

Activity of dietary fatty acids on FFA1 and FFA4 and characterisation of pinolenic acid as a dual FFA1/FFA4 agonist with potential effect against metabolic diseases

Elisabeth Christiansen¹, Kenneth R. Watterson², Claire J. Stocker³, Elena Sokol⁴, Laura Jenkins², Katharina Simon⁵, Manuel Grundmann⁵, Rasmus K. Petersen⁶, Edward T. Wargent³, Brian D. Hudson², Evi Kostenis⁵, Christer S. Ejsing⁴, Michael A. Cawthorne³, Graeme Milligan² and Trond Ulven^{1*}

¹Department of Physics, Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark

²Molecular Pharmacology Group, Institute of Molecular, Cell and Systems Biology, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, Scotland, UK

³Buckingham Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Buckingham, Hunter Street, Buckingham MK18 1EG, UK

⁴Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Villum Center for Bioanalytical Sciences, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark

⁵Institute of Pharmaceutical Biology, University of Bonn, Nussallee 6, D-53115 Bonn, Germany

⁶Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Ole Maaløes Vej 5, DK-2200, Copenhagen N, Denmark

(Submitted 14 January 2015 – Final revision received 11 March 2015 – Accepted 16 March 2015 – First published online 28 April 2015)

Abstract

Various foods are associated with effects against metabolic diseases such as insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes; however, their mechanisms of action are mostly unclear. Fatty acids may contribute by acting as precursors of signalling molecules or by direct activity on receptors. The medium- and long-chain NEFA receptor FFA1 (free fatty acid receptor 1, previously known as GPR40) has been linked to enhancement of glucose-stimulated insulin secretion, whereas FFA4 (free fatty acid receptor 4, previously known as GPR120) has been associated with insulin-sensitising and anti-inflammatory effects, and both receptors are reported to protect pancreatic islets and promote secretion of appetite and glucose-regulating hormones. Hypothesising that FFA1 and FFA4 mediate therapeutic effects of dietary components, we screened a broad selection of NEFA on FFA1 and FFA4 and characterised active compounds in concentration–response curves. Of the screened compounds, pinolenic acid, a constituent of pine nut oil, was identified as a relatively potent and efficacious dual FFA1/FFA4 agonist, and its suitability for further studies was confirmed by additional *in vitro* characterisation. Pine nut oil and free and esterified pure pinolenic acid were tested in an acute glucose tolerance test in mice. Pine nut oil showed a moderately but significantly improved glucose tolerance compared with maize oil. Pure pinolenic acid or ethyl ester gave robust and highly significant improvements of glucose tolerance. In conclusion, the present results indicate that pinolenic acid is a comparatively potent and efficacious dual FFA1/FFA4 agonist that exerts antidiabetic effects in an acute mouse model. The compound thus deserves attention as a potential active dietary ingredient to prevent or counteract metabolic diseases.

Key words: NEFA: FFAR1: G protein-coupled receptor 40: FFAR4: G protein-coupled receptor 120: Pinolenic acid: Type 2 diabetes

Obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2D) constitute a major health problem in our society. In 2014, the number of diabetics worldwide reached 387 million and was forecasted to reach 592 million by 2035, with T2D accounting for 90% of the cases⁽¹⁾. In addition to a sedentary lifestyle, diet is a major player in the development and control of metabolic diseases. Various foods, such as the Mediterranean diet⁽²⁾, fibre-rich

diets⁽³⁾, dairy products⁽⁴⁾, coffee⁽⁵⁾ and marine oils^(6,7), have been associated with protective effects against metabolic disorders⁽⁸⁾; however, the active ingredients in foodstuff and their mechanisms of action are largely unknown⁽⁹⁾. NEFA^(10,11) are known to exert biological effects by acting as precursors of various oxidised messenger molecules and by acting directly on both intracellular and cell surface

Abbreviations: BRET, bioluminescence resonance energy transfer; DMR, dynamic mass redistribution; DMSO, dimethylsulphoxide; FFA1–4, free fatty acid receptors 1–4; HEK, human embryonic kidney; GLA, γ -linolenic acid; T2D, type 2 diabetes; TFA, *trans*-fatty acid.

* **Corresponding author:** T. Ulven, email ulven@sdu.dk

receptors⁽⁸⁾. Their established biological activities suggest fatty acids as interesting potential candidates for active ingredients responsible for dietary health effects. The fatty acid receptors FFA1, FFA2, FFA3 and FFA4 are G protein-coupled 7-transmembrane receptors activated by different groups of NEFA and have all been associated in various ways with T2D and other metabolic and inflammatory disorders. FFA1 and FFA4 are activated by medium- to long-chain NEFA and are believed to be possible therapeutic targets for the treatment of T2D and obesity^(9–12). FFA2 and FFA3 are activated by SCFA^(13–15) and are highly expressed in the intestines where SCFA are produced by bacterial fermentation of dietary fibre^(16,17), and may therefore be involved in mediating some of the beneficial effects of dietary fibre on obesity and T2D^(18,19).

FFA1 is highly expressed in pancreatic β -cells and enhances glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in response to various medium- and long-chain NEFA^(10,20,21). The receptor has been clinically validated as a target for treatment of T2D by a phase 2 clinical study with the synthetic agonist fasiglifam⁽²²⁾. FFA1 is also expressed in enteroendocrine cells where it has been associated with release of glucose- and appetite-regulating hormones such as glucagon-like peptide-1, glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide and cholecystokinin^(23–25). FFA4 is expressed in intestinal enteroendocrine cells, where activation is reported to increase secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1, although this is controversial, and to inhibit secretion of the orexigenic hormone ghrelin^(12,26–28). The receptor is also expressed in the pancreas, adipose tissue, macrophages and the brain, where it has been associated with the protection of islets, improvement of insulin sensitivity and the mediation of anti-inflammatory and appetite-lowering effects^(29–33). Notably, a lack of FFA4 in mice or dysfunctional FFA4 in humans has been linked to increase the risk of obesity⁽³⁴⁾. These observations suggest that FFA4 may protect against diet-induced obesity and improve glycaemic control. In the present study, we examined the activity of dietary fatty acids on FFA1 and FFA4. Of these, pinolenic acid was selected for additional *in vitro* characterisation, and the potential of pine nut oil and pinolenic acid as anti-diabetic agents was evaluated in mouse studies.

Experimental methods

Materials and compounds

Acetic acid was acquired from VWR, 22:5*n*-6 from Santa Cruz Biotechnology and 5-oxo-6*E*,8*Z*,11*Z*,14*Z*-eicosatetraenoic acid (5-oxo-EETE) was synthesised according to a published procedure⁽³⁵⁾. Pinolenic acid (5,9,12-18:3*n*-6), pinolenic acid ethyl ester, 18:4*n*-3, 20:3*n*-3, 22:3*n*-3 and *c*18,*t*11,*t*13-18:3*n*-5 were from Cayman Chemicals, and the remaining NEFA and dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich. The pine nut oils were acquired from Huilerie Beaujolaise (FA-60), Siberian Pine Nut Oil (FA-61), Siberian Pine Nut Oil enriched with 10% resin (FA-62) and Siberian Tiger Natural, Inc. (FA-64). 10% H₂SO₄ in methanol, butylated hydroxytoluene and water-free methanol were

purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. *n*-Hexane was obtained from Fisher Scientific.

NEFA stock solutions

The NEFA were dissolved in DMSO to 10 mM, unless otherwise stated. The solubility of each stock solution was checked by visual inspection after 100-fold dilution in 10 mM-phosphate buffer at pH 7.4. The stock solutions of the saturated NEFA were prepared on the basis of individual solubility: 6:0–10:0 were dissolved to 100 mM in DMSO, 11:0 was dissolved to 50 mM in DMSO, 12:0–14:0 were dissolved to 10 mM in DMSO, 15:0–18:0 were dissolved to 1 mM in DMSO, 19:0–22:0 were dissolved to 0.5 mM in DMSO and 23:0 was dissolved to a saturated solution in DMSO approximately 0.5 mM. The PUFA and oxidised NEFA 24:1*n*-9, 20:3*n*-6, 22:4*n*-6, *t*10,*c*12-18:2*n*-6, 16-OH-16:0 and 12-OH-18:0 were prepared as 5 mM in DMSO and perfluorotetradecanoic acid as 2 mM in DMSO.

Cell culture

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C and 5% CO₂. In addition, stable cell lines with tetracycline-inducible expression of the receptor of interest were generated using the Flp-In™ T-REx™ 293 cell system (Life Technologies) as described previously^(36–38), and utilised to study NEFA receptor-induced Ca²⁺ mobilisation and dynamic mass redistribution (DMR).

Plasmids

Plasmids encoding either the human or mouse FFA1 or FFA4 (short isoform) receptors with enhanced yellow fluorescent protein fused to their C terminal and incorporating a N terminal FLAG epitope tag (FFA4 constructs only) in the pcDNA5 FRT/TO expression vector were generated as previously described⁽³⁶⁾.

β -Arrestin-2 interaction assay

β -Arrestin-2 recruitment to either human or mouse isoforms of FFA1 and FFA4 was measured using a bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET)-based approach, as previously described⁽³⁶⁾. Briefly, HEK 293T cells were co-transfected with enhanced yellow fluorescent protein-tagged forms of each receptor in a 4:1 ratio with a β -arrestin-2 Renilla luciferase plasmid using polyethylenimine. Cells were then transferred into white ninety-six-well plates at 24 h post-transfection. At 48 h post-transfection, cells were washed to remove fatty acids that may be present in the culture medium and the culture medium replaced with Hanks' balanced salt solution immediately before conducting the assay. For FFA4, cells were incubated with 2.5 μ M of the Renilla luciferase substrate coelenterazine h at 37°C for 10 min and the cells were then stimulated with NEFA samples for a further 5 min at 37°C. For FFA1, cells were incubated with NEFA samples for



15 min at 37°C. Coelenterazine h (2.5 µM) was then added to the cells for a further 15 min at 37°C. BRET, resulting from NEFA receptor–β-arrestin-2 interaction, was then determined by measuring the ratio of luminescence at 535 and 475 nm using a Pherastar FS fitted with the BRET1 optic module (BMG Labtech).

Ca²⁺ mobilisation

Ca²⁺ assays were carried out on Flp-In T-Rex 293 cell lines, generated to inducibly express either FFA4 or FFA1 upon treatment with doxycycline. One day before conducting the experiment, cells were seeded at 50 000 cells/well in black clear-bottom ninety-six-well microplates. Cells were allowed to adhere for 3–4 h before the addition of 100 ng/ml doxycycline to induce receptor expression. The following day, cells were incubated in culture medium containing the Ca²⁺-sensitive dye Fura2-AM (3 µM) for 45 min. Cells were then washed three times to remove fatty acids present in the culture medium and then allowed to equilibrate for 15 min in Hanks' balanced salt solution (HBSS) before conducting the assay. Fura2 fluorescent emission was measured at 510 nm following excitation at both 340 and 380 nm during the course of the experiment using a Flexstation plate reader (Molecular Devices). Ca²⁺ responses were then measured as the difference between 340:380 ratios before and after the addition of NEFA samples.

PPAR assay

A mouse embryo fibroblast cell line was used for PPARα, PPARδ or PPARγ transfections. Cells were propagated in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and antibiotics. For transfections, cells were transfected in solution by Metafectene lipofection, essentially according to the manufacturer's (Biontex) instructions and seeded in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and antibiotics in ninety-six-well dishes at 24 000 cells/cm². The transfection plasmid mix included the Gal4-responsive luciferase reporter, the expression vector for the fusion between the Gal4 DNA-binding domain and the ligand binding domain of human PPARα, PPARδ or PPARγ, and a cytomegalovirus promoter driven Renilla normalisation vector. 6 h after seeding the transfected cells, new media containing the DMSO vehicle (0.1–0.5%), positive control (GW7647 (30 nM) for PPARα, GW501516 (100 nM) for PPARδ or rosiglitazone (1 µM) for PPARγ) or the test compound was added. Approximately 18 h later, cells were harvested and lysates analysed for Photinus and Renilla luciferase activity by luminometry. All data points were performed in at least six replications. Luminometer raw data was analysed in Microsoft Excel spreadsheets and presented as column graphs depicting average values and standard deviations.

Label-free dynamic mass redistribution assay

Cell-based DMR assays were recorded as described previously in detail^(39,40), using a beta version of the Corning® Epic® Biosensor (Corning) or the Enspire® benchtop optical label-free

system in conjunction with the Mini Janus liquid handling station (Perkin Elmer). HEK 293 (HEK) cells were stably transfected with human FFA1 receptor or human FFA4 using the Flp-In™ T-Rex™ system according to the manufacturer's instructions (Life Technologies).

Cells were seeded at a density of 18 000 cells/well (FFA1-HEK, FFA4-HEK and HEK 293) on fibronectin-coated biosensor plates and were cultivated overnight (37°C, 5% CO₂) to obtain confluent monolayers. Afterwards, cells were washed twice with Hanks' balanced salt solution (HBSS) containing 20 mM-HEPES and 0.1% bovine serum albumin and incubated for at least 1 h in the Epic® reader at 37°C. The sensor plate was then scanned and a baseline optical signature was recorded. Hereafter, compound solutions were transferred into the biosensor plate and DMR was monitored for at least 4000 s. All optical DMR recordings are buffer-corrected. Quantification of DMR signals for concentration effect curves was calculated by maximum response within 1800 s. Data calculation was performed using GraphPad Prism 5.04 (GraphPad Software).

Fatty acid profiling by GC analysis

Fatty acid methyl esters were prepared by acid-catalysed transesterification from TAG of pine nut oil or maize oil⁽⁴¹⁾. Briefly, 1 µl of oil was derivatised at 60°C overnight with 1 ml of 2.5% methanolic H₂SO₄ and 20 µl 2 mg/ml butylated hydroxytoluene dissolved in dry methanol. After cooling to room temperature, 1 ml of water and 500 µl of *n*-hexane were added to the glass vials. Samples were centrifuged and 400 µl of the *n*-hexane-containing upper phase were transferred into a 1 ml auto-sampler vial for GC analysis. GC analysis was carried out using a Clarus 500 Gas Chromatograph (Perkin Elmer) equipped with a flame-ionisation detector and a capillary column (TR-FRAME, 60 m × 0.25 mm inner diameter, 0.25 mm film thickness). Helium was used as a carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. Samples (5 µl) were injected with 10:1 split ratio. The column temperature was maintained at 140°C for 5 min and then raised at a rate of 3°C/min up to 240°C and maintained for 20 min. The injection port and detector temperature were set to 250 and 260°C, respectively. Total chromatographic run time was 58 min. Chromatograms were processed using Total Chrome Navigator software, peak areas were used to achieve relative quantification of identified fatty acid methyl esters.

Oral glucose tolerance test in mice

Animal procedures were conducted in accordance with the University of Buckingham project licence under the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act (1986) and as approved by the University's Ethics Review Board. Male C57BL/6 mice (Charles River) aged 6–7 weeks on arrival were fed a standard laboratory chow diet that contained 10% fat, 70% carbohydrate and 20% protein by energy (Beekay Feed; B&K Universal Limited). They were housed at 21–23°C with lights on from 07.00 to 19.00 hours. The mice were fasted for 5 h before receiving an oral glucose load (3 g/kg); 30 min before receiving glucose, the mice were given pine nut oil (1 g/kg),

pinolenic acid (100 mg/kg) or ethyl pinolenate (100 mg/kg) by gavage. Control mice received maize oil (1 g/kg) and the FFA1 agonist TUG-905 (10 mg/kg) was used as a positive control. The dosing vehicle consisted of 10% DMSO, 90% (1:1 PEG400:100 mM-phosphate buffer pH 7.4). The dosing volume was 10 ml/kg. Blood samples were taken from the tail tip for glucose measurement at 30 min before the glucose load and after 30 min. Further samples for glucose only were obtained at 0, 30, 60 and 120 min after the glucose load. Blood samples (10 μ l) were mixed with haemolysis reagent and blood glucose measured in duplicate using the Sigma Enzymatic (Glucose Oxidase Trinder; ThermoFisher Microgenic) colorimetric method at 505 and 575 nm using a SpectraMax250 (Molecular Devices Corporation).

Statistical analysis

Data analysis and curve fitting were carried out using the GraphPad Prism software package version 5.0. Potency (pEC_{50}) and efficacy (E_{max}) values for the NEFA were calculated from the BRET and Ca^{2+} data by fitting to three-parameter sigmoidal concentration–response curves. Reported pEC_{50} and E_{max} values represent the mean with their standard errors of two to four independent experiments. For statistical comparison of the pinolenic acid curve-fit parameters obtained between human and mouse orthologues or between Ca^{2+} or arrestin-BRET assays, curve fits were generated for independent experiments and *t* tests used to establish statistical difference between the mean pEC_{50} values obtained. For statistical comparison of PPAR data, *t* tests of treatments against vehicle control were used. Results from fatty acid composition analysis are reported as means and standard deviation. Glucose tolerance data were analysed by two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni multiple comparisons against the vehicle-treated group. Results are presented as means with their standard errors. Statistical significance is indicated as * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ and *** $P < 0.001$.

Results

Screening and characterisation of NEFA

Since the solubility is a limiting factor in biological testing of NEFA, the solubility of the compounds was investigated by

dilution of DMSO solutions by 100-fold with PBS (pH 7.4). The concentration of the DMSO solution was reduced if PBS dilution resulted in precipitation or clouding. This gave DMSO solutions in the 0.5–100 mM range (see above). Saturated NEFA with longer chain length ($\geq C24$) were insufficiently soluble for testing. Most unsaturated NEFA were prepared as 10 mM-DMSO stock solutions and tested at a maximal concentration of 30 μ M. Compounds were generally screened at the highest possible concentration, and below their estimated critical micelle concentrations^(42–44), on FFA1 in a Ca mobilisation assay and on FFA4 in a β -arrestin-2 interaction BRET assay. Compounds exhibiting a response higher than 20% relative to the reference compounds (lauric acid for FFA1 and TUG-424 for FFA4) were characterised in full concentration–response curves (online Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2).

Screening of saturated NEFA on FFA1 and FFA4 resulted in the selection of compounds with a chain length of C10–C16 for detailed analysis. The compounds displayed similar potency on each receptor, although 10:0 and 11:0 appeared 10-fold more potent on FFA1 and vice versa for FFA4, and 14:0 and 15:0 were somewhat more potent on FFA4 (Table 1). There was a general trend towards higher efficacy for the medium-chain fatty acids and decreased efficacy towards the long-chain congeners for both receptors.

Myristoleic acid (14:1*n*-7) and palmitoleic acid (16:1*n*-7) were the most active MUFA with regard to both potency and efficacy on FFA1 and FFA4 (Table 2). Oleic acid (18:1*n*-9), petroselinic acid (18:1*n*-12) and *cis*-vaccenic acid (18:1*n*-7) displayed reduced efficacy on FFA4. MUFA longer than C18 were not sufficiently active on FFA4 to qualify for full curve testing. All MUFA acted as full agonists at FFA1 except the industrial *trans*-fatty acid (TFA) elaidic acid (*trans*-18:1*n*-9), which behaved as a partial agonist (online Supplementary Fig. S1), and nervonic acid (24:1*n*-9), which was inactive. Vaccenic acid (*trans*-18:1*n*-7), a TFA naturally present in ruminants, showed increased efficacy on FFA1 relative to lauric acid (12:0) and the other MUFA (online Supplementary Fig. S1). The low potency of several MUFA precluded accurate calculation of pEC_{50} and E_{max} .

The *n*-6 PUFA linoleic acid (18:2*n*-6) and γ -linolenic acid (GLA, 18:3*n*-6) were both comparably potent dual agonists on FFA1 and FFA4, with GLA tending towards higher potency

Table 1. Potency (pEC_{50}) and efficacy (E_{max}) values for medium- to long-chain saturated NEFA on hFFA1 and hFFA4

Fatty acid	FFA1*				FFA4†			
	pEC_{50}	SEM	E_{max} (%)	SEM	pEC_{50}	SEM	E_{max} (%)	SEM
Capric acid (10:0)	4.60	0.15	134	24	5.50	0.52	59	3
Undecylic acid (11:0)	5.45	0.37	119	29	4.52	0.03	66	5
Lauric acid (12:0)	4.94	0.13	116	3	5.12	0.07	79	2
Tridecyl acid (13:0)	5.59	0.15	154	44	5.35	0.09	89	0.4
Myristic acid (14:0)	4.83	0.04	43	26	5.44	0.08	71	2
Pentadecanoic acid (15:0)	< 4.5‡				5.73	0.06	49	3
Palmitic acid (16:0)	5.19	0.37	55	9	5.30	0.23	33	6

* Determined in a Ca^{2+} assay, efficacy is given as % response relative to 100 μ M-lauric acid (*n* 2 apart from undecylic acid (*n* 4), myristic acid (*n* 3) and pentadecanoic acid (*n* 3)).

† Determined in a β -arrestin-2 assay, efficacy is given as % response relative to 100 μ M-TUG-424 (*n* 2 apart from capric acid (*n* 3)).

‡ The response did not saturate; therefore, accurate measure of pEC_{50} and E_{max} could not be obtained.



Table 2. Potency (pEC_{50}) and efficacy (E_{max}) values for MUFA, including *trans*-MUFA, on hFFA1 and hFFA4

Fatty acid	FFA1*				FFA4†			
	pEC_{50}	SEM	E_{max} (%)	SEM	pEC_{50}	SEM	E_{max} (%)	SEM
Myristoleic acid (14:1 <i>n</i> -5)	5.32	0.12	139	21	5.41	0.48	110	20
Palmitoleic acid (16:1 <i>n</i> -7)	5.10	0.05	116	3	5.31	0.03	118	14
Oleic acid (18:1 <i>n</i> -9)	<4.5‡				4.91	0.02	62	1
Petroselinic acid (18:1 <i>n</i> -12)	<4.5‡				5.03	0.11	45	6
<i>cis</i> -Vaccenic acid (18:1 <i>n</i> -7)	<4.5‡				5.06	0.15	57	5
Elaidic acid (<i>trans</i> -18:1 <i>n</i> -9)	4.67	0.14	53	18	ND§		ND§	
Vaccenic acid (<i>trans</i> -18:1 <i>n</i> -7)	<4.5‡				ND§		ND§	
Eicosenoic acid (20:1 <i>n</i> -9)	<4.5‡				ND§		ND§	
Erucic acid (22:1 <i>n</i> -9)	<5.0‡				ND§		ND§	
Nervonic acid (24:1 <i>n</i> -9)	ND§		ND§		ND§		ND§	

ND, not determined.

* Determined on a Ca^{2+} assay (*n* 2), efficacy is given as % response relative to lauric acid.

† Determined on a β -arrestin-2 assay (*n* 3), efficacy is given as % response relative to TUG-424.

‡ The response did not saturate; therefore, accurate measure of pEC_{50} and E_{max} could not be obtained.

§ Activity less than 20% of reference compounds at maximal possible concentration.

(Table 3). Linolelaidic acid (all-*trans*-18:2*n*-6), an industrial TFA, was a full agonist of FFA1, but only a partial agonist of FFA4. Dihomo- γ -linolenic acid (20:3*n*-6), arachidonic acid (20:4*n*-6) and adrenic acid (22:4*n*-6) were equally potent agonists on FFA1 and slightly more potent on FFA4, but displayed decreased efficacy on FFA4 with increasing unsaturation and chain length. The longest *n*-6 PUFA tested, adrenic acid was a moderately potent full agonist of both FFA1 and FFA4. The ethylene interrupted *n*-6 PUFA pinolenic acid (5,9,12-18:3*n*-6) was one of the most potent NEFA on both FFA1 and FFA4 and displayed high efficacy on both receptors.

The *n*-3 PUFA α -linolenic acid (18:3*n*-3) and stearidonic acid (18:4*n*-3) were also potent dual agonists. The more

highly unsaturated EPA (20:5*n*-3) appeared to be more than twice as potent on both receptors compared with 20:3*n*-3. Of the longer *n*-3 PUFA, 22:3*n*-3 was the only selective FFA4 agonist among the NEFA, whereas DHA (22:6*n*-3) was a potent dual agonist.

The conjugated linoleic acids *c*9,*t*11-18:2*n*-7 and *t*10,*c*12-18:2*n*-6 showed moderate dual agonism and slightly higher potency on FFA4 than FFA1, whereas the all-*trans* isomer *t*9,*t*11-18:2*n*-7 was equally potent but exhibited low efficacy on both receptors. The *c*9,*t*11,*t*13-18:3*n*-5 conjugated NEFA was approximately 10-fold less potent on FFA1 compared with the conjugated linoleic acids and more potent but less efficacious on FFA4. Ximenynic acid, a conjugated

Table 3. Potency (pEC_{50}) and efficacy (E_{max}) values for PUFA on hFFA1 and hFFA4

Fatty acid	FFA1*				FFA4†			
	pEC_{50}	SEM	E_{max} (%)	SEM	pEC_{50}	SEM	E_{max} (%)	SEM
LA (18:2 <i>n</i> -6)	4.71	0.04	143	10	5.34	0.05	105	7
GLA (18:3 <i>n</i> -6)	5.15	0.01	122	14	5.65	0.03	110	18
Linolelaidic acid (all- <i>trans</i> -18:2 <i>n</i> -6)	4.48	0.11	108	49	5.34	0.28	40	6
Eicosadienoic acid (20:2 <i>n</i> -6)	<4.5‡				4.69	0.04	80	11
DGLA (20:3 <i>n</i> -6)	4.51	0.28	134	26	4.82	0.19	120	10
AA (20:4 <i>n</i> -6)	4.66	0.12	139	6	4.92	0.20	83	5
Adrenic acid (22:4 <i>n</i> -6)	4.61	0.14	131	39	4.74	0.14	60	2
Pinolenic acid (5,9,12-18:3 <i>n</i> -6)	5.42	0.12	141	33	5.52	0.09	122	21
ALA (18:3 <i>n</i> -3)	5.29	0.02	134	12	5.63	0.08	101	11
SDA (18:4 <i>n</i> -3)	5.89	0.25	83	0.2	5.90	0.10	110	8
Eicosatrienoic acid (20:3 <i>n</i> -3)	<4.5‡				4.98	0.02	97	21
EPA (20:5 <i>n</i> -3)	5.07	0.09	122	2	5.34	0.02	101	15
Docosatrienoic acid (22:3 <i>n</i> -3)	ND§		ND§		4.58	0.08	81	37
DHA (22:6 <i>n</i> -3)	5.09	0.07	88	19	5.37	0.03	87	10
<i>c</i> 9, <i>t</i> 11-CLA (<i>c</i> 9, <i>t</i> 11-18:2 <i>n</i> -7)	4.63	0.12	97	35	5.04	0.11	112	18
<i>t</i> 9, <i>t</i> 11-CLA (<i>t</i> 9, <i>t</i> 11-18:2 <i>n</i> -7)	4.70	0.06	38	16	4.75	0.45	11	1
<i>t</i> 10, <i>c</i> 12-CLA (<i>t</i> 10, <i>c</i> 12-18:2 <i>n</i> -6)	4.80	0.17	84	6	5.24	0.09	105	9
α -Eleostearic acid (<i>c</i> 9, <i>t</i> 11, <i>t</i> 13-18:3 <i>n</i> -5)	<4.5‡				4.69	0.10	55	10
Ximenynic acid	5.33	0.24	56	7	5.00	0.06	39	2

LA, linoleic acid; GLA, γ -linolenic acid; DGLA, dihomogamma-linolenic acid; AA, arachidonic acid; ALA, α -linolenic acid; SDA, stearidonic acid; ND, not determined; CLA, conjugated linoleic acid.

* Determined on a Ca^{2+} assay, efficacy is given as % response relative to lauric acid (*n* 2, apart from DGLA, adrenic acid, pinolenic acid, eicosatrienoic acid, α -eleostearic acid and ximenynic acid for which *n* 3).

† Determined in a β -arrestin-2 assay, efficacy is given as % response relative to TUG-424 (same replicate numbers as for the Ca^{2+} assay).

‡ The response did not saturate, therefore accurate measure of pEC_{50} and E_{max} could not be obtained.

§ Activity less than 20% of reference compounds at maximal possible concentration.

Table 4. Potency (pEC₅₀) and efficacy (E_{max}) values for oxidised, branched and other NEFA on hFFA1 and hFFA4

Fatty acid	FFA1*				FFA4†			
	pEC ₅₀	SEM	E _{max} (%)	SEM	pEC ₅₀	SEM	E _{max} (%)	SEM
5-oxo-EETE	ND‡		ND‡		5.48	0.22	67	18
Ricinoleic acid (12S-OH-18:1 <i>n</i> -9)	4.94	0.16	118	13	5.88	0.11	94	13
Ricinelaic acid (12-OH- <i>trans</i> -18:1 <i>n</i> -9)	<4.5§				<4.5§			
Juniperic acid (16-OH-16:0)	4.68	0.01	51	8	5.77	0.00	68	17
12-Hydroxyoctadecanoic acid (12-OH-18:0)	ND‡		ND‡		5.76	0.28	88	30
2-Hydroxytetradecanoic acid (2-OH-14:0)	ND‡		ND‡		ND‡		ND‡	
2-Hydroxyoleic acid (2-OH-18:1 <i>n</i> -9)	ND‡		ND‡		4.64	0.14	34	2
4-Ethyl octanoic acid (4-Et-8:0)	ND‡		ND‡		ND‡		ND‡	
α-Lipoic acid	ND‡		ND‡		ND‡		ND‡	
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	3.81	0.18	186	23	ND‡		ND‡	

5-oxo-EETE, 5-oxo-6E,8Z,11Z,14Z-eicosatetraenoic acid; ND, not determined.

* Determined on a Ca²⁺ assay, efficacy is given as % response relative to lauric acid (*n* 2, apart from ricinoleic acid (*n* 3), ricinelaic acid (*n* 3) and 2-hydroxyoleic acid (*n* 3)).

† Determined on a bioluminescence resonance energy transfer assay, efficacy is given as % response relative to TUG-424 (*n* 3).

‡ Activity less than 20% of reference compounds at maximal possible concentration.

§ The response did not saturate; therefore, accurate measure of pEC₅₀ and E_{max} could not be obtained.

enyne, was a potent agonist on FFA1 but only a partial agonist on FFA4.

A selection of oxidised, branched and other NEFA was evaluated on FFA1 and FFA4 (Table 4). The keto-NEFA 5-oxo-EETE, a metabolite of arachidonic acid involved in inflammatory processes by activation of the OXE receptor⁽⁴⁵⁾, was found to be inactive on FFA1 and a potent partial agonist on FFA4. Of the saturated hydroxy-NEFA, only juniperic acid (16-OH-16:0) showed activity on FFA1, whereas both 16-OH-16:0 (10-fold more potent) and 12-OH-18:0 were partial agonists on FFA4.

The 12-OH MUFA ricinoleic acid (12S-OH-18:1*n*-9) stood out among the hydroxy NEFA with high potency and efficacy on both FFA1 and FFA4 with EC₅₀ in the low micromolar range and high efficacy, whereas the corresponding TFA ricinelaic acid (12-OH-*trans*-18:1*n*-9) was found to be more than an order of magnitude less potent. The perfluorotetradecanoic acid is a representative synthetic perfluoroalkyl acid, e.g., found in non-stick coatings in food packing and cookware and suspected to be harmful⁽⁴⁶⁾. Perfluorotetradecanoic acid was a poorly soluble low potency but high efficacy agonist on FFA1.

In vitro characterisation of pinolenic acid

Pinolenic acid was chosen because of its combined high potency and high efficacy on both receptors, and was thus

further evaluated in both the Ca²⁺ and the β-arrestin-2 interaction BRET assay on the human and mouse orthologues of FFA1 and FFA4 (Table 5). Pinolenic acid showed similar potency between human and mouse orthologues of both FFA1 and FFA4, as no statistical differences (*P*>0.05) were observed between the pEC₅₀ obtained for the two species compared within the same assay format. When comparing between assay formats, it was apparent that pinolenic acid did tend to exhibit lower potency in the β-arrestin-2 BRET assay than in the Ca²⁺ assay, with significantly lower β-arrestin-2 BRET pEC₅₀ values obtained for human FFA1 (*P*<0.01), mouse FFA1 (*P*<0.05), mouse FFA4 (*P*<0.05), but not human FFA4 (*P*>0.05). Overall, the results indicated that pinolenic acid shows similar pharmacology between human and mouse orthologues, and therefore should be suitable for *in vivo* evaluation in mice.

Pinolenic acid has previously been reported to activate the nuclear receptors PPARα and PPARδ⁽⁴⁷⁾. We tested the compound at these two receptors and PPARγ, and confirmed full activation of PPARα at 50 μM with a small but significant response already at 10 μM (online Supplementary Fig. S3). Likewise, pinolenic acid was confirmed to activate PPARδ at 50 μM, but only to approximately 20% of the level of the selective agonist GW501516. A very small but significant response was also observed at 10 μM. Pinolenic acid did not significantly activate PPARγ at up to 50 μM and did not significantly

Table 5. Potency (pEC₅₀) and efficacy (E_{max}) values for pinolenic acid on human (h) and mouse (m) orthologues of FFA1 and FFA4

Fatty acid	β-Arrestin-2 BRET*				Ca ²⁺ †			
	pEC ₅₀	SEM	E _{max}	SEM	pEC ₅₀	SEM	E _{max}	SEM
hFFA1	5.01	0.15	125	5	–‡		–‡	
mFFA1	4.40	0.38	124	21	5.56	0.20	99	7
hFFA4	–‡		–‡		5.49	0.10	86	7
mFFA4	4.96	0.03	107	2	5.44	0.08	77	8

BRET, bioluminescence resonance energy transfer.

* Efficacy is given as % response relative to TUG-424 (*n* 3 for hFFA1 and mFFA1, *n* 4 for mFFA4).

† Efficacy is given as % response relative to lauric acid (*n* 8 for hFFA1, *n* 4 for mFFA1 and *n* 7 hFFA4).

‡ See values in Table 3.

activate any PPAR at concentrations corresponding to the EC₅₀ values at FFA1 and FFA4.

The DMR assay is a label-free technology that captures integrated responses of living cells in real time in a pathway-unbiased yet pathway-sensitive manner. Changes of cytoskeletal rearrangement as a consequence of cell signalling alter the refractive index in the sensing zone above the optical biosensor, which can be monitored by light refraction measurement, and thereby circumvent the need for fluorescent tagging and other labelling that may interfere with the natural cellular processes. Due to the holistic nature of this detection system, it is ideally suited to unravel mechanistic differences of test compounds that mediate their pharmacological effect via targets with pleiotropic signalling⁽³⁹⁾ but also to expose off-target effects of test compounds under controlled conditions. We therefore characterised pinolenic acid on cells transfected with FFA1, FFA4 or empty vector DNA as control and compared real-time signalling patterns with those induced by the FFA1 agonist TUG-424⁽⁴⁸⁾ and the FFA4-selective agonist TUG-891⁽³⁸⁾ that we previously developed for both receptors and that have shown beneficial effects on glucose tolerance in rodent models (online Supplementary Fig. S4). We observed robust and concentration-dependent activation by pinolenic acid of both FFA1 and FFA4 but no evidence for divergent modes of receptor activation compared with the synthetic small molecules (Fig. 1). Importantly, the lack of cell responses in mock-transfected control cells indicates selective agonism via FFA1 and FFA4 but also the absence of non-specific perturbation of cell function.

Analysis of pine nut oils

Pine nut oil has the highest proportion of pinolenic acid of any natural oil known. The concentration of pinolenic acid in pine nuts from different regions and pine species is known to vary, with the most common nuts used for food oils being Korean pine nuts and Siberian pine nuts containing 13.9–15.0% and 18.1–18.5%, respectively⁽⁴⁹⁾. Therefore, four different Siberian pine nut oils were selected and the fatty acid composition analysed using the GC method to determine the amount of pinolenic acid (Table 6). FA-61 was found to contain the highest amount of pinolenic acid and was selected for *in vivo* studies in mice. FA-60 and FA-62 contained only

slightly lower amounts of pinolenic acid, whereas the amount was less than half in FA-64. Maize oil was chosen as a reference. Analysis confirmed a fatty acid composition as reported in the Danish Food Composition Database⁽⁵⁰⁾. The oil did not contain pinolenic acid and only trace amounts of other 18:3 fatty acids, and compensatory increased levels of 16:0, 18:1n-9 and 18:2n-6.

Oral glucose tolerance test with pine nut oil and pinolenic acid in mice

The effects of pine nut oil and corresponding doses of pinolenic acid and pinolenic acid ethyl ester on acute glucose tolerance were investigated in mice using oral administration (Fig. 2). Maize oil contains a distribution of fatty acids that, apart from pinolenic acid, closely resembles pine nut oil, and was therefore used as a control. The FFA1 agonist TUG-905, an orally bioavailable potent and selective agonist on both human and murine FFA1^(36,51), was used as positive control. Pine nut oil significantly reduced the plasma glucose concentration 30 min after glucose challenge relative to maize oil ($P < 0.05$). Pinolenic acid ethyl ester and TUG-905 significantly lowered the plasma glucose concentration compared with the maize oil-treated group ($t = +30$ min, $P < 0.001$, $t = +60$ min, $P < 0.05$) (Fig. 2(a)). The free pinolenic acid was compared in a head-to-head study with the pinolenic acid ethyl ester and demonstrated similar glucose-lowering effects (Fig. 2(b)).

Discussion

The receptors FFA1 and FFA4 have previously been shown to respond to long-chain NEFA and are linked to several physiological processes that could have beneficial effect on metabolic diseases, including enhancement of glucose-dependent insulin secretion for FFA1, anti-inflammatory and insulin-sensitising effects for FFA4 and regulation of secretion of incretins and other hormones affecting appetite and plasma glucose^(19,52). Both receptors are regarded as potential therapeutic targets for the treatment of metabolic diseases and FFA1 is clinically validated through studies with the selective agonist fasiglifam/TAK-875⁽²²⁾. As nutrient-sensing receptors, they are likely mediators of effects of food components counteracting obesity and metabolic diseases^(53,54).




Fig. 1. Concentration–response curves of pinolenic acid from the dynamic mass redistribution assay in FFA1-transfected (a), FFA4-transfected (b) and mock-transfected HEK 293 cells. Values are means, with their standard errors of three independent experiments represented by vertical bars. (a) \circ –, hFFA1-HEK; \bullet –, HEK 2993. (b) \circ –, hFFA4-HEK; \bullet –, HEK 2993.

Table 6. Fatty acid (FA) composition of pine nut oils and maize oil determined by GC analysis* (Mean values and standard deviations)

	FA-60		FA-61		FA-62		FA-64		Maize oil	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Palmitic acid (16:0)	4.7	0.1	4.1	0.0	4.1	0.0	4.8	0.0	10.3	0.0
Stearic acid (18:0)	1.8	0.4	2.3	0.2	2.7	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0
Oleic acid (18:1 <i>n</i> -9)	24.3	1.4	21.8	0.6	23.0	0.3	16.7	0.1	29.2	0.1
Linoleic acid (18:2 <i>n</i> -6)	46.8	0.8	47.7	0.6	46.7	0.2	65.6	0.1	57.1	0.0
Pinolenic acid (5,9,12-18:3 <i>n</i> -6)	19.1	1.1	20.9	0.5	20.2	0.1	8.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
α -Linolenic acid (18:3 <i>n</i> -3)	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.3	0.0
Eicosenoic acid (20:1 <i>n</i> -9)	1.0	0.1	1.1	0.1	1.1	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.0
Eicosadienoic acid (20:2 <i>n</i> -6)	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Eicosatrienoic acid (20:3 <i>n</i> -3)	1.1	0.1	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0

*Means and SD are calculated from three independent replicates.

Apart from the screening reported with the deorphanisation of the receptors^(9–12), the activity of dietary NEFA on these receptors has not been investigated. Here, we elucidate the agonist properties of a broad selection of long-chain NEFA and further elaborate the structure–activity relationships of NEFA on FFA1 and FFA4. Since it is probable that the two receptors can act co-operatively or synergistically against T2D, we have focused on the effect of the NEFA that co-activate FFA1 and FFA4.

A Ca²⁺ assay was employed for screening of FFA1, since increased intracellular Ca²⁺ is the pathway leading to insulin release⁽⁵⁵⁾. β -Arrestin recruitment is relevant to the function of FFA4 as this pathway has been implicated in the anti-inflammatory and insulin-sensitising effects of the receptor⁽²⁹⁾; thus, FFA4 screening was performed using a β -arrestin-2 interaction BRET assay. Many of the NEFA investigated here have also been previously characterised on FFA1 and FFA4 by others^(9–12). Our data generally correspond well with these results. The saturated NEFA were found to be 7- to 10-fold more potent on FFA4 than the reported Ca²⁺ data by Hirasawa *et al.*⁽¹²⁾. However, they employed a Ca²⁺-mobilisation assay, whereas we have used a β -arrestin-2 recruitment assay, and the discrepancy could possibly be explained by a signalling bias towards β -arrestin-2 for these NEFA. None of the previous reports include efficacy data, which is a factor that can result in significant functional differences. For example, FFA1 agonists with high efficacy in Ca²⁺

response in cells expressing the receptor at physiological levels have been associated with the release of glucagon-like peptide-1, whereas partial FFA1 agonists appear to lack this property⁽²³⁾. Discrepancies between the reported data for some of the NEFA can probably be explained by their relatively modest potency combined with poor solubility and risk of micelle formation. Furthermore, the amount of bovine serum albumin used in the different assays can dramatically affect the free concentration of NEFA.

The MUFA myristoleic acid was identified as a potent agonist on FFA1 with activity in the low micromolar range and high efficacy. In addition, four MUFA that have previously been reported to activate FFA1 and FFA4 were confirmed, including oleic acid (18:1*n*-9), especially abundant in Mediterranean diet, and palmitoleic acid (16:1*n*-7), a ‘lipokine’ mediating metabolic homeostasis between organs⁽⁵⁶⁾. The potencies obtained on FFA4 for the *n*-6 NEFA GLA, dihomo- γ -linolenic acid and adrenic acid corresponded to the values reported by Hirasawa *et al.*⁽¹²⁾, with GLA appearing to be more potent than the two others. The compounds varied considerably in efficacy, although for many compounds, the curves did not level sufficiently to determine the accurate potency and efficacy. For FFA1, lower potencies (approximately 2-fold) were found for the longer *n*-6 NEFA with the decreased potency being more pronounced for 20:2*n*-6 and dihomo- γ -linolenic acid (>4-fold) compared with previously




Fig. 2. Oral glucose tolerance test in mice, compounds dosed orally 30 min before glucose challenge. Values are means, with their standard errors represented by vertical bars (*n* 8). Mean value was significantly different: **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001. In (b), one high value (>12 mm) excluded at *t* = +30 in free acid group. (a) \circ – \circ , Control (1 g/kg maize oil); \bullet – \bullet , 1 g/kg pine nut oil; \blacktriangle – \blacktriangle , 100 mg/kg pinolenic acid ethyl ester; \blacktriangle – \blacktriangle , 100 mg/kg TUG-905. (b) \circ – \circ , Control (1 g/kg maize oil); \bullet – \bullet , 100 mg/kg pinolenic acid (free acid); \triangle – \triangle , 100 mg/kg pinolenic acid (ethyl ester).

reported data⁽¹¹⁾. The positional isomer of GLA, pinolenic acid, was among the most potent and efficacious NEFA on both receptors. All *n*-3 NEFA tested on FFA1 have been reported to be agonists in the low micromolar range^(9–11). This was confirmed with the exception of 22:3*n*-3, which showed low activity in our assay, but has previously been reported with EC₅₀ = 7 μM⁽¹¹⁾. The difference might be explained by assay variance or by 22:3*n*-3 being a low efficacy agonist relative to lauric acid (12:0). 22:3*n*-3 was also the only NEFA deviating substantially from the previously published data on FFA4, being a partial agonist in our assay but previously reported to be inactive⁽¹²⁾. This could possibly be explained by a bias of 22:3*n*-3 towards the β-arrestin-2 pathway. Stearidonic acid, a precursor of EPA, was also identified as a particularly potent agonist on both FFA1 and FFA4.

In contrast to most of the other unsaturated fatty acid, TFA are generally associated with detrimental health effects, also in relation to metabolic diseases⁽⁵⁷⁾. The TFA elaidic acid, vaccenic acid and linolelaidic acid all displayed relatively low potency on FFA1 and low efficacy or no activity on FFA4. The conjugated NEFA, in general, exhibited intermediate potencies on both receptors, apart from the all *trans*-isomer that was found to have low efficacy. Conjugated linoleic acids are associated with several beneficial health effects, but may have a detrimental effect on metabolic diseases⁽⁵⁸⁾. The conjugated linoleic acids have previously been reported as FFA1 agonists with potencies similar or somewhat lower to what we have shown⁽⁵⁹⁾.

Oxidation products of fatty acids often act as potent and specific signalling molecules, including members of the prostanoic, leukotriene, lipoxin and resolvins classes. Ricinoleic acid (12*S*-OH-18:1*n*-9) appeared to be a more potent and efficacious agonist on FFA4 compared with the corresponding non-hydroxylated oleic acid. The same trend was also observed for the saturated NEFA juniperic acid (16-OH-16:0) compared with palmitic acid (16:0) and for 12-OH-18:0 compared with 18:0, however, to a smaller degree. Thus, hydroxylation of NEFA does in several cases seem to increase both potency and efficacy on FFA4. This is in agreement with a recent publication linking a hydroxy-MUFA to intestinal homeostasis through FFA1⁽⁶⁰⁾.

The most potent dual agonists for FFA1 and FFA4 included the ethylene interrupted *n*-6 PUFA pinolenic acid (5,9,12-18:3*n*-6) and the *n*-3 PUFA stearidonic acid (18:4*n*-3), both with single digit μM EC₅₀-values on both receptors. Pinolenic acid was chosen for further investigation partly due to a tendency towards higher efficacy for this compound. Stearidonic acid (18:4*n*-3) is an intermediate in the conversion of α-linolenic acid (18:3*n*-3) to EPA and the longer chain *n*-3 PUFA, and its low general abundance can be explained by its efficiency as an enzyme substrate⁽⁶¹⁾. In contrast, pinolenic acid is not converted to arachidonic acid, and is therefore not a likely precursor of eicosanoids, nor has it been found to give rise to chain shortened metabolites^(62–64). The C2-elongated pinolenic acid 7,11,14-20:3*n*-6 is, however, reported to be formed in macrophages⁽⁶⁵⁾ and to decrease the formation of PGE₂ production by competition with arachidonic acid for the cyclo-oxygenase-2 enzyme⁽⁶⁶⁾.

It is notable that pine nut oil, containing up to 20% pinolenic acid, has been associated with effects that potentially can be explained by activity on FFA1 and FFA4. Supplementation of pine nut oil to mice on a high fat diet has been shown to reduce weight gain and intramuscular lipid accumulation compared with soyabean oil⁽⁴⁷⁾. This was explained at least partly by dual agonism on PPARα and PPARδ, nuclear receptors activated by NEFA that are involved in metabolism⁽⁶⁷⁾. *In vivo* experiments in rats using Korean pine nut oil also revealed beneficial effects on degenerative disorders such as hypercholesterolaemia, thrombosis and hypertension⁽⁶²⁾. Additionally, treatment of human hepatocytes with pinolenic acid-enriched NEFA extracts of hydrolysed Korean pine nut oil showed an LDL-lowering effect mediated by an increased cholesterol uptake⁽⁶⁸⁾. The effect of Korean pine nut oil has also been investigated on overweight post-menopausal women and showed appetite suppressant effects and a significant increase in the levels of the satiety hormones cholecystokinin-8 and glucagon-like peptide-1 compared with olive oil-treated women⁽⁶⁹⁾. We confirmed activity of pinolenic acid on PPARα and PPARδ at higher concentrations, but did not observe any activity at 3 μM concentration, corresponding to EC₅₀ at FFA1 and FFA4. Furthermore, robust and similar activities were observed with pinolenic acid in the label-free DMR assay in FFA1- and FFA4-transfected cells, whereas the compound was inactive in non-transfected cells, indicating that pinolenic acid-induced cell activation is FFA1 and FFA4 dependent. Together with the expected higher exposure of cell surface receptors compared with nuclear receptors to pinolenic acid, this suggests FFA1 and FFA4 as primary targets for pinolenic acid. Moreover, the complex pharmacology of especially FFA1 has raised the question of whether NEFA and synthetic compounds engage the same signalling mechanisms⁽⁷⁰⁾, and the similarity between DMR traces of pinolenic acid and synthetic receptor ligands suggests that they do in this case.

Effects such as glucose-dependent insulin secretion, protection of pancreatic islets, anti-inflammatory and insulin-sensitising effects and secretion of appetite- and glucose-regulating hormones have been linked to either FFA1 or FFA4. The combination of these effects could be expected to robustly counteract metabolic diseases. From this rationale, co-activation of FFA1 and FFA4 appears to be an attractive strategy for treatment of metabolic diseases. Even dual FFA1/FFA4 agonists with relatively moderate potency, such as pinolenic acid, could have potential to give robust effects due to synergistic activities between the receptors. Indeed, pinolenic acid is already associated with some of the effects that would be expected from dual FFA1/FFA4 agonism. Although further studies are required to confirm the effects of pinolenic acid and elucidate to which degree FFA1 and FFA4 are responsible for these, the compound appears to be an interesting candidate for an active ingredient in diets to prevent or counteract metabolic diseases.

Supplementary material

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S000711451500118X>

Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Karsten Kristiansen for useful discussions and Professor Nils J. Færgeman for access to GC equipment. We are grateful to Corning® and Perkin Elmer for providing us with support on the Epic® biosensor and the Enspire multi-mode microplate reader.

The present study was supported by the Danish Council for Strategic Research (grant 11-116196).

None of the authors has any conflict of interest to declare.

The authors' contributions are as follows: T. U. conceived the study; E. C. and T. U. selected compounds for the study; E. C. acquired or synthesised test compounds, performed solubility tests and dissolved NEFA; K. R. W. and L. J. performed Ca and β -arrestin-2 assays; M. G. and K. S. performed DMR assays; R. K. P. designed and performed PPAR assays; C. J. S. and E. T. W. performed animal studies; E. C., T. U., R. K. P., E. S., K. R. W., B. D. H., G. M., M. G., E. K., C. J. S. and M. A. C. analysed the data; E. C. and T. U. wrote the manuscript; G. M., M. A. C., E. K., C. S. E., K. R. W., B. D. H., C. J. S., M. G., E. S. and R. K. P. critically read and provided feedback; G. M., M. A. C., E. K., T. U., C. S. E. and B. D. H. designed and supervised the studies. All authors approved the final manuscript.

References

- International Diabetes Federation (2013) *IDF Diabetes Atlas, 2014 Update*, 6th ed. Brussels: International Diabetes Federation. <http://www.idf.org/diabetesatlas>
- Perez-Martinez P, Garcia-Rios A, Delgado-Lista J, *et al.* (2011) Mediterranean diet rich in olive oil and obesity, metabolic syndrome and diabetes mellitus. *Curr Pharm Des* **17**, 769–777.
- Heikkilä HM, Krachler B, Rauramaa R, *et al.* (2014) Diet, insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity – the Dose-Responses to Exercise Training (DR's EXTRA) Study (ISRCTN45977199). *Br J Nutr* **112**, 1530–1541.
- Hirahatake KM, Slavin JL, Maki KC, *et al.* (2014) Associations between dairy foods, diabetes, and metabolic health: potential mechanisms and future directions. *Metabolism* **63**, 618–627.
- Jiang X, Zhang D & Jiang W (2014) Coffee and caffeine intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Eur J Nutr* **53**, 25–38.
- Wu JH, Micha R, Imamura F, *et al.* (2012) Omega-3 fatty acids and incident type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Nutr* **107**, Suppl. 2, S214–S227.
- Yanai H, Hamasaki H, Katsuyama H, *et al.* (2015) Effects of intake of fish or fish oils on the development of diabetes. *J Clin Med Res* **7**, 8–12.
- Ran-Ressler RR, Bae S, Lawrence P, *et al.* (2014) Branched-chain fatty acid content of foods and estimated intake in the USA. *Br J Nutr* **112**, 565–572.
- Kotarsky K, Nilsson NE, Flodgren E, *et al.* (2003) A human cell surface receptor activated by free fatty acids and thiazolidinedione drugs. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **301**, 406–410.
- Itoh Y, Kawamata Y, Harada M, *et al.* (2003) Free fatty acids regulate insulin secretion from pancreatic β cells through GPR40. *Nature* **422**, 173–176.
- Briscoe CP, Tadayyon M, Andrews JL, *et al.* (2003) The orphan G protein-coupled receptor GPR40 is activated by medium and long chain fatty acids. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 11303–11311.
- Hirasawa A, Tsumaya K, Awaji T, *et al.* (2005) Free fatty acids regulate gut incretin glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion through GPR120. *Nat Med* **11**, 90–94.
- Le Poul E, Loison C, Struyf S, *et al.* (2003) Functional characterization of human receptors for short chain fatty acids and their role in polymorphonuclear cell activation. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 25481–25489.
- Brown AJ, Goldsworthy SM, Barnes AA, *et al.* (2003) The Orphan G protein-coupled receptors GPR41 and GPR43 are activated by propionate and other short chain carboxylic acids. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 11312–11319.
- Nilsson NE, Kotarsky K, Owman C, *et al.* (2003) Identification of a free fatty acid receptor, FFA2R, expressed on leukocytes and activated by short-chain fatty acids. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **303**, 1047–1052.
- Natarajan N & Pluznick JL (2014) From microbe to man: the role of microbial short chain fatty acid metabolites in host cell biology. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* **307**, C979–C985.
- Cani PD, Everard A & Duparc T (2013) Gut microbiota, enteroendocrine functions and metabolism. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* **13**, 935–940.
- Ulven T (2012) Short-chain free fatty acid receptors FFA2/GPR43 and FFA3/GPR41 as new potential therapeutic targets. *Front Endocrinol* **3**, 111.
- Offermanns S (2014) Free fatty acid (FFA) and hydroxy carboxylic acid (HCA) receptors. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **54**, 407–434.
- Briscoe CP, Peat AJ, McKeown SC, *et al.* (2006) Pharmacological regulation of insulin secretion in MIN6 cells through the fatty acid receptor GPR40: identification of agonist and antagonist small molecules. *Br J Pharmacol* **148**, 619–628.
- Del Guerra S, Bugliani M, D'Aleo V, *et al.* (2010) G-protein-coupled receptor 40 (GPR40) expression and its regulation in human pancreatic islets: the role of type 2 diabetes and fatty acids. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* **20**, 22–25.
- Burant CF, Viswanathan P, Marcinak J, *et al.* (2012) TAK-875 versus placebo or glimepiride in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a phase 2, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* **379**, 1403–1411.
- Luo J, Swaminath G, Brown SP, *et al.* (2012) A potent class of GPR40 full agonists engages the enteroinsular axis to promote glucose control in rodents. *PLOS ONE* **7**, e46300.
- Liou AP, Lu X, Sei Y, *et al.* (2011) The G-protein-coupled receptor GPR40 directly mediates long-chain fatty acid-induced secretion of cholecystokinin. *Gastroenterology* **140**, 903–912.
- Edfalk S, Steneberg P & Edlund H (2008) Gpr40 is expressed in enteroendocrine cells and mediates free fatty acid stimulation of incretin secretion. *Diabetes* **57**, 2280–2287.
- Engelstoft MS, Park WM, Sakata I, *et al.* (2013) Seven transmembrane G protein-coupled receptor repertoire of gastric ghrelin cells. *Mol Metab* **2**, 376–392.
- Gong Z, Yoshimura M, Aizawa S, *et al.* (2014) G protein-coupled receptor 120 signaling regulates ghrelin secretion *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* **306**, E28–E35.
- Paulsen SJ, Larsen LK, Hansen G, *et al.* (2014) Expression of the fatty acid receptor GPR120 in the gut of diet-induced-obese rats and its role in GLP-1 secretion. *PLOS ONE* **9**, e88227.
- Oh DY, Talukdar S, Bae EJ, *et al.* (2010) GPR120 is an omega-3 fatty acid receptor mediating potent anti-inflammatory and insulin-sensitizing effects. *Cell* **142**, 687–698.

30. Stone VM, Dhayal S, Brocklehurst KJ, *et al.* (2014) GPR120 (FFAR4) is preferentially expressed in pancreatic delta cells and regulates somatostatin secretion from murine islets of Langerhans. *Diabetologia* **57**, 1182–1191.
31. Li X, Yu Y & Funk CD (2013) Cyclooxygenase-2 induction in macrophages is modulated by docosahexaenoic acid via interactions with free fatty acid receptor 4 (FFA4). *FASEB J* **27**, 4987–4997.
32. Cintra DE, Ropelle ER, Moraes JC, *et al.* (2012) Unsaturated fatty acids revert diet-induced hypothalamic inflammation in obesity. *PLOS ONE* **7**, e30571.
33. Wellhauser L & Belsham DD (2014) Activation of the omega-3 fatty acid receptor GPR120 mediates anti-inflammatory actions in immortalized hypothalamic neurons. *J Neuroinflammation* **11**, 60.
34. Ichimura A, Hirasawa A, Poulain-Godefroy O, *et al.* (2012) Dysfunction of lipid sensor GPR120 leads to obesity in both mouse and human. *Nature* **483**, 350–354.
35. Tyagi R, Shimpukade B, Blattermann S, *et al.* (2012) A concise synthesis of the potent inflammatory mediator 5-oxo-EETE. *MedChemComm* **3**, 195–198.
36. Hudson BD, Shimpukade B, Mackenzie AE, *et al.* (2013) The pharmacology of TUG-891, a potent and selective agonist of the free fatty acid receptor 4 (FFA4/GPR120), demonstrates both potential opportunity and possible challenges to therapeutic agonism. *Mol Pharmacol* **84**, 710–725.
37. Hudson BD, Christiansen E, Tikhonova IG, *et al.* (2012) Chemically engineering ligand selectivity at the free fatty acid receptor 2 based on pharmacological variation between species orthologs. *FASEB J* **26**, 4951–4965.
38. Shimpukade B, Hudson BD, Hovgaard CK, *et al.* (2012) Discovery of a potent and selective GPR120 agonist. *J Med Chem* **55**, 4511–4515.
39. Schroder R, Janssen N, Schmidt J, *et al.* (2010) Deconvolution of complex G protein-coupled receptor signaling in live cells using dynamic mass redistribution measurements. *Nat Biotechnol* **28**, 943–949.
40. Schroder R, Schmidt J, Blattermann S, *et al.* (2011) Applying label-free dynamic mass redistribution technology to frame signaling of G protein-coupled receptors noninvasively in living cells. *Nat Protoc* **6**, 1748–1760.
41. Christie WW (1993) *Advances in Lipid Methodology – Two*. Dundee: P.J. Barnes & Associates (The Oily Press).
42. Serth J, Lautwein A, Frech M, *et al.* (1991) The inhibition of the GTPase activating protein–Ha-ras interaction by acidic lipids is due to physical association of the C-terminal domain of the GTPase activating protein with micellar structures. *EMBO J* **10**, 1325–1330.
43. Kumar A, Bullard RL, Patel P, *et al.* (2011) Non-esterified fatty acids generate distinct low-molecular weight amyloid- β (A β 42) oligomers along pathway different from fibril formation. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e18759.
44. Mukerjee P & Mysels KJ (1971) *Critical Micelle Concentrations of Aqueous Surfactant Systems (NSRDS-NBS 36)*. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office.
45. Powell WS & Rokach J (2013) The eosinophil chemoattractant 5-oxo-EETE and the OXE receptor. *Prog Lipid Res* **52**, 651–665.
46. Vestergren R, Berger U, Glynn A, *et al.* (2012) Dietary exposure to perfluoroalkyl acids for the Swedish population in 1999, 2005 and 2010. *Environ Int* **49**, 120–127.
47. Le NH, Shin S, Tu TH, *et al.* (2012) Diet enriched with Korean pine nut oil improves mitochondrial oxidative metabolism in skeletal muscle and brown adipose tissue in diet-induced obesity. *J Agric Food Chem* **60**, 11935–11941.
48. Christiansen E, Urban C, Merten N, *et al.* (2008) Discovery of potent and selective agonists for the free fatty acid receptor 1 (FFA1/GPR40), a potential target for the treatment of type II diabetes. *J Med Chem* **51**, 7061–7064.
49. Wolff RL, Pedrono F, Pasquier E, *et al.* (2000) General characteristics of Pinus spp. seed fatty acid compositions, and importance of Δ 5-olefinic acids in the taxonomy and phylogeny of the genus. *Lipids* **35**, 1–22.
50. Danish Food Composition Database. http://www.foodcomp.dk/v7/fcdb_details.asp?FoodId=0153
51. Christiansen E, Due-Hansen ME, Urban C, *et al.* (2012) Free fatty acid receptor 1 (FFA1/GPR40) agonists: mesylpropoxy appendage lowers lipophilicity and improves ADME properties. *J Med Chem* **55**, 6624–6628.
52. Watterson KR, Hudson BD, Ulven T, *et al.* (2014) Treatment of type 2 diabetes by free fatty acid receptor agonists. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* **5**, 137.
53. Milligan G, Ulven T, Murdoch H, *et al.* (2014) G-protein-coupled receptors for free fatty acids: nutritional and therapeutic targets. *Br J Nutr* **111**, Suppl. 1, S3–S7.
54. Dranse HJ, Kelly ME & Hudson BD (2013) Drugs or diet? – developing novel therapeutic strategies targeting the free fatty acid family of GPCRs. *Br J Pharmacol* **170**, 696–711.
55. Fujiwara K, Maekawa F & Yada T (2005) Oleic acid interacts with GPR40 to induce Ca²⁺ signaling in rat islet β -cells: mediation by PLC and L-type Ca²⁺ channel and link to insulin release. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* **289**, E670–E677.
56. Cao H, Gerhold K, Mayers JR, *et al.* (2008) Identification of a lipokine, a lipid hormone linking adipose tissue to systemic metabolism. *Cell* **134**, 933–944.
57. Micha R & Mozaffarian D (2009) Trans fatty acids: effects on metabolic syndrome, heart disease and diabetes. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* **5**, 335–344.
58. Kennedy A, Martinez K, Schmidt S, *et al.* (2010) Antiobesity mechanisms of action of conjugated linoleic acid. *J Nutr Biochem* **21**, 171–179.
59. Schmidt J, Liebscher K, Merten N, *et al.* (2011) Conjugated linoleic acids mediate insulin release through islet G protein-coupled receptor FFA1/GPR40. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 11890–11894.
60. Miyamoto J, Mizukure T, Park SB, *et al.* (2014) A gut microbial metabolite of linoleic acid, 10-hydroxy-*cis*-12-octadecenoic acid, ameliorates intestinal epithelial barrier impairment partially via GPR40/MEK-ERK pathway. *J Biol Chem* **290**, 2902–2918.
61. Walker CG, Jebb SA & Calder PC (2013) Stearidonic acid as a supplemental source of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids to enhance status for improved human health. *Nutrition* **29**, 363–369.
62. Sugano M, Ikeda I, Wakamatsu K, *et al.* (1994) Influence of Korean pine (*Pinus koraiensis*)-seed oil containing *cis*-5, *cis*-9, *cis*-12-octadecatrienoic acid on polyunsaturated fatty acid metabolism, eicosanoid production and blood pressure of rats. *Br J Nutr* **72**, 775–783.
63. Tanaka T, Takimoto T, Morishige J, *et al.* (1999) Non-methylene-interrupted polyunsaturated fatty acids: effective substitute for arachidonate of phosphatidylinositol. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **264**, 683–688.
64. Tanaka T, Uozumi S, Morito K, *et al.* (2014) Metabolic conversion of C20 polymethylene-interrupted polyunsaturated fatty acids to essential fatty acids. *Lipids* **49**, 423–429.
65. Chuang LT, Tsai PJ, Lee CL, *et al.* (2009) Uptake and incorporation of pinolenic acid reduces *n*-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid and downstream prostaglandin formation in murine macrophage. *Lipids* **44**, 217–224.



66. Huang WC, Tsai PJ, Huang YL, *et al.* (2014) PGE₂ production is suppressed by chemically-synthesized Δ^7 -eicosatrienoic acid in macrophages through the competitive inhibition of COX-2. *Food Chem Toxicol* **66**, 122–133.
67. Nakamura MT, Yudell BE & Loor JJ (2014) Regulation of energy metabolism by long-chain fatty acids. *Prog Lipid Res* **53**, 124–144.
68. Lee JW, Lee KW, Lee SW, *et al.* (2004) Selective increase in pinolenic acid (all-*cis*-5,9,12-18:3) in Korean pine nut oil by crystallization and its effect on LDL-receptor activity. *Lipids* **39**, 383–387.
69. Paman WJ, Heimerikx J, Rubingh CM, *et al.* (2008) The effect of Korean pine nut oil on *in vitro* CCK release, on appetite sensations and on gut hormones in post-menopausal overweight women. *Lipids Health Dis* **7**, 10.
70. Mancini AD & Poitout V (2013) The fatty acid receptor FFA1/GPR40 a decade later: how much do we know? *Trends Endocrinol Metab* **24**, 398–407.