” or a subset of behaviors more frequently, which is comparable with previous studies that used the PSDQ with community samples (Robinson et al., 1995; Roger et al., 2012; Sandstrom, 2007).

#### *Socioeconomic status*

At T1, mothers reported on their family income to the nearest \$10,000 increment, their household roster, and their achieved level of education, as well as the father’s achieved level of education in two parent households. Family income was reported on an 18-point scale, ranging from 1 (less than 10,000 CAD) to 18 (more than 220,000 CAD). Income-to-needs ratio was calculated by dividing their income with the income value corresponding with the Canadian federal poverty line for a family of that size for the year of data collection (2005). Income-to-needs ratios ranged from 0.13 to 8.70 ( $M = 2.64$ ,  $SD = 1.69$ ). Ratios of less than 1 indicate poverty and ratios from 1 to 2 indicate economic disadvantage (100% and 200% of the federal poverty line, respectively; U.S. Department of Commerce, 2015); 45.5% of families lived at or below 200% of the federal poverty line.

Maximum achieved education in the family was calculated by selecting the highest achieved education between mother and father on a 6-point scale score that ranged from (1) completed high school (grade 11, in Quebec) to (6) Ph.D., M.D., J.D. or similar post-graduate degree. 16.7% of the sample had no more than a high school degree, 30% had a technical or unfinished college degree, 38.9% had an undergraduate degree, and 14.4% has a postgraduate degree. Scores for these two measures were significantly correlated,  $r = .44$ ,  $p < .001$ ; therefore the two scores were standardized, reversed, and then averaged to provide a single score for socioeconomic resources in which higher scores reflected lower SES.

#### *Child behavior checklist*

At T1 and T2, mothers completed the full version of the CBCL, with the form matched to the child’s age. The preschool form (ages 1.5–5) was used for younger children at T1, and the school age form (ages 6–18) used for older children at T1 and all children at T2 (Achenbach & Rescorla, 2000). The CBCL is the most extensively used instrument for assessing children’s maladjustment at different developmental stages and has good reliability and validity. The broad-band internalizing (IP) and externalizing (EP) problems scores were used for these analyses (in this sample, all  $\alpha > .71$ ). Because the preschool and school-age forms of the CBCL include different numbers of items in their IP and EP scores, raw scores are not comparable between them. Therefore, the CBCL broadband T-scores for EP and IP, which are age- and gender-standardized based on population norms, were used in all analyses.

#### *Emotional vignettes*

Children’s cardiac activity was recorded while they watched the Mood Induction Stimulus for Children (MISC; Cole et al., 1990). The MISC showed a series of 60s emotionally evocative scenarios, presented as a series of images like a comic strip with narration, of a gender-matched child and the child’s parent experiencing situations in which the child felt sadness, fear and anger. Emotions were conveyed through the character’s facial expressions, speech content and intonation, as well as evocative musical scores. Each vignette started with a 15s introduction of the scene with neutral or mildly positive tone. In the next 15s, the emotional

content of the scenario was introduced, which intensified in the next 15s segment. Each scenario was then resolved in a 15s segment with mildly positive tone. Between sequential vignettes, there was a 15s scene of shimmering stars and harp music. The three vignettes included in the current analyses were presented in a fixed order for all children, first sadness, then fear, then anger.


#### *Cardiac data*

Cardiac interbeat intervals (IBI) were recorded using MiniLogger Series 2000, an ambulatory monitor with a sampling rate of 250 Hz that was attached to children’s upper chest with two adhesive electrodes. IBI data were edited and analyzed using Mxedit software (Delta Biometrics, Inc., Bethesda, MD). Raw IBIs were inspected and edited by reliable, trained editors following recommended best practices (Berntson et al., 1997). There were 154 children with usable IBI data, and RSA was computed using the Porges-Byrne algorithm in Mxedit (Porges & Byrne, 1992), which applies a 21-point moving polynomial filter to capture variability in children’s IBI at the natural frequency range of young children’s respiration (0.24 to 1.04 Hz). Baseline RSA was calculated as the average of the RSA score for each of the three baseline activities, which were highly inter-correlated (all  $r > .85$ ). The four segments of each emotional vignette were examined as 15s epochs for calculating RSA.

#### *Analytic strategy*

Cardiac data was missing for 26 children due to refusal to wear ambulatory monitors, excessive movement or experimenter error resulting in unusable data. Children with and without missing cardiac data were not different in terms of age, SES, authoritarian parenting, EP, or IP, although more girls were missing cardiac data in comparison to boys ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 4.02$ ,  $p = .045$ ). Due to attrition or failure to complete the CBCL, 32 families were missing EP and IP data at T2. Little’s MCAR test showed that the data were missing completely at random ( $\chi^2_{(73)} = 75.88$ ,  $p = .386$ ). We used full information maximum likelihood (FIML) because it is the most conservative, flexible and least biased approach to handling missing data when data are missing completely at random (Enders, 2001; Enders & Bandalos, 2001). Three outliers ( $\pm 3 SD$  from the mean) were identified for baseline RSA and authoritarian parenting and winsorized prior to analysis (Wilcox, 2012).

To explore whether VF was a general physiological response across three negative emotions, we specified a Factor of Curves (FOCUS) model (McArdle & Epstein, 1987) using the lavaan package in R (Rosseel, 2012). This model allowed us to probe whether there was a common source to each physiological process and has more power than other multivariate LGMS (i.e., associative univariate growth models) to detect interindividual differences in change (Isiordia & Ferrer, 2018). A second-order growth curve model with two levels was fit to the data. The first level consisted of univariate latent growth curves that represent the latent trajectories or patterns of growth of each physiological response. Each curve had an initial intercept that represented RSA during the first epoch, the introductory portion of each scenario, and a slope that represented how RSA changed across the course of the subsequent 3 epochs in the emotional scenario. At the second level, latent factors were modeled to assess a higher order factor which captured what was shared among each lower-order process, or physiological trajectory. In other words, the second level intercept and slope represented the extent to which there was common RSA for the three introductory epochs and similar changes in RSA across the three scenarios of negative emotion. Therefore, high order factors can be



**Figure 1.** A path diagram of the Factor of Curves (FOCUS) model used to model vagal flexibility. I = intercept, S = slope.

interpreted as reflecting core processes, or core physiological responses among all curves (Isiordia & Ferrer, 2018).

The proposed FOCUS model is shown in Figure 1. Based on prior work, we specified a latent basis growth model for each emotion at the first level (Miller et al., 2013, 2016). For each vignette, the intercept was set to represent initial RSA during neutral content section (epoch 1) and was fixed to 1 for all scenarios. Based on the lower mean RSA during the first emotional content (epoch 2, see Table 2), in comparison to the neutral content, the slope factor loadings for the first two epochs of each vignette were set to 0 and to -1, representing initial RSA suppression. The slope factor loadings for the emotion continuation  $\lambda_3$  (epoch 3) and positive resolution  $\lambda_4$  (epoch 4) sections of the emotion induction videos were freely estimated from the data in order to model a potential nonlinear pattern of RSA change (McArdle & Epstein, 1987). We constrained the variances of the intercept  $\sigma_I^2$  and slope  $\sigma_S^2$  to be equal across emotions, and residual variances  $\sigma_e^2$  to be equal within each emotion (Isiordia & Ferrer, 2018). In line with Miller et al. (2013), constraining residual variances of the anger vignette to be equal across epochs significantly worsened the fit of the model ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 15.52, p < .001$ ) and the resulting estimated latent variance for anger was negative in an exploratory, univariate LGCM. Upon inspection, the estimated residual variance for the second epoch was not significantly different from 0 ( $b = 0.154, p = .246$ ), therefore it was constrained to zero. The resulting parameters estimated at the lower level include intercept means ( $\mu_{SI}, \mu_{fI}, \mu_{aI}$ ) and slope means ( $\mu_{SS}, \mu_{fS}, \mu_{aS}$ ) for sadness, fear, and anger, respectively.

At the second level, we specified the intercept factor with sadness serving as reference by fixing it to 1 and estimating the remaining loadings,  $\alpha_{of}$  for fear and  $\alpha_{oa}$  for anger, on the second level intercept factor. Because we hypothesized that physiological

responses to negative emotions could be characterized by a core process in children, we set the loading of each slope to 1, such that the three latent slopes for emotion contributed equally to the second level slope factor. We then compared this model to a model in which the three emotion slope loadings on the second-order slope factor were free to vary. Because we were interested in examining VF as a source of individual differences in children’s response to their home environment, we calculated the variance of RSA intercept  $\sigma_{0F}^2$  and RSA slope  $\sigma_{SF}^2$  with the latter representing inter-individual differences in VF. The covariance  $\sigma_{0FSF}$  between intercept and slope was also examined. Finally, we tested our hypothesis using model fit indices;  $\chi^2$ , the comparative fit index (CFI; Bentler, 1990) and the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA; Browne & Cudeck, 1992). Model fit was considered good if CFI and TLI were greater than .97, RMSEA of less than .05, with the upper-bound of its confidence interval of less than .08, and a non-significant  $p$  value for  $\chi^2$ .

In addition to modeling VF to negative emotion-inducing scenarios, we were interested in examining our hypothesis regarding the moderating role of VF in the associations between environmental risks and children’s development of EP and IP, controlling for child’s sex and age. We extracted the value for the second level latent intercept and slope for each participant and conducted a path analysis using Mplus version 7.5 (Muthen & Muthen, 1998–2015). All environmental risk predictor variables and covariates were mean-centered prior to analyses and to computing interactions. We fitted a model that included predictive paths from both predictors at T1 and their interaction terms (authoritarian, SES, authoritarian x VF, and SES x VF) to both EP and IP at T1 and T2. This model also included stability paths for EP and IP from T1 to T2, and significant covariances based on correlation results and between predictors, and interaction variables. Lastly, we

**Table 1.** Descriptive Statistics for Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia (RSA) During Negative Emotion Induction Videos

Epoch	Sadness			Fear			Anger		
	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	Range	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	Range	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	Range
1.	6.36	1.47	2.01 – 9.97	6.31	1.43	1.82 – 10.58	6.34	1.59	1.21 – 10.19
2.	6.12	1.51	2.19 – 9.22	6.11	1.58	1.85 – 10.43	6.16	1.38	2.93 – 9.60
3.	6.49	1.40	2.15 – 9.57	6.44	1.39	2.17 – 10.12	6.46	1.48	1.87 – 9.56
4.	6.22	1.34	2.79 – 10.12	6.38	1.42	2.44 – 10.19	6.41	1.57	2.06 – 9.83

examined significant interaction effects ( $p < .05$ ) using simple slopes at  $\pm 1$  SD of VF (Aiken & West, 1991) and regions of significance (RoS) via the Johnson-Neyman technique (Connor, McCabe et al., 2018; Fraley, 2020; Preacher, 2020) to identify whether VF appeared to function as a marker of neurobiological susceptibility to context (Del Giudice, 2017; Roisman et al., 2012). We calculated RoS on the moderator (VF) and on the predictor (SES and authoritarian parenting), and percentage of observed data falling under these RoS. We used this analytical technique to (1) identify all possible significant values of VF and of observed data for which there were significant associations between predictors and outcomes and (2) to identify at which values of the predictor children who had lower and higher VF significantly differed in EP and IP. Specific high and low values of the predictor are referred as upper and lower bounds and were interpreted as consistent with neurobiological susceptibility if they were significantly different *within*  $-2$  and  $+2$  SD of the predictor. Likewise, we tested the proportion of interaction (PoI), a metric capturing the proportion of the total area that can be attributable to neurobiological susceptibility resulting in for “better” rather than “for worse”. This ratio is expected to range between 0.40 and 0.60 to support differential or neurobiological susceptibility (Roisman et al., 2012), or 0.20 to 0.80 when the sample is asymmetric or skewed (Del Giudice, 2017) as was the case with our data.

## Results

### Modeling VF across negative emotions

Descriptive statistics for RSA during each emotion induction video are presented in Table 1. In comparison to a model in which the second level paths for the slopes were free to vary, the constrained model had lower AIC (5126.76) and BIC (5193.57) than the freely estimated model, AIC (5128.33), BIC (5201.22), but the two model fits did not differ significantly,  $\chi^2_{(2)} = 2.43$ ,  $p = 0.30$ . In addition to lower AIC and BIC, the fixed model was more parsimonious, as two fewer parameters needed to be estimated; therefore, the fixed model was used for all analyses. Table 2 presents results from the FOCUS model. Overall, this model had excellent fit to the data ( $\chi^2(68, 154) = 78.3$ ,  $p = .18$ , CFI = .99, TLI = .99, RMSEA = .031, CI[.00 - .059]), indicating that the specification of a higher-order factor with shared RSA intercept and slope among fear, anger, and sadness inducing stimuli was tenable. We interpret the second level latent slope as representing the construct of VF, or the tendency to dynamically modulate RSA as a general tendency across emotions.

At the first level, the estimated means for intercept and slope were significant for all emotions. The intercept represents the average RSA level during the neutral content in epoch 1. Additionally, the average RSA of the sample at each epoch is equal to the interaction between the mean slope value for each emotion and that epoch's latent basis coefficient. As seen in Figure 2, across

all emotions, children initially suppressed RSA when the emotion was introduced and recovered or came back toward levels of RSA comparable to the starting neutral epoch when the emotion intensified during epoch 3, on average ( $\lambda$  E3sad = .42,  $p = .716$ ; fear =  $-0.14$ ,  $p = .162$ ; anger =  $-0.091$ ,  $p = .322$ ). During the mild positive resolution in fear ( $\lambda$  E4 =  $-0.36$ ,  $p = .001$ ) and sadness ( $\lambda$  E4 =  $-0.19$ ,  $p = .095$ ), children exhibited moderate suppression.

At the second level, significant variances in both the intercepts ( $\sigma^2_{0F} = 1.38$ ,  $p < .001$ ) and the slope ( $\sigma^2_{sF} = .18$ ,  $p = .012$ ) indicated that there were meaningful individual differences in children's initial RSA levels and patterns of change or VF over the negative emotion-inducing videos. Children with higher values for the latent slope (0.5, 1 SD VF) had a more pronounced pattern of suppression, followed by recovery, and then suppression during the mild positive resolution, whereas children with lower slope coefficients ( $-0.5$ ,  $-1$  SD VF) had a slightly flatter pattern of augmentation during the emotion introduction, suppression when the emotion intensified and no change during resolution. There was also a statistically significant positive covariation between RSA intercept and slope ( $\sigma_{0s} = .17$ ,  $p = .033$ ). This shows that children who had higher RSA during the neutral portions at the beginning of the scenarios (epoch 1) also had greater VF or steeper slopes across the scenarios.

### Does VF moderate associations between the home environment and children's maladjustment?

Descriptive statistics and zero-order correlations for all measures are presented in Table 3. Mothers of boys reported lower SES at T1,  $t(178) = 2.269$ ,  $p = .019$ , and higher IP scores at T1,  $t(171) = 2.763$ ,  $p = .006$ , and T2  $t(150) = 3.466$ ,  $p = .001$ , compared to mothers of girls. Baseline RSA was positively correlated with both RSA intercept and RSA slope. At T1, children who were older had higher IP scores and greater VF. Authoritarian parenting was positively correlated with low SES at T1 and IP at T1 and T2, and low SES was positively associated with more EP and IP at T1 and T2. IP and EP were positively correlated with each other, and were not significantly different from T1 to T2 (paired sample  $t$ -tests, all  $p > .05$ ). Because of these results, children's baseline RSA, gender and age at T1 were included as covariates in the following models.

Model parameters predicting IP and EP at T1 and T2 from home environment risks with RSA slope as the moderating variable are presented in Table 4, and the path model is summarized in Figure 3. No main effects or interactions involving RSA intercept or slope were significantly related to problems at T1. Baseline RSA was not related to IP or EP at T1 or T2. Children who were older or came from homes with lower SES had more EP at T1, and boys and children who came from lower SES households had more IP at T1. This step explained 11% and 13% of the variance in EP and IP at T1, respectively.

**Table 2.** FOCUS model parameter estimates and fit indices

	Sadness		Fear		Anger		2nd Order Factors	
	Estimate	SE	Estimate	SE	Estimate	SE	Estimate	SE
<i>Level one factor loadings</i>								
$\lambda E1$	0 =	-	0 =	-	0 =	-		
$\lambda E2$	-1 =	-	-1 =	-	-1 =	-		
$\lambda E3$	0.04	0.12	-0.14	0.10	-0.09	0.09		
$\lambda E4$	-0.19 $\tau$	0.11	-0.36***	0.10	0.02	0.10		
<i>Level two factor loadings</i>								
$\alpha$ sadness							= 1	-
$\alpha$ fear							1.03***	0.05
$\alpha$ anger							1.08***	0.05
s sadness							= 1	-
s fear							= 1	-
s anger							= 1	-
<i>Means</i>								
Intercept $\mu_0$	6.37***	0.10	6.41***	0.11	6.4***	0.11	= 0	-
Slope $\mu_s$	0.28**	0.10	0.26*	0.10	0.25**	0.08	= 0	-
<i>Variances</i>								
Intercept $\sigma_20$	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	1.38***	0.18
Slope $\sigma_2s$	0.52***	0.07	0.52***	0.07	0.52***	0.07	0.18**	0.07
Covariance $\sigma_{f0,fs}$							0.17*	0.08
<i>Fit Indices</i>								
$\chi^2/df$								78.3/68
p-value ( $\chi^2$ )								0.18
CFI								0.99
TLI								0.99
RMSEA (90% CI)							0.031 [0.000 - 0.059]	
SRMR								0.041
BIC								5193.57


Note: E1, E2, E3, and E4 represent each RSA epoch.  $\tau < .01$ , \* $p < .05$ , \*\* $p < .01$ , \*\*\* $p < .001$

RSA intercept was positively associated with IP at T2, such that children who had higher RSA during the introductory epochs of the emotion scenarios had more IP two years later. RSA slope was inversely associated with the development of EP, such that children who had greater VF (steeper non-linear slopes) had fewer EP two years later. This effect was moderated by an interaction between RSA slope and authoritarian parenting. Examining the interaction, a cross-over effect was evident (see Figure 4). Examination of the simple slopes showed that the prediction of T2 EP from T1 authoritarian was positive and significant at low VF ( $-1$  SD, flatter slopes;  $B = 0.27$ ,  $p < .001$ ), and negative and approaching significance at high VF ( $+1$  SD, steeper slopes;  $B = -0.16$ ,  $p = .06$ ).

The ROS analysis showed that the positive association between authoritarian parenting and T2 EP for low VF was significant at and below  $-.22$  SD of VF, equivalent to 26.67% of observed data. The negative association between authoritarian parenting and T2 EP for high VF was significant at and above 1.07 SD of VF, equivalent to 10.56% of observed data. The ROS analysis also showed the lower and upper bounds of authoritarian parenting at which the

simple slopes for lower and higher VF projected to significantly different values of T2 EP (see Figure 4). The lower bound of authoritarian parenting was  $-1.59$  SD (approximately equal to a score of 1.41, or mothers endorsing a 2, once in a while, for 10 of the 24 authoritarian items), such that children with lower VF had significantly fewer EP at T2 in comparison to children with higher VF when mothers reported very low levels of authoritarian parenting. The upper bound of the RoS analysis was at the mean, such that children with lower VF evidenced significantly more EP at T2 than children with higher VF when mothers reported average or higher levels of authoritarian parenting. Notably, the projected upper value of EP for high VF at low levels of authoritarian parenting was considerably less ( $T = 56$ ) than the projected upper value of EP for low VF at high levels authoritarian parenting ( $T = 61.5$ ). Lastly, the PoI was 0.85 within the range of  $\pm 2$  SD of authoritarian parenting, which is outside the parameters to support neurobiological susceptibility. Therefore, our cross-over effect suggests that children with lower VF reacted to more authoritarian parenting “for worse” with respect to their development of EP, whereas children with higher VF were buffered against the effects of





**Figure 2.** Trajectories of children's vagal flexibility while watching scenarios of a child experiencing and expressing sadness, fear, and anger. RSA is respiratory sinus arrhythmia. Each epoch lasted 15 seconds. Negative emotions are first presented in E2 and increase in intensity at E3. E1 is a neutral introduction and E4 is a mainly positive resolution.

authoritarian parenting on subsequent EP. There was not robust evidence of a “for better” effect of children with lower VF in contexts of less authoritarian parenting.

### Post-hoc analysis

In a follow-up analysis, we tested whether we could replicate these results using static RSA change scores instead of latent intercept and slopes. In the same path model, we replaced the second-order latent slope factor with the arithmetic mean of RSA change score for the three emotion vignettes. RSA change scores were calculated by subtracting the overall RSA for each emotion vignette, computed as a single 60s epoch, from baseline RSA, such that positive RSA change scores represent children who had RSA suppression from baseline to emotion stimuli, whereas children with a negative score experienced RSA augmentation (Obradovic et al., 2011). Paralleling the association of latent RSA intercept predicting IP at T2, children who had greater RSA suppression to the three videos had lower IP at T2 ( $B = -.16, p = .03$ ). However, the RSA change score was not associated with EP at T2, neither directly nor in interaction with authoritarian parenting, and there were

no other direct or moderated associations between RSA change and children's EP and IP (all  $p \geq .124$ ). In addition, age was not significantly associated with RSA change ( $r = .087, p = .294$ ).

### Discussion

Studying the dynamic unfolding of PNS responses to negative emotions may contribute to a more accurate portrayal of how physiology underlies the experience and regulation of emotion, advancing our understanding of biobehavioral development and mental health problems in children. Traditional methods of quantifying physiological reactivity often overlook how children's reactivity and regulation of negative emotions are non-linear processes that quickly unfold over time. As predicted, our findings indicate that VF can be modeled as a common physiological response to negative emotional stimuli, characterized by a pattern of suppression and then augmentation (or recovery) across social scenarios depicting emotions of sadness, anger, and fear. Further, although biopsychosocial models theorize roles of RSA reactivity as a source of susceptibility or diathesis in the relation between environmental stressors and the development of psychopathology during

**Table 3.** Descriptive statistics and zero-order correlations

Variables	Mean	SD	Min	Max	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1. Age	5.58	1.10	4.08	7.37	–										
2. Sex (47.2% female)	–	–			–0.06	–									
3. Baseline RSA	6.84	1.11	3.67	9.63	0.10	–0.01	–								
4. RSA intercept	6.39	1.15	2.68	9.15	0.02	–0.07	0.81**	–							
5. RSA slope	0.26	0.25	–0.71	0.89	0.17*	0.12	0.38**	0.46**	–						
6. SES (reversed)	–0.01	0.87	–2.42	1.81	0.05	–0.18*	–0.02	0.01	0.09	–					
7. Authoritarian parenting	2.08	0.42	1.25	3.19	0.11	0.03	0.03	–0.03	0.01	0.19*	–				
8. T1 Internalizing	52.61	10.49	29	75	0.15*	–0.21**	–0.08	–0.08	–0.04	0.22**	0.17*	–			
9. T2 Internalizing	51.80	9.47	33	70	0.09	–0.28**	–0.00	0.09	–0.02	0.19*	0.23**	0.63**	–		
10. T1 Externalizing	53.45	11.61	32	80	0.15	–0.11	0.02	–0.04	–0.06	0.25**	0.13	0.66**	0.36**	–	
11. T2 Externalizing	53.05	10.60	33	76	–0.10	–0.08	0.09	0.06	–0.09	0.22**	0.14	0.39**	0.48**	0.69**	–

Note:  $N = 180$ , \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$  (all tests were two-tailed). SES is a reversed composite of standardized income-to-needs and parental education, higher scores reflect low socioeconomic status.

**Table 4.** Path coefficients predicting internalizing and externalizing problems with vagal flexibility moderating environmental factors

Predictor	Internalizing T1				Externalizing T1				Internalizing T2				Externalizing T2			
	$R^2 = 0.13$				$R^2 = 0.11$				$R^2 = 0.48$				$R^2 = 0.59$			
	b	SE	$p$	$\beta$	b	SE	$p$	$\beta$	b	SE	$p$	$\beta$	b	SE	$p$	$\beta$
Age	1.30	0.71	0.068	0.14	<b>.1.64</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>0.040</b>	<b>0.16</b>	0.20	0.55	0.717	0.02	<b>–1.51</b>	<b>0.55</b>	<b>0.006</b>	<b>–0.15</b>
Sex	<b>–3.63</b>	<b>1.54</b>	<b>0.018</b>	<b>–0.17</b>	–1.25	1.73	0.468	–0.05	<b>–2.61</b>	<b>1.21</b>	<b>0.031</b>	<b>–0.13</b>	–0.25	1.20	0.833	–0.01
Internalizing T1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	<b>0.62</b>	–	–	–	–
Externalizing T1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<b>0.66</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	<b>0.71</b>
Low SES T1	<b>2.32</b>	<b>0.91</b>	<b>0.011</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>3.45</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>0.001</b>	<b>0.26</b>	0.10	0.74	0.892	0.01	0.61	0.76	0.416	0.05
Authoritarian parenting T1	3.14	1.86	0.092	0.13	1.74	2.08	0.402	0.06	2.19	1.44	0.129	0.09	1.57	1.40	0.263	0.06
Baseline RSA	–0.63	1.25	0.615	–0.07	0.71	1.39	0.610	0.07	–1.29	0.98	0.186	–0.15	0.49	0.97	0.618	0.05
RSA intercept	–0.28	1.27	0.826	–0.03	–0.35	1.42	0.807	–0.03	<b>2.24</b>	<b>0.98</b>	<b>0.022</b>	<b>0.26</b>	0.34	0.98	0.726	0.04
RSA Slope	–0.66	3.68	0.857	–0.02	–5.17	4.13	0.210	–0.11	–1.86	2.87	0.515	–0.05	<b>–5.77</b>	<b>2.89</b>	<b>0.046</b>	<b>–0.14</b>
Low SES * Slope	4.40	3.11	0.157	0.11	3.07	3.50	0.380	0.07	0.08	2.54	0.974	0.002	–0.602	2.55	0.813	–0.02
Authoritarian * Slope	2.45	8.83	0.781	0.02	3.03	9.89	0.760	0.02	–1.74	7.06	0.805	–0.02	<b>–22.93</b>	<b>6.95</b>	<b>0.001</b>	<b>–0.19</b>

Note. Significant results are bolded. Model fit  $\chi^2/df = 32.644/38$ ,  $p = 0.72$ , CFI = 1.00, TLI = 1.02, RMSEA = 0.00 (90% CI 0.00 - 0.04), SRMR = 0.042

childhood, results of prior studies have been inconsistent. As predicted, we found that children with lower VF had more EP two years later, and this was particularly true in the context of having a more authoritarian mother. Longitudinally, while lower VF exacerbated the positive relation between more authoritarian parenting and increases in EP during childhood, higher VF buffered this association. We found no evidence that VF made children more susceptible to their environment in a domain-general way, as VF neither moderated associations between SES and problems nor was involved in the prediction of IP. Notably, static RSA change scores did not significantly predict EP. Overall, our results depict a specific association and coordination between parenting, dynamic physiological reactivity, and the development of EP during the transition from early to middle childhood.

Using factor of curves (McArdle & Epstein, 1987), we were able to model a higher order, average pattern of children's dynamic RSA change over the course of sad, fear, and anger inducing clips. This higher order latent factor represents the extent to which dynamic

and rapid RSA change shares a similar non-linear growth pattern among these three emotions. In line with previous findings (Cui et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2013, 2016), on average, a pattern of initial RSA suppression during the introduction to each emotion was followed by a rebound in RSA during the intensification of the emotion, ending with modest suppression during the final positive resolution (albeit this was non-significant for anger and trending for sadness). In line with polyvagal theory and the principle of neuroception (Porges, 2007; Porges & Furman, 2011), initial RSA withdrawal may indicate an orienting response to the emotional stimuli, possibly in preparation of the potential need to mobilize resources for active coping. The subsequent increase in RSA or RSA recovery may support calming as the context is perceived as safe for engagement. Thus, as stories progressed and the emotions were first introduced and then intensified, on average, children may have initially allocated resources to attend to the negative emotional cues, and then perceived that the events were not personally threatening.




Figure 3. Results for path model are standardized. Dotted lines are covariances and solid lines are coefficients and significant at \* $p < .05$ , \*\* $p < .01$ , and \*\*\* $p < .001$ .




Figure 4. Vagal flexibility moderates the prediction of Time 2 externalizing problems from authoritarian parenting. The figure depicts the lower and upper bounds of authoritarian at which the simple slopes for low and high VF project to significantly different EP scores. Shaded regions indicate significance of the predictor (authoritarian scores).  $\tau = 0.06$  \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ .

By this interpretation, children with non-linear, rapidly changing PNS regulation of cardiac activity were likely matching their neurophysiological state with the actual contextual demands of the task and stimuli, demonstrating increased VF (Porges, 2007). Therefore, this non-linear, temporal approach to RSA reactivity may represent flexible orientation and engagement underlying emotions that rapidly change as individuals attend to salient cues in the environment (Hastings & Kahle, 2019; Miller & Hastings, 2019). Children with higher VF not only suppressed PNS influence during the introduction but also, to a lesser degree, during the positive resolution at the end of the vignette, indicating further engagement with positive cues. Conversely, children with slightly lower VF had blunted RSA change or mild RSA augmentation to the emotion introduction, which could indicate initial disengagement with the stimuli presented, with late suppression when the emotion intensified. These latter responses represent a possible mismatch of parasympathetic modulation with changing environmental demands, potentially with disadvantageous consequences.

Moreover, similar to findings from Fortunato and colleagues (2013), we found consistency of between-person differences in VF, such that children who had lower or higher VF to sadness-inducing clip were also likely to have similar VF levels to fear and anger clips. Thus, VF to negative emotions can be represented by a higher-order factor in line with Rothbart's model of domain general negative emotionality (Rothbart, 2007), rather than distinct between-person differences in VF to approach or avoidance motivated emotions (Fox et al., 2008). Previous research has also documented that VF to anger (approach) and to sadness (avoidance) were correlated with each other but not with happiness (Gatzke-Kopp et al., 2015; Hastings et al., 2014). Although Fortunato and others (2013; Gatzke-Kopp et al., 2015) have reported that RSA reactivity to avoidance versus approach emotions is related specifically to IP or EP, respectively, they suggested that reactivity to these two affective dimensions were not orthogonal, but rather "unique profiles of RSA reactivity that could potentially coexist in a single individual" (Fortunato et al., 2013, p. 247). Thus, even though prior studies have attempted to differentiate affect-specificity of parasympathetic reactivity and regulation, their findings are consistent with our inference that VF to different emotional stimuli may reflect an overarching individual characteristic that children bring into multiple emotional situations. Altogether, children with highly flexible vagal responses engaged and disengaged the vagal brake similarly across different negative emotions. This trait-like capacity for the regulation of emotion possibly shapes how these children react to their environment, and how their relationship partners such as their parents react to them, setting up interactions that promote adaptive and maladaptive adjustment in the transition from early to middle childhood.

Regarding our moderation hypotheses, we found that more authoritarian parenting by mothers at T1 predicted increases in EP from T1 and T2 only for children with lower VF to an emotion induction. Notably, this predictive association held for slightly more than a quarter of the children in our sample. This is consistent with prior studies that used arithmetic or residual change scores for RSA reactivity and found that, when RSA withdrawal was lower or blunted, associations were stronger between maternal rejecting attitudes and EP (Wagner et al., 2018a) and between interparental conflict and EP (Katz, 2007; Obradovic et al., 2011). One possible interpretation for the current findings is that blunted RSA reactivity to negative affective stimuli may reflect an under-aroused autonomic profile, and disengagement with the

social and emotional information being presented (Porges, 2007). Children with a relatively non-responsive parasympathetic profile to emotional stimuli may experience autonomic under-arousal and thus may be prone to higher sensation seeking, fearlessness, or aggressive behaviors (Raine, 2002; Schoorl et al., 2016). Likewise, this group of children may be less sensitive to the negative emotional state of others and to socioemotional cues for appropriate behavioral responsiveness (Moore et al., 2018; Muhtadie et al., 2015), exacerbating EP in the context of a parent who is perhaps mirroring or modeling such interpersonal insensitivity by socializing with greater punitiveness, control, and hostility (Eisenberg et al., 1998). Altogether, we found that a profile of reduced dynamic parasympathetic response predicts to more EP when coupled with even modest levels of authoritarian parenting.

In contrast to our findings with low VF, maternal authoritarian parenting tended to predict decreases in EP over time for children with higher VF, such that the lowest projected EP scores were for children with higher VF and more authoritarian mothers. Prior research has found that VF to anger stimuli is positively associated with children's and adolescents' control and regulation of aggression and anger (Cui et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2013), and VF to sadness is positively associated with empathy (Miller et al., 2016). Under the premise that higher VF confers greater capacity for effective engagement with and regulation of emotion, it is possible that children with higher VF are particularly likely to be attentive to potentially emotionally charged interpersonal exchanges with their parents, but also able to maintain a calmer, more regulated state during such interactions, potentially buffering the consequences of authoritarian parenting. Moreover, considering a child evocative effects perspective, children's temperament or trait-like characteristics evoke and affect parental attitudes and behaviors (Lengua & Kovacs, 2005; Wittig & Rodriguez, 2019). It is possible that mothers who reported strict and authoritarian attitudes may have viewed their children with greater VF as particularly well-behaved, given their emotionally calm and well-regulated responses, and therefore reported few EP over time for these children (Hastings & Rubin, 1999; Kochanska, 1990). This may be particularly likely given that maternal reports were used to assess both parenting and children's EP; it will be important for future research to evaluate this biopsychosocial pattern using independent sources of data.

The PoI analysis suggested the interaction of VF and authoritarian parenting predicting the development of EP was not within the parameters of neurobiological susceptibility to context models (Del Giudice, 2017). Children with less flexible RSA change to negative emotions were vulnerable to developing more EP when their primary caregivers were moderately to highly authoritarian but, when they had less authoritarian mothers, they did not develop meaningfully fewer problems than children with average or higher VF. Moreover, the significant association of authoritarian parenting with EP for children with higher VF, resulting in a full cross-over effect, was not consistent with either a neurobiological sensitivity to context or a diathesis-stress model. Overall, children with higher VF evidenced modestly more EP when mothers reported very little authoritarian parenting, but notably, the estimated range of EP for children with higher VF fell within normative population parameters ( $T \leq 56$ ). Thus, mothers who had not endorsed authoritarian parenting reported more EP in children with higher VF than in children with lower VF, but not to a clinically meaningful degree. It was only in the context of average and higher levels of authoritarian parenting that children started to exhibit more concerning levels of EP, and that was

only evident for children with lower VF. Possibly, this pattern could be seen as conforming to the goodness-of-fit model, such that healthy development is a result of an adequate match between children's needs and dispositional characteristics and their parenting contexts (Wachs & Gandour, 1983, Thomas et al., 1968).

Given that we found VF moderated associations between parenting and adjustment but not between SES and adjustment (see also Conradt et al., 2016), and that the moderation effect predicted EP but not IP (Li et al., 2019; Obradović et al., 2011), our findings suggest domain specificity for VF with respect to both context and functioning. Regarding context, we measured parasympathetic regulation in response to three emotional scenes of a child with their parent. Considering that PNS functioning is context-dependent (Obradović et al., 2011; Zeytinoglu et al., 2019), it is possible that parasympathetic regulation of induced emotion in response to such scenarios pertained most strongly to how children react to their interpersonal relationships, and less so aspects of their general life context like SES. In effect, PNS reactivity may be dually context-specific, both to the life context in which children are developing and to the nature of the stimuli children to which are reacting (Obradović et al., 2011). Considering domain specificity of functioning, our finding could be seen as consistent with recent evidence for stronger links between RSA reactivity and EP than with IP (Beauchaine et al., 2019). Possibly, contexts of authoritarian parenting may make parasympathetic regulation of emotion particularly salient for control of anger and disruptive behavior. Conversely, it is important to recognize that these children were intentionally over-sampled for elevated EP; 47.8% of children had subclinical or higher levels of EP at recruitment ( $T \geq 60$ ). As is common in children, EP and IP were highly comorbid, but it is unclear whether the same findings would emerge in at-risk or clinical samples with IP, anxiety or depression, or in general community samples.

A few emergent findings that were not predicted also warrant attention. Higher RSA intercept predicted increased IP at T2. Lest this be seen as inconsistent with the frequent association of higher baseline RSA with fewer IP (Forbes et al., 2006; Schmitz et al., 2011), it is important to recognize that RSA intercept was not a baseline measure; it was RSA during the first 15s when the scenarios were introduced with a mildly positive tone. Baseline RSA was measured, and it was higher (6.84) than RSA in the introductory epochs of the scenarios (6.31 – 6.36), such that overall there was RSA withdrawal from baseline to the emotion induction task. Further, baseline RSA was included in the model and allowed to covary with RSA intercept. Thus, higher RSA intercept can be interpreted as less RSA withdrawal, or RSA augmentation, during the start of emotion inductions, such that its relation with IP is consistent with some meta-analyses and studies associating weaker RSA withdrawal to elevated IP (Buss et al., 2018; El-Sheikh et al., 2013; Graziano & Derefinko, 2013). Congruently, a similar association was replicated using static change scores, such that greater RSA suppression from baseline to emotion stimuli was associated with lower IP at T2. Moderate RSA withdrawal supports an active orientation response which would be normative and adaptive in the context of a mildly positive story introduction (Hastings & Kahle, 2019). Weaker RSA withdrawal or RSA augmentation may have reflected a failure to engage or attend to, or even active disengagement from, the emotional task.

Additionally, we found a significant positive association between children's age at T1, which ranged from 4 to 6 years,

and their dynamic RSA change to negative emotions. Although static change scores of RSA reactivity have been found to decrease with age (Calkins & Keane, 2004), Dollar et al. (2020) recently reported that RSA reactivity increased across assessments at 4 and 5 years. Further, it is well-documented that children's emotion regulation capacities increase from preschool to early elementary school-age (Blair & Raver, 2015; Herndon et al., 2013). As we have proposed that VF reflects parasympathetic contribution to effective emotion regulation, these developmental patterns could be seen as convergent. Relatedly, and in accordance with other studies capturing developmental decreases in EP around preschool age (Olson et al., 2017), older children in this study also had fewer EP at T1 and T2. Future studies could explore whether increased emotion regulation capacities over development, in part indexed by greater VF, potentially contribute to age-related differences in EP.

Finally, children who lived in homes with lower SES had more EP and IP, concurrently at T1. This finding is not surprising considering the large body of work supporting this association (Korous et al., 2018; Peverill et al., 2021) and cash transfer experiments that document the causal effect of economic resources on the development of mental health (Fernald et al., 2012). According to the Family Stress Model (Conger & Conger, 2002), economic pressures affect children via parental distress, poorer interparental relationships, and harsher parent-child interactions. Consistent with this, mothers in this sample who reported lower household SES also reported more authoritarian parenting. Low SES also has been shown to increase EP and IP through fewer opportunities for cognitive stimulation, enriched schooling, access to adequate health-care and housing, and living in safer neighborhoods (Yoshikawa et al., 2012). Thus, it is possible that other unmeasured factors besides parenting contributed to the association between SES and adjustment found in this study.

### Limitations and conclusions

The results of this study should be interpreted in the context of certain limitations. Our sample of participants was not recruited to be representative of the broader population. Instead, this study design intentionally oversampled for EP during early and middle childhood, therefore our results may not extend to other populations and warrant future replication with community samples. RoS analyses assume normality in the distribution of predictors, which was not the case for mother's endorsement of authoritarian practices. However, including percentages of observed data in each region provide transparency and clarify the inferences we can make out of our data (McCabe et al., 2018). Mothers reported on both their endorsement of authoritarian behaviors and their children's problem behaviors, which would be expected to strengthen their association due to shared-method variance (Rowe & Kandel, 1997). However, there were not significant direct associations between authoritarian parenting and either EP or IP, and the interaction effect showed that predictive associations between authoritarian parenting and subsequent EP were both positive (at lower VF) and negative (at higher VF). This is consistent with arguments that, even after considering shared-method variance, maternal reports still inform about children's maladjustment (Müller et al., 2014; Rowe & Kandel, 1997). Yet, it would be advisable for future research to include multi-informant raters of children's behaviors to better capture children's EP and IP development. Concerning RSA measurement, respiration was not assessed directly for each participant, although there is debate

whether this is necessary (Shader et al., 2018). However, one of the strengths of this study was that our negative emotion induction required no participant movement or vocalization, issues that could affect the precision of RSA assessment (Beauchaine et al., 2019). In addition, there could be other possible patterns that could account for the variance in RSA slope (i.e., a linear decrease with RSA suppression with no recovery). These patterns could be differently associated with maladjustment, and future research should extend on multivariate models to parse these differences across participants.

It should be noted that this study only examined between-person differences and how children's slopes varied in magnitude in comparison to each other. Examining within-person differences (i.e., how children change in comparison to themselves) may add to our knowledge on the nature of children's physiological regulation (Gatzke-Kopp & Ram, 2018). Autonomic regulation of emotion is a multisystem process, and future research should recognize dynamic changes in sympathetic influences as well as parasympathetic responses to emotions. Finally, it is unclear whether VF (and its moderating effects) may extend to negative events other than passive emotional video stimuli. It is fundamental that the field takes into account how different stimuli (i.e., emotional, cognitive challenge) may demand different patterns of physiological responses that may or may not relate to maladjustment (Beauchaine, 2015a). Future research should examine VF in different active emotional contexts, such as a frustration, emotion recall, or social exclusion task, which could be a more accurate portrayal of children's day-to-day emotional lives. Finally, to our knowledge, this is the first study to use FOCUS model to capture a latent, non-linear factor of RSA changes to discrete, rapid emotions. Current work is also examining other complex, non-linear measures thought to reflect flexible PNS responses, particularly across longer tasks with multiple data points. These include cardiac fractility, the optimal temporal variability of the heart rate variability series, and cardiac entropy, or the randomness of the series (Berry et al., 2019). More such research is needed to integrate and understand the complex nature of the physiology of emotion regulation.

The present findings have important implications for the links between parenting, parasympathetic regulation, and children's mental health. Children with blunted VF, or dynamic RSA change that does not match the needs of the proximal stimuli, may be at heightened risk for EP when raised by parents with authoritarian practices. Using physiological assessments in tandem with contextual and behavioral evaluations may help identify at-risk children during early childhood before persistent patterns of problem behaviors are established (Beauchaine et al., 2008). Yet, the incorporation of neurobiological measures needs to be informed by careful scientific study of what and how biological activity should be measured and quantified, and using more fine-grained temporal approaches may provide a more detailed account of children's physiological regulation of emotion (Beauchaine & Bell, 2020; Hastings & Kahle, 2019). Adequately evaluating each individual's parasympathetic response may aid our understanding of how children are appraising and engaging with emotionally salient situations, with different consequences for both the type and content of interventions (Glenn et al., 2019). Taken together, this study presents novel evidence about the unique contribution of non-linear unfolding of trait-level PNS reactivity to negative emotion stimuli, as well as the potential associations of VF in combination with children's experiences within their home environment with the development of IP and EP. Our results underscore that VF

is context specific with regard to the relation between parenting and the etiology of children's EP, and it provides information static change scores do not capture, highlighting how the contribution of dynamic PNS regulation is more complex than what broad biopsychosocial models may imply.

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank the participating families, the members of the Affective and Behavioural Competence Development Lab at Concordia University, Nathaniel Spilkin, the members of the Healthy Emotions, Relationships and Development Lab at University of California, Davis, and the Chilean National Agency for Research and Development (ANID) Doctoral Fellowship program.

**Author contributions.** Elisa Ugarte: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Visualization, Writing-original draft, Writing - review & editing. Jonas Miller: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. David G. Weissman: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. Paul Hastings: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing - review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

**Funding statement.** This research was supported by Canadian Institutes of Health Research (Grant MOP-67117 to Paul D. Hastings. Elisa Ugarte was supported by ANID Doctoral Fellowship program; Grant 72180409 for the writing of this paper.

**Conflicts of interest.** None.

**Ethical standards.** This study was reviewed and approved by the IRB of Concordia University, Montreal.

## References

- Achenbach, T. M., & Rescorla, L. A. (2000). *Manual for the ASEBA Preschool Forms & Profiles*. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth, & Families.
- Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. (1991). *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*. Sage Publications, Inc.
- Beauchaine, T. P. (2012). Physiological markers of emotion and behavior dysregulation in externalizing psychopathology. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 77, 79–86. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5834.2011.00665.x>
- Beauchaine, T. P. (2015a). Future Directions in Emotion Dysregulation and Youth Psychopathology. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 44, 875–896. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15374416.2015.1038827>
- Beauchaine, T. P., & Bell, Z. (2020). Respiratory sinus arrhythmia as a transdiagnostic biomarker of emotion dysregulation. In T. P. Beauchaine & S. E. Crowell (Eds), *The Oxford handbook of emotion dysregulation* (pp 153–166). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Beauchaine, T. P., Bell, Z., Knapton, E., McDonough-Caplan, H., Shader, T., & Zisner, A. (2019). Respiratory sinus arrhythmia reactivity across empirically based structural dimensions of psychopathology: A meta-analysis. *Psychophysiology*, 56, e13329. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.13329>
- Beauchaine, T. P., Neuhaus, E., Brenner, S. L., & Gatzke-Kopp, L. (2008). Ten good reasons to consider biological processes in prevention and intervention research. *Development and Psychopathology*, 20, 745–774. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579408000369>
- Belsky, J. (1997). Theory Testing, Effect-Size Evaluation, and Differential Susceptibility to Rearing Influence: The Case of Mothering and Attachment. *Child Development*, 68, 598–600. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.1997.tb04221.x>
- Belsky, J., Bakermans-kranenburg, M. J., & Van Ijzendoorn, M. H. (2007). For Better and Susceptibility For Worse Influences Differential Susceptibility to Environmental Influences. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 16, 300–304. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2007.00525.x>
- Belsky, J., & Pluess, M. (2009). Beyond diathesis stress: Differential susceptibility to environmental influences. *Psychological Bulletin*, 135, 885–908. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017376>

- Belsky, J. & Pluess, M. (2016). Differential Susceptibility to Environmental Influences. In D. Cicchetti (Ed.), *Developmental psychopathology: Developmental neuroscience* (Vol. 2, 3rd ed., pp. 59–106). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Bentler, P. M. (1990). Fit Indexes, Lagrange Multipliers, Constraint Changes and Incomplete Data in Structural Models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 25, 163–172. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr2502\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr2502_3)
- Berntson, G. G., Bigger, J. T., Eckberg, D. L., Grossman, P., Kaufmann, P. G., Malik, M., . . . van der Molen, M. W. (1997). Heart rate variability: origins, methods, and interpretive caveats. *Psychophysiology*, 34, 623–648. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.1997.tb02140.x>
- Berry, D., Palmer, A. R., Distefano, R., & Masten, A. S. (2019). Autonomic complexity and emotion (dys-)regulation in early childhood across high- and low-risk contexts. *Development and Psychopathology*, 1173–1190. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579419000683>
- Blair, C., & Raver, C. C. (2015). School readiness and self-regulation: a developmental psychobiological approach. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 66, 711–731. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010814-015221>
- Boyce W. T. (2016). Differential Susceptibility of the Developing Brain to Contextual Adversity and Stress. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 41, 142–162. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2015.294>
- Boyce, W. T., & Ellis, B. J. (2005). Biological sensitivity to context: I. An evolutionary-developmental theory of the origins and functions of stress reactivity. *Development and psychopathology*, 17, 271–301. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579405050145>
- Bradley, R. H., & Corwyn, R. F. (2002). Socioeconomic status and child development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53, 371–399. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.53.100901.135233>
- Brooker, R. J., & Buss, K. A. (2010). Dynamic measures of RSA predict distress and regulation in toddlers. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 52, 372–382. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.20432>
- Browne, M. W., & Cudeck, R. (1992). Alternative Ways of Assessing Model Fit. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 21, 230–258. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124192021002005>
- Burt, K. B., & Obradović, J. (2013). The construct of psychophysiological reactivity: Statistical and psychometric issues. *Developmental Review*, 33, 29–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2012.10.002>
- Buss, K. A., Davis, E. L., Ram, N., & Coccia, M. (2018). Dysregulated Fear, Social Inhibition, and Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia: A Replication and Extension. *Child Development*, 89, 214–228. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12774>
- Calkins, S. D., & Keane, S. P. (2004). Cardiac vagal regulation across the preschool period: Stability, continuity, and implications for childhood adjustment. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 45, 101–112. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.443>
- Cicchetti, D. (2008). A multiple-levels-of-analysis perspective on research in development and psychopathology. In T. P. Beauchaine & S. P. Hinshaw (Eds.), *Child and adolescent psychopathology*. (pp. 27–57). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Cicchetti, D., & Toth, S. L. (2009). A developmental psychopathology perspective on adolescent depression. In *Handbook of depression in adolescents* (pp. 3–32). Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.
- Cole, P. M., Jordan, P. R., & Zahn-Waxler, C. (1990). *Mood induction stimulus for children*. Bethesda, MD: National Institute of Mental Health.
- Conger, R. D., & Conger, K. J. (2002). Resilience in midwestern families: Selected findings from the first decade of a prospective, longitudinal study. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 64, 361–373.
- Conger, R. D., & Conger, K. J. (2008). 2002 Resilience in Midwestern Families, Selected Findings from the First Decade of a Prospective, Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 64, 361–373. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2002.00361.x>
- Conradt, E., Beauchaine, T., Abar, B., Lagasse, L., Shankaran, S., Bada, H., Bauer, C., Whitaker, T., Hammond, J., & Lester, B. (2016). Early caregiving stress exposure moderates the relation between respiratory sinus arrhythmia reactivity at 1 month and biobehavioral outcomes at age 3: RSA Reactivity and Low-Socioeconomic Status. *Psychophysiology*, 53, 83–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12774>
- Conradt, E., Measelle, J., & Ablow, J. C. (2013). Poverty, Problem Behavior, and Promise: Differential Susceptibility Among Infants Reared in Poverty. *Psychological Science*, 24, 235–242. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612457381>
- Cui, L., Morris, A. S., Harrist, A. W., Larzelere, R. E., Criss, M. M., & Houlberg, B. J. (2015). Adolescent RSA responses during an anger discussion task: Relations to emotion regulation and adjustment. *Emotion*, 15, 360–372. <https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000040>
- Cui, L., Zhang, X., Houlberg, B. J., Criss, M. M., & Morris, A. S. (2019). RSA reactivity in response to viewing bullying film and adolescent social adjustment. *Developmental psychobiology*, 61, 592–604. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21835>
- Darling, N., & Steinberg, L. (1993). Parenting style as context: An integrative model. *Psychological Bulletin*, 113, 487–496. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.113.3.487>
- Del Giudice, M. (2017). Statistical tests of differential susceptibility: Performance, limitations, and improvements. *Development and Psychopathology*, 29, 1267–1278. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579416001292>
- Dennis-Tiway, T. A. (2019). The future of emotion regulation research is in how we measure the dynamics of change. *Developmental Psychology*, 55, 2006–2008. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000752>
- Dollar, J. M., Calkins, S. D., Berry, N. T., Perry, N. B., Keane, S. P., Shanahan, L., & Wideman, L. (2020). Developmental Patterns of Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia From Toddlerhood to Adolescence. *Developmental Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000894>
- Eisenberg, N., Cumberland, A., & Spinrad, T. L. (1998). Parental socialization of emotion. *Psychological Inquiry*, 9, 241–273. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1043-9882.9.4.241>
- Eisenberg, N., Spinrad, T. L., & Eggum, N. D. (2010). Emotion-Related Self-Regulation and Its Relation to Children's Maladjustment. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 6, 495–525. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.121208.131208>
- Eisenberg, N., Sulik, M. J., Spinrad, T. L., Edwards, A., Eggum, N. D., Liew, J., . . . Hart, D. (2012). Differential susceptibility and the early development of aggression: Interactive effects of respiratory sinus arrhythmia and environmental quality. *Developmental Psychology*, 48, 755–768. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026518>
- El-Sheikh, M., Harger, J. A., & Whitson, S. M. (2001). Exposure to interparental conflict and children's adjustment and physical health: The moderating role of vagal tone. *Child Development*, 72, 1617–1636. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00369>
- El-Sheikh, M., Keiley, M., Erath, S., & Dyer, W. J. (2013). Marital conflict and growth in children's internalizing symptoms: the role of autonomic nervous system activity. *Developmental Psychology*, 49, 92–108. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027703>
- El-Sheikh, M., & Whitson, S. A. (2006). Longitudinal relations between marital conflict and child adjustment: Vagal regulation as a protective factor. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 20, 30–39. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0893-3200.20.1.30>
- Ellis, B. J., Boyce, W. T., Belsky, J., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., & van Ijzendoorn, M. H. (2011). Differential susceptibility to the environment: an evolutionary–neurodevelopmental theory. *Development and Psychopathology*, 23, 7–28. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579410000611>
- Enders, C. K. (2001). The Performance of the Full Information Maximum Likelihood Estimator in Multiple Regression Models with Missing Data. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 61, 713–740. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164401615001>
- Enders, C. K., & Bandalos, D. L. (2001). The relative performance of full information maximum likelihood estimation for missing data in structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 8, 430–457. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0803\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0803_5)
- Fernald, L. C. H., Gertler, P. J., & Hidrobo, M. (2012). Conditional cash transfer programs: Effects on growth, health and development in young children. In V. Maholmes & R. B. King (Eds.), *Oxford library of psychology. The Oxford handbook of poverty and child development* (pp. 569–600). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Flouri, E., & Sarmadi, Z. (2016). Prosocial behavior and childhood trajectories of internalizing and externalizing problems: The role of neighborhood and school contexts. *Developmental Psychology*, 52, 253–258. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000076>

- Forbes, E. E., Fox, N. A., Cohn, J. F., Galles, S. F., & Kovacs, M. (2006). Children's affect regulation during a disappointment. *Biological Psychology*, 71, 264–277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2005.05.004>
- Fortunato, C. K., Gatzke-Kopp, L. M., & Ram, N. (2013). Associations between respiratory sinus arrhythmia reactivity and internalizing and externalizing symptoms are emotion specific. *Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience*, 13, 238–251. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-012-0136-4>
- Fox, N. A., Kirwan, M., & Reeb-Sutherland, B. (2008). Measuring the Physiology of Emotion and Emotion Regulation—Timing Is Everything. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 77, 98–108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5834.2011.00668.x>
- Fraleigh, R. C. (2020, July 7th). *Probing Interactions in Differential Susceptibility Research*. yourpersonality.net. <https://www.yourpersonality.net/interaction/ros.pl>
- Gatzke-Kopp, L., & Ram, N. (2018). Developmental dynamics of autonomic function in childhood. *Psychophysiology*, 55, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.13218>
- Gatzke-Kopp, L. M., Greenberg, M., & Bierman, K. (2015). Children's Parasympathetic Reactivity to Specific Emotions Moderates Response to Intervention for Early-Onset Aggression. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 44, 291–304. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15374416.2013.862801>
- Glenn, A. L., Lochman, J. E., Dishion, T., Powell, N. P., Boxmeyer, C., Kassing, F., Qu, L., & Romero, D. (2019). Toward Tailored Interventions: Sympathetic and Parasympathetic Functioning Predicts Responses to an Intervention for Conduct Problems Delivered in Two Formats. *Prevention science*, 20, 30–40. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-017-0859-0>
- Gottesman, I. I., & Shields, J. (1967). A polygenic theory of schizophrenia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 58, 199–205. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.58.1.199>
- Gray, S. A. O., Theall, K., Lipschutz, R., & Drury, S. (2017). Sex Differences in the Contribution of Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia and Trauma to Children's Psychopathology. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 39, 67–78. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10862-016-9568-4>
- Graziano, P., & Derefinco, K. (2013). Cardiac vagal control and children's adaptive functioning: a meta-analysis. *Biological Psychology*, 94, 22–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2013.04.011>
- Grusec, J. E. (2011). Socialization Processes in the Family: Social and Emotional Development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62, 243–269. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.121208.131650>
- Hagan, M. J., Roubinov, D. S., Adler, N. E., Boyce, W. T., & Bush, N. R. (2016). Socioeconomic adversity, negativity in the parent-child-relationship, and physiological reactivity: An examination of pathways and interactive processes affecting young children's physical health. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 78, 998–1007. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0000000000000379>
- Hane, A. A., Fox, N. A., Henderson, H. A., & Marshall, P. J. (2008). Behavioral Reactivity and Approach-Withdrawal Bias in Infancy. *Developmental Psychology*, 44, 1491–1496. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012855>
- Hastings, P. D., & Kahle, S. (2019). Get bent into shape: The non-linear, multi-system, contextually-embedded psychophysiology of emotional development. In V. LoBue, K. Pérez-Edgar, & K. A. Buss (Eds.), *Handbook of emotional development* (pp. 1–70). New York, NY: Springer.
- Hastings, P. D., Kahle, S., & Han, G. (2014). Developmental affective psychophysiology: Using physiology to inform our understanding of emotional development. *Contributions to Human Development*, 26, 13–28. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000354347>
- Hastings, P. D., Nuselovici, J. N., Utendale, W. T., Coutya, J., McShane, K. E., & Sullivan, C. (2008). Applying the polyvagal theory to children's emotion regulation: Social context, socialization, and adjustment. *Biological Psychology*, 79, 299–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2008.07.005>
- Hastings, P. D., & Rubin, K. H. (1999). Predicting mothers' beliefs about preschool-aged children's social behavior: Evidence for maternal attitudes moderating child effects. *Child Development*, 70, 722–741. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00052>
- Herndon, K. J., Bailey, C. S., Shewark, E. A., Denham, S. A., & Bassett, H. H. (2013). Preschoolers' emotion expression and regulation: relations with school adjustment. *The Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 174, 642–663. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221325.2012.759525>
- Isordia, M., & Ferrer, E. (2018). Curve of Factors Model: A Latent Growth Modeling Approach for Educational Research. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 78, 203–231. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164416677143>
- Katz, L. F., & Gottman, J. M. (1997). Buffering children from marital conflict and dissolution. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15374424jccp2602\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15374424jccp2602_4)
- Katz, L.F. (2007). Domestic violence and vagal reactivity to peer provocation. *Biological Psychology*, 74, 154–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2005.10.010>
- Kochanska, G. (1990). Maternal Beliefs as Long-Term Predictors of Mother-Child Interaction and Report. *Child Development*, 61, 1934–1943. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.1990.tb03576.x>
- Korous, K. M., Causadias, J. M., Bradley, R. H., & Luthar, S. S. (2018). Unpacking the link between socioeconomic status and behavior problems: A second-order meta-analysis. *Development and Psychopathology*, 30, 1889–1906. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579418001141>
- Kozak, M. J., & Cuthbert, B. N. (2016). The NIMH Research Domain Criteria Initiative: Background, Issues, and Pragmatics. *Psychophysiology*, 53, 286–297. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12518>
- Lengua, L. J., & Kovacs, E. A. (2005). Bidirectional associations between temperament and parenting and the prediction of adjustment problems in middle childhood. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 26, 21–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2004.10.001>
- Li, Z., Sturge-Apple, M. L., Martin, M. J., & Davies, P. T. (2019). Interactive effects of family instability and adolescent stress reactivity on socioemotional functioning. *Developmental Psychology*, 55, 2193–2202. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000784>
- Maccoby, E. E., Martin, J. A. (1983). Socialization in the context of the family: Parent-child interaction. In P. H. Mussen (Ed.), *Handbook of child psychology* (Vol. 4, pp. 1–101). New York, NY: Wiley.
- McArdle, J. J., & Epstein, D. B. (1987). Latent growth curves within developmental structural equation models. *Child Development*, 58, 110–133. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1130295>
- McCabe, C. J., Kim, D. S., & King, K. M. (2018). Improving Present Practices in the Visual Display of Interactions. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, 1, 147–165. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245917746792>
- McLaughlin, K. A., Alves, S., & Sheridan, M. A. (2014). Vagal regulation and internalizing psychopathology among adolescents exposed to childhood adversity. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 56, 1036–1051. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21187>
- Miller, J. G., Choccol, C., Nuselovici, J. N., Utendale, W. T., Simard, M., & Hastings, P. D. (2013). Children's dynamic RSA change during anger and its relations with parenting, temperament, and control of aggression. *Biological Psychology*, 92, 417–425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2012.12.005>
- Miller, J. G., & Hastings, P. D. (2016). Biopsychosocial models of prosociality: Compassionate love, vagal regulation, and children's altruism. In D. Narvaez, J. M. Braungart-Rieker, L. E. Miller-Graff, L. T. Gettler, & P. D. Hastings (Eds.), *Contexts for young child flourishing: Evolution, family, and society* (p. 185–200). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Miller, J.G., & Hastings, P.D. (2019). Parenting, neurobiology, and prosocial development. In D. Laible, G. Carlo, & L. Padilla-Walker (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Parenting and Moral Development* (pp. 129–144). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Miller, J. G., Kahle, S., & Hastings, P. D. (2015). Roots and Benefits of Costly Giving: Children Who Are More Altruistic Have Greater Autonomic Flexibility and Less Family Wealth. *Psychological Science*, 26(7), 1038–1045. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797615578476>
- Miller, J. G., Nuselovici, J. N., & Hastings, P. D. (2016). Nonrandom Acts of Kindness: Parasympathetic and Subjective Empathic Responses to Sadness Predict Children's Prosociality. *Child Development*, 87, 1679–1690. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12629>
- Moore, C. C., Hubbard, J., Morrow, M. T., Barhight, L. R., Lines, M. M., Sallee, M., & Hyde, C. T. (2018). The simultaneous assessment of and



- relations between children's sympathetic and parasympathetic psychophysiology and their reactive and proactive aggression. *Aggressive Behavior*, 44, 614–623. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.21786>
- Muhtadie, L., Koslov, K., Akinola, M., & Mendes, W. B. (2015). Vagal flexibility: A physiological predictor of social sensitivity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 109, 106–120. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000016>
- Müller, J. M., Romer, G., & Achtergarde, S. (2014). Correction of distortion in distressed mothers' ratings of their preschool-aged children's Internalizing and Externalizing scale score. *Psychiatry Research*, 215, 170–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2013.10.035>
- Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (1998–2015). *Mplus User's Guide*. Eight Edition. Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén.
- Obradović J. (2012). How can the study of physiological reactivity contribute to our understanding of adversity and resilience processes in development?. *Development and Psychopathology*, 24, 371–387. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579412000053>
- Obradović, J., Bush, N. R., & Boyce, W. T. (2011). The interactive effect of marital conflict and stress reactivity on externalizing and internalizing symptoms: The role of laboratory stressors. *Development and Psychopathology*, 23, 101–114. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0954579410000672>
- Obradović, J., Bush, N. R., Stamperdahl, J., Adler, N. E., & Boyce, W. T. (2010). Biological sensitivity to context: the interactive effects of stress reactivity and family adversity on socioemotional behavior and school readiness. *Child Development*, 81, 270–289. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2009.01394.x>
- Olson, S. L., Choe, D. E., & Sameroff, A. J. (2017). Trajectories of child externalizing problems between ages 3 and 10 years: Contributions of children's early effortful control, theory of mind, and parenting experiences. *Development and Psychopathology*, 29, 1333–1351. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S095457941700030X>
- Peverill, M., Dirks, M. A., Narvaja, T., Herts, K. L., Comer, J. S., & McLaughlin, K. A. (2021). Socioeconomic status and child psychopathology in the United States: A meta-analysis of population-based studies. *Clinical Psychology Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101933>
- Pinquart, M. (2017a). Associations of parenting dimensions and styles with externalizing problems of children and adolescents: An updated meta-analysis. *Developmental Psychology*, 53, 873–932. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000295>
- Pinquart, M. (2017b). Associations of Parenting Dimensions and Styles with Internalizing Symptoms in Children and Adolescents: A Meta-Analysis. *Marriage and Family Review*, 53, 613–640. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01494929.2016.1247761>
- Porges, S. W. (2003). The Polyvagal Theory: Phylogenetic contributions to social behavior. *Physiology and Behavior*, 79, 503–513. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9384\(03\)00156-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9384(03)00156-2)
- Porges, S. W. (2007). The Polyvagal Perspective. *Biological Psychology*, 74, 116–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.06.009>
- Porges, S. W., & Byrne, E. A. (1992). Research methods for measurement of heart rate and respiration. *Biological Psychology*, 34, 93–130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-0511\(92\)90012-j](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-0511(92)90012-j)
- Porges, S. W., & Furman, S. A. (2011). The early development of the autonomic nervous system provides a neural platform for social behaviour: A polyvagal perspective. *Infant and Child Development*, 20, 106–118. <https://doi.org/10.1002/icd.688>
- Preacher, K. J. (2020, July 7th). *Probing Interactions in Multiple Linear Regression, Latent Curve Analysis, and Hierarchical Linear Modeling*. Quantpsy.org. <http://quantpsy.org/interact/index.htm>
- Raine, A. (2002). Biosocial studies of antisocial and violent behavior in children and adults: A review. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 30, 311–326. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015754122318>
- Robinson, C. C., Mandlco, B., Olsen, S. F., & Hart, C. H. (1995). Authoritative, authoritarian, and permissive parenting practices: Development of a new measure. *Psychological Reports*, 77, 819–830. <https://doi.org/10/fpbk6m>
- Robinson, C. C., Mandlco, B., Olsen, S. F., & Hart, C. H. (2001). The Parenting Styles and Dimensions Questionnaire (PSQD). In B. F. Perlmutter, J. Touliatos, & G. W. Holden (Eds.), *Handbook of family measurement techniques: Vol. 3. Instruments & index* (pp. 319–321). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- Roger, K. M., Rinaldi, C. M., & Howe, N. (2012). Mothers' and Fathers' internal state language with their young children: An examination of gender differences during an emotions task. *Infant and Child Development*, 21, 646–666. <https://doi.org/10.1002/icd>
- Roisman, G. I., Newman, D. A., Fraley, R. C., Haltigan, J. D., Groh, A. M., & Haydon, K. C. (2012). Distinguishing differential susceptibility from diathesis-stress: Recommendations for evaluating interaction effects. *Development and Psychopathology*, 24, 389–409. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579412000065>
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R Package for Structural Equation Modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48, 1–36. <http://www.jstatsoft.org/v48/i02/>
- Rothbart, M. K. (2007). Temperament, development, and personality. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 16, 207–212. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2007.00505.x>
- Rowe, D. C., & Kandel, D. (1997). In the eye of the beholder? Parental ratings of externalizing and internalizing symptoms. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 25, 265–275. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025756201689>
- Rudd, K. L., Alkon, A., & Yates, T. M. (2017). Prospective relations between intrusive parenting and child behavior problems: Differential moderation by parasympathetic nervous system regulation and child sex. *Physiology and Behavior*, 180, 120–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2017.08.014>
- Sandstrom, M. J. (2007). A link between mothers' disciplinary strategies and children's relational aggression. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 25, 399–407. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1348/026151006X158753>
- Schmitz, J., Kreamer, M., Tuschen-Caffier, B., Heinrichs, N., & Blechert, J. (2011). Restricted autonomic flexibility in children with social phobia. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 52, 1203–1211. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2011.02417.x>
- Schoorl, J., Van Rijn, S., De Wied, M., Van Goozen, S. H. M., & Swaab, H. (2016). Variability in emotional/behavioral problems in boys with oppositional defiant disorder or conduct disorder: the role of arousal. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 25, 821–830. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-015-0790-5>
- Shader, T. M., Gatzke-Kopp, L. M., Crowell, S. E., Jamila Reid, M., Thayer, J. F., Vasey, M. W., Webster-Stratton, C., Bell, Z., & Beauchaine, T. P. (2018). Quantifying respiratory sinus arrhythmia: Effects of misspecifying breathing frequencies across development. *Development and Psychopathology*, 30, 351–366. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579417000669>
- Shanahan, L., Calkins, S. D., Keane, S. P., Kelleher, R., & Suffness, R. (2014). Trajectories of internalizing symptoms across childhood: The roles of biological self-regulation and maternal psychopathology. *Development and Psychopathology*, 26, 1353–1368. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579414001072>
- Slopen, N., Fitzmaurice, G., Williams, D. R., & Gilman, S. E. (2010). Poverty, Food Insecurity, and the Behavior for Childhood Internalizing and Externalizing Disorders. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 49, 444–452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2010.01.018>
- Thomas, A., Chess, S., & Birch, H. G. (1968). *Temperament and behavior disorders in children*. New York, NY: New York University Press.
- U.S. Department of Commerce (2015, November). American Community Survey. Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/news/datareleases.2015.html>
- Wachs, T. D., & Gandour, M. J. (1983). Temperament, Environment, and Six-Month Cognitive-Intellectual Development: A Test of the Organismic Specificity Hypothesis. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 6, 135–152. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016502548300600202>
- Wagner, N. J., Hastings, P. D., & Rubin, K. H. (2018a). Callous-Unemotional traits and autonomic functioning in toddlerhood interact to predict externalizing behaviors in preschool. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 46, 1439–1450. <https://doi.org/10/gd7j2t>
- Wagner, N. J., Hastings, P. D., & Rubin, K. H. (2018b). Children's autonomic functioning moderates links between maternal rejecting attitudes and preschool aggressive behaviors. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 60, 739–747. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21747>
- Wilcox, R. R. (2012). *Introduction to robust estimation and hypothesis testing*. Cambridge, MA: Academic press.

- Wittig, S. M. O., & Rodriguez, C. M.** (2019). Interaction between maternal and paternal parenting styles with infant temperament in emerging behavior problems. *Infant Behavior and Development*, *57*, 1199–1210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infbeh.2019.04.005>
- Yoshikawa, H., Aber, J. L., & Beardslee, W. R.** (2012). The effects of poverty on the mental, emotional, and behavioral health of children and youth: Implications for prevention. *American Psychologist*, *67*, 272–284. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028015>
- Zeytinoglu, S., Calkins, S. D., & Leerkes, E. M.** (2019). Autonomic nervous system functioning in early childhood: Responses to cognitive and negatively valenced emotional challenges. *Developmental Psychobiology*, *62*, 657–673. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21926>
- Zisner, A. R., & Beauchaine, T. P.** (2016). Psychophysiological Methods and Developmental Psychopathology. In D. Cicchetti (Ed.), *Developmental psychopathology: Developmental neuroscience* (Vol. 2, 3rd ed., pp. 59–106). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Zuckerman, M.** (1999). Diathesis-stress models. In M. Zuckerman, *Vulnerability to psychopathology: A biosocial model* (pp. 3–23). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/10316-001>