GENERATORS OF THE EISENSTEIN–PICARD MODULAR GROUP IN THREE COMPLEX DIMENSIONS

BAOHUA XIE, JIEYAN WANG AND YUEPING JIANG

College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha, Hunan 410082, China e-mails: xiexbh@gmail.com, jywang@hnu.edu.cn, ypjiang731@163.com

(Received 17 May 2012; revised 30 August 2012; accepted 6 September 2012; first published online 25 February 2013)

Abstract. Little is known about the generators system of the higher dimensional Picard modular groups. In this paper, we prove that the higher dimensional Eisenstein–Picard modular group $PU(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$ in three complex dimensions can be generated by four given transformations.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32M05, 22E40; Secondary 32M15.

1. Introduction. As the complex hyperbolic analogue of Bianchi groups $PSL(2; \mathcal{O}_d)$, Picard modular groups are $PU(n, 1; \mathcal{O}_d)$, where \mathcal{O}_d is the ring of algebraic integers of the imaginary quadratic extension $Q(i\sqrt{d})$ for any positive square-free integer *d* (see [9]). The elements of the ring \mathcal{O}_d can be described (see [8]):

$$\mathcal{O}_d = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}[i\sqrt{d}] & \text{if } d \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1+i\sqrt{d}}{2}] & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that the ring \mathcal{O}_d is Euclidean for positive square-free integer d if and only if d = 1, 2, 3, 7, 11; see [11]. In particular, if d = 3, $\mathcal{O}_d = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$, where $\omega_3 = (-1 + i\sqrt{3})/2$. Picard modular groups are the simplest arithmetic lattices in **PU**(*n*, 1).

There are many results on Picard modular groups in two complex dimensions. In [3], Falbel and Parker constructed a remarkable simple fundamental domain of the Eisenstein–Picard modular group $PU(2, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$. Applying Poincaré polyhedra theorem, they showed that $PU(2, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$ admits a presentation with two generators. Similarly, in [2], they obtained a presentation of the Gauss–Picard modular group $PU(2, 1; \mathcal{O}_1)$. Francsics and Lax also independently obtained the generators of the Gauss–Picard modular group acting on the two-dimensional complex hyperbolic space (see [4–6]).

However, constructing explicit fundamental domains in complex hyperbolic space is much more difficult than in real hyperbolic space. Therefore, it is interesting to look for another method to get generators system of Picard modular groups. In [1], Falbel et al. gave a simple algorithm to obtain the generators of the Gauss–Picard modular group. Wang et al. [12] showed that this algorithm can also be extended to the Eisenstein–Picard modular group $PU(2, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$. But it is still open for other Picard modular groups. Recently, Zhao [13] obtained the generators of the Euclidean Picard modular groups $PU(2, 1; \mathcal{O}_d)$ for d = 2, 7, 11 by using a different method. We note that very little is known about the geometric and algebraic properties, e.g. BAOHUA XIE, JIEYAN WANG AND YUEPING JIANG

explicit fundamental domains, generators, presentations of the higher dimensional Picard modular groups $PU(n, 1; \mathcal{O}_d)$.

In the present paper, we prove that the method of [1] can also be applied to the higher dimensional Eisenstein–Picard modular group $PU(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$ and obtain a simple description in terms of generators. More precisely, we prove that the Eisenstein–Picard modular group $PU(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$ can be generated by four transformations, one Heisenberg translation, two Heisenberg rotations and an involution.

This paper is organised as follows. Section 2 gives a brief introduction to complex hyperbolic geometry and the Picard modular group. Section 3 contains the main result and its proof.

2. Preliminaries. In this section, we recall some basic materials in complex hyperbolic geometry and Picard modular group. The general reference on these topics are [7, 10].

Let $\mathbb{C}^{n,1}$ denote the vector space \mathbb{C}^{n+1} equipped with the Hermitian form

$$\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = z_1 \overline{w_{n+1}} + z_2 \overline{w_2} + \dots + z_n \overline{w_n} + z_{n+1} \overline{w_1},$$

where **w** and **z** are the column vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{n,1}$ with entries $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n, z_{n+1}$ and $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n, w_{n+1}$, respectively. Equivalently, we may write

$$\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = \mathbf{z}^* J \mathbf{w}$$

where \mathbf{w}^* denotes the Hermitian transpose of \mathbf{w} and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & I_{n-1} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the following subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{n,1}$:

$$V_{-} = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{n,1} : \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle < 0 \},\$$
$$V_{0} = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{n,1} - \{ 0 \} : \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0 \}.$$

Let $\mathbb{P}: \mathbb{C}^{n,1} - \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ be the canonical projection onto complex projective space. Then, the *complex hyperbolic n-space* is defined to be $\mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{P}(V_-)$. The boundary of the complex hyperbolic *n*-space $\mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ consists of those points in $\mathbb{P}(V_0)$ together with a distinguished point at infinity, which denotes ∞ . The finite points in the boundary of $\mathbf{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ naturally carry the structure of the generalised Heisenberg group (denoted by \mathcal{H}_{2n-1}), which is defined to $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ with the group law

$$(\xi, \nu) \cdot (z, u) = (\xi + z, \nu + u + 2\Im\langle\langle \xi, z \rangle\rangle).$$

Here, $\langle \langle \xi, z \rangle \rangle = z^* \xi$ is the standard positive-defined Hermitian form on \mathbb{C}^{n-1} . In particular, we write $\|\xi\|^2 = \xi^* \xi$.

Motivated by this, we define horospherical coordinates on complex hyperbolic space. To each point $(\xi, \nu, u) \in \mathcal{H}_{2n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, we associated a point $\psi(\xi, \nu, u) \in V_-$. Similarly, ∞ and each point $(\xi, \nu, 0) \in \mathcal{H}_{2n-1} \times \{0\}$ is associated to a point in V_0 by ψ .

The map ψ is given by

$$\psi(\xi, \nu, u) = \begin{pmatrix} (-|\xi|^2 - u + i\nu)/2 \\ \xi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \psi(\infty) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We also define the origin 0 to be the point in $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ with horospherical coordinates (0, 0, 0). We have

$$\psi(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\\vdots\\1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The holomorphic isometry group of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ is the group $\mathbf{PU}(n, 1)$ of complex linear transformations, which preserve the above Hermitian form. That is, for each element $G \in \mathbf{PU}(n, 1)$, *G* is unitary with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The corresponding matrix $G = (g_{jk})_{i,j=1}^n$ satisfies the following condition

$$G^*JG = J,\tag{1}$$

14

where G^* denotes the conjugate transpose of the matrix G. Picard modular groups for \mathcal{O}_d , denoted by $\mathbf{PU}(n, 1; \mathcal{O}_d)$, are the subgroups of $\mathbf{PU}(n, 1)$ with entries in \mathcal{O}_d .

REMARK 1. In this paper, the matrices corresponding to the generators do not always have determinant 1. In fact, the generators belong to the group $U(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$. In relation to complex hyperbolic isometries, the relevant group is $PU(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]) = U(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]) / \pm I$. By abuse of notation, we will denote the Eisenstein–Picard modular group in three complex dimensions by $PU(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$ or $U(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$. We thank referee for pointing out this.

We now discuss the decomposition of complex hyperbolic isometries. We begin by considering those elements fixing 0 and ∞ .

The matrix group U(n-1) acts by Heisenberg rotation. In horospherical coordinates, the action of $U \in U(n-1)$ is given by

$$(\xi, \nu, u) \longmapsto (U\xi, \nu, u).$$

The corresponding matrix in U(n, 1) acting on $\mathbb{C}^{n,1}$ is

$$M_U \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The positive real numbers $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ act by Heisenberg dilation. In horospherical coordinates, this acting is given by

$$(\xi, \nu, u) \longmapsto (r\xi, r^2\nu, r^2u).$$

In U(n, 1), the corresponding matrix is

$$A_r \equiv \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/r \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Heisenberg group acts by Heisenberg translation. For $(\tau, t) \in \mathcal{H}_{2n-1}$, this is

$$N_{(\tau,t)}(\xi,\nu) = (\tau + \xi, t + \nu + 2\Im\langle\langle \tau, \xi \rangle\rangle).$$

As a matrix, $N_{(\tau,t)}$ is given by

$$N_{(\tau,t)} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tau^* & (-\|\tau\|^2 + it)/2 \\ 0 & I_{n-1} & \tau \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Heisenberg translations, rotations and dilations generate the Heisenberg similarity group. This is the full subgroup of U(n, 1) fixing ∞ .

Finally, there is one more important acting, called an inversion R, which interchanges 0 and ∞ . In matrix notation, this map is

$$R \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -I_{n-1} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let Γ_{∞} be the stabiliser subgroup of ∞ in U(*n*, 1). That is

$$\Gamma_{\infty} \equiv \{g \in \mathbf{U}(n,1) : g(\infty) = \infty\}.$$

LEMMA 2.1. Let $G = (g_{jk})_{i,k=1}^4 \in U(3, 1)$. Then, $G \in \Gamma_{\infty}$ if and only if $g_{41} = 0$.

Using Langlands decomposition, any element $P \in \Gamma_{\infty}$ can be decomposed as a product of a Heisenberg translation, dilation and a rotation:

$$P = N_{(\tau,t)}A_rM_U = \begin{pmatrix} r & -\tau^*U & (-\|\tau\|^2 + it)/2r \\ 0 & U & \tau/r \\ 0 & 0 & 1/r \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2)

The parameters satisfy the corresponding conditions. That is, $U \in U(n-1)$, $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $(\tau, t) \in \mathcal{H}_{2n-1}$.

3. The main result and its proof. In this section, we extend the method in [1] to the higher dimensional Eisenstein–Picard modular group U(3, 1; $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$).

Let U(2; $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$) be the unitary group U(2) over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$. Recall that the unitary matrix $A \in U(2)$ is of the following form:

$$\mathbf{U}(2) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\lambda \overline{b} & \lambda \overline{a} \end{pmatrix} : |\lambda| = 1, |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Then, we can see that the elements in $U(2; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$ are of the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a, b = \pm 1, \pm \omega_3$ and $\pm \omega_3^2$.

It is easy to find that

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0\\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} : a, b = \pm 1, \pm \omega_3, \pm \omega_3^2 \right\}$$

can be generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we have the following result.

LEMMA 3.1. U(2; $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$) can be generated by the following two unitary matrices:

$$U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we consider the subgroup Γ_{∞} of the Picard modular group U(3, 1; $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$).

LEMMA 3.2. Let $\Gamma_{\infty}(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$ denote the subgroup Γ_{∞} of Picard modular group $U(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$. Then, any element $P \in \Gamma_{\infty}(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$ if and only if the parameters in the Langlands decomposition of P satisfy the conditions

$$r = 1, t \in \sqrt{3}\mathbb{Z}, \tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)^T \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]^2, U \in \mathbf{U}(2; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]),$$

the integers $t/\sqrt{3}$ and $||\tau||^2$ have the same parity.

Proof. Let $P \in \Gamma_{\infty}(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$ be the Langlands decomposition form (2). Then, it is easy to see that $r = 1, t \in \sqrt{3}\mathbb{Z}$ and $U \in \mathbf{U}(2; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$. Since the entries τ_1, τ_2 of τ and the entry $(-\|\tau\|^2 + it)/2$ are in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$, we get that $t/\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|\tau_1|^2 + |\tau_2|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, they have the same parity.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let $\Gamma_{\infty}(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$ be stated as above. Then, $\Gamma_{\infty}(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$ is generated by the Heisenberg translation $N_1 = N_{((1,0)^T,\sqrt{3})}$ and the Heisenberg rotations $M_{U_i}(i = 1, 2)$.

Proof. Suppose $P \in \Gamma_{\infty}(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$. According to Lemma 3.2, there is no dilation component in its Langlands decomposition, that is

$$P = N_{(\tau,t)}M_U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tau^* & (-||\tau||^2 + it)/2 \\ 0 & I_2 & \tau \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since the unitary matrix $U \in U(2; \mathbb{Z}[\omega])$. Then, the rotation component of *P* in the Langlands decomposition is generated by $M_{U_i}(i = 1, 2)$ by Lemma 3.1.

We now consider the Heisenberg translation part of P, $N_{(\tau,t)}$. Let

$$\tau = (a_1 + b_1\omega_3, a_2 + b_2\omega_3)^T$$

where $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]^2$. Then, $N_{(\tau,t)}$ splits as

$$N_{(\tau,t)} = N_{((a_1+b_1\omega_3,a_1+b_1\omega_3)^T,t)}$$

= $N_{((a_1,0)^T,\sqrt{3}a_1)} \circ N_{((b_1\omega_3,0)^T,\sqrt{3}b_1)} \circ N_{((0,a_2)^T,\sqrt{3}a_2)} \circ N_{((0,b_2\omega_3)^T,\sqrt{3}b_2)}$ (3)
 $\circ N_{((0,0)^T,t+\sqrt{3}a_1b_1-\sqrt{3}a_1-\sqrt{3}b_1+\sqrt{3}a_2b_2-\sqrt{3}a_2-\sqrt{3}b_2)}.$

Here, the Heisenberg translations

$$N_{((a_1,0)^T,\sqrt{3}a_1)}, N_{((b_1\omega_3,0)^T,\sqrt{3}b_1)}, N_{((0,a_2)^T,\sqrt{3}a_2)}, N_{((0,b_2\omega_3)^T,\sqrt{3}b_2)}$$

can be written as follows:

$$N_{((a_1,0)^T,\sqrt{3}a_1)} = N_{((1,0)^T,\sqrt{3})}^{a_1},$$

$$N_{((b_1\omega_3,0)^T,\sqrt{3}b_1)} = N_{((\omega_3,0)^T,\sqrt{3})}^{b_1},$$

$$N_{((0,a_2)^T,\sqrt{3}a_2)} = N_{(0,1)^T,\sqrt{3}}^{a_2},$$

$$N_{((0,b_2\omega_3)^T,\sqrt{3}b_2)} = N_{((0,\omega_3)^T,\sqrt{3})}^{b_2}.$$
(4)

We claim that the number

$$(t - \sqrt{3}(-a_1b_1 + a_1 + b_1 - a_2b_2 + a_2 + b_2))/2\sqrt{3}$$

is an integer, namely,

$$\frac{t}{\sqrt{3}} - (-a_1b_1 + a_1 + b_1 - a_2b_2 + a_2 + b_2) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$$

According to Lemma 3.2, the integers $t/\sqrt{3}$ and

$$\|\tau\|^{2} = |a_{1} + b_{1}\omega_{3}|^{2} + |a_{2} + b_{2}\omega_{3}|^{2}$$

= $a_{1}^{2} - a_{1}b_{1} + b_{1}^{2} + a_{2}^{2} - a_{2}b_{2} + b_{2}^{2}$ (5)

have the same parity. It can be easily seen that

$$a_1^2 - a_1b_1 + b_1^2 + a_2^2 - a_2b_2 + b_2^2 + (-a_2b_2 + a_2 + b_2) + (-a_1b_1 + a_1 + b_1)$$

= $a_1(a_1 + 1) + b_1(b_1 + 1) + a_2(a_2 + 1) + b_2(b_2 + 1) - 2a_1b_1 - 2a_2b_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$

650

Hence, $t/\sqrt{3}$ and $-a_1b_1 + a_1 + b_1 - a_2b_2 + a_2 + b_2$ have the same parity. This proves that

$$t_1 = \frac{t - \sqrt{3}(-a_1b_1 + a_1 + b_1 - a_2b_2 + a_2 + b_2)}{2\sqrt{3}} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, the Heisenberg translation

$$N_{((0,0)^T,t+\sqrt{3}a_1b_1-\sqrt{3}a_1-\sqrt{3}b_1+\sqrt{3}a_2b_2-\sqrt{3}a_2-\sqrt{3}b_2)}$$

can be written as

$$N_{((0,0)^T,2\sqrt{3})}^{t_1}$$
.

The Heisenberg translation $N_{((0,0)^T,2\sqrt{3})}$ can be generated by $N_{((1,0)^T,\sqrt{3})}$ and M_{U_2} , that is

$$N_{((0,0)^T,2\sqrt{3})} = [N_1, M_{U_2}N_1M_{U_2}^{-1}].$$

We also note that

$$N_{((0,1)^T,\sqrt{3})} = M_{U_1} N_1 M_{U_1}, N_{((\omega_3,0)^T,\sqrt{3})} = M_{U_2}^{-2} N_1 M_{U_2}^2$$

and

$$N_{((0,\omega_3)^T,\sqrt{3})} = M_{U_1} N_{((\omega_3,0)^T,\sqrt{3})} M_{U_1}.$$

This proposition is proved.

Now, we prove our main result.

THEOREM 3.2. The Picard modular group $U(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$ is generated by one Heisenberg translation N_1 , two Heisenberg rotations $M_{U_i}(i = 1, 2)$ and the involution R.

Proof. Let $G = (g_{jk})_{j,k=1}^4$ be an element of the group U(3, 1; $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$). We only need to consider $G \notin \Gamma_{\infty}(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$. According to Lemma 2.1, we have $g_{41} \neq 0$. G maps the point ∞ to the point $(g_{11}/g_{41}, g_{21}/g_{41}, g_{31}/g_{41})$. Since $G(\infty)$ is in $\partial \mathbf{H}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$, then

$$2\Re\left(\frac{g_{11}}{g_{41}}\right) = -\left|\frac{g_{21}}{g_{41}}\right|^2 - \left|\frac{g_{31}}{g_{41}}\right|^2.$$
 (6)

Consider the Heisenberg translation $N_{G(\infty)}$ that maps (0, 0) to $G(\infty)$. The translation $N_{G(\infty)}$ is not necessary in the Picard modular group $\mathbf{U}(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$. However, we know that $RN_{G(\infty)}^{-1}G$ belongs to the stabiliser subgroup of ∞ . So, we will successively approximate $N_{G(\infty)}^{-1}$ by Heisenberg translations in the Picard modular group to decrease the value $|g_{41}|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ until it becomes 0. Then, *G* can be expressed as a product of the generators in $\Gamma_{\infty}(3, 1; \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3])$ and *R*. Since the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$ is Euclidean, this approximation process has finitely many steps.

Next, we calculate the entry in the lower left corner of the product

$$G_{1} = RN_{(\tau,t)}G$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\overline{\tau_{1}} & -\overline{\tau_{2}} & (-\|\tau\|^{2} + it)/2\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \tau_{1}\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \tau_{2}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} G$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -\tau_{1}\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -\tau_{2}\\ 1 & -\overline{\tau_{1}} & -\overline{\tau_{2}} & (-\|\tau\|^{2} + it)/2 \end{pmatrix} G.$$
(7)

It follows that the entry $g_{41}^{(1)}$ of G_1 is equal to

$$g_{41}^{(1)} = g_{11} - g_{21}\bar{\tau}_1 - g_{21}\bar{\tau}_2 + g_{41}\frac{-\|\tau\|^2 + it}{2}$$

$$= g_{41}\left(\frac{g_{11}}{g_{41}} - \frac{g_{21}}{g_{41}}\bar{\tau}_1 - \frac{g_{31}}{g_{41}}\bar{\tau}_2 + \frac{-\|\tau\|^2 + it}{2}\right)$$

$$= -g_{41}\left[\left(-\Re\left(\frac{g_{11}}{g_{41}}\right) + \Re\left(\frac{g_{21}}{g_{41}}\bar{\tau}_1\right) + \Re\left(\frac{g_{31}}{g_{41}}\bar{\tau}_2\right) + \frac{\|\tau\|^2}{2}\right) \quad (8)$$

$$- i\left(\Im\left(\frac{g_{11}}{g_{41}}\right) - \Im\left(\frac{g_{21}}{g_{41}}\bar{\tau}_1\right) - \Im\left(\frac{g_{31}}{g_{41}}\bar{\tau}_2\right) + \frac{t}{2}\right)\right]$$

$$= -g_{41}(I_1 - iI_2).$$

Using (6), we can simplify I_1 to

$$I_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left| \frac{g_{21}}{g_{41}} + \tau_{1} \right|^{2} + \left| \frac{g_{31}}{g_{41}} + \tau_{2} \right|^{2} \right).$$

Let $\frac{g_{21}}{g_{41}} = x_1 + y_1\omega_3$, $\frac{g_{31}}{g_{41}} = x_2 + y_2\omega_3$, $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that

$$\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2) = (a_1 + b_1\omega_3, a_2 + b_2\omega_3)^T,$$

where $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. In each copy of \mathbb{C} , we can simply choose a_j and b_j so that $a_j + b_j\omega_3$ is a point in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$ and $\tau_j + x_j + y_j\omega_3$ is as close to the origin as possible. In other words, it lies in (Euclidean) Dirichlet domain for the lattice $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$ centred at the origin. This is a hexagon whose vertices are at

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}}, \quad \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}}, \quad -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}}, \quad -\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}}.$$

All points of this hexagon are at most a distance $1/\sqrt{3}$ from the origin.

Hence, we obtain the upper bound

$$|I_{1}| = \frac{1}{2}(|x_{1} + y_{1}\omega_{3} + a_{1} + b_{1}\omega_{3}|^{2} + |x_{2} + y_{2}\omega_{3} + a_{2} + b_{2}\omega_{3}|^{2})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3}.$$
(9)

The above estimate for I_1 was suggested by the referee. Since $t/\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|\tau_1|^2 + |\tau_2|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ have the same parity, $t/\sqrt{3}$ is an odd or even number. In both cases, we can get the inequality

$$|I_2| = \left|\Im\left(\frac{g_{11}}{g_{41}}\right) - \Im\left(\frac{g_{21}}{g_{41}}\bar{\tau}_1\right) - \Im\left(\frac{g_{31}}{g_{41}}\bar{\tau}_2\right) + \frac{t}{2}\right| \le \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$$

by selecting some t in I_2 . Therefore, we have the estimation of $g_{41}^{(1)}$

$$|g_{41}^{(1)}|^2 = |g_{41}|^2 |I_1 + iI_2|^2 = |g_{41}|^2 (I_1^2 + I_2^2) \le |g_{41}|^2 \left[\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^2 \right] = \frac{31}{36} |g_{41}|^2.$$

We can reduce the matrix of the transformation G to the matrix of a transformation G_n with $g_{41}^{(n)} = 0$ by repeating this approximation procedure finitely many times. According to Lemma 2.1, this condition implies that the G_n belongs to the subgroups Γ_{∞} . As we shown in Proposition 3.1, the subgroup Γ_{∞} can be generated by the Heisenberg translations N_1 and the Heisenberg rotations $M_{U_i}(i = 1, 2)$. Since the approximation procedure just uses the transformations in Γ_{∞} and involution R. Hence, the proof of Theorem 3.2 is completed.

REMARK 2. It would be interesting to know if this method can be extended to the other higher dimensional Picard modular groups.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading of the manuscript and for making constructive suggestions. These have greatly improved the paper. This work was partially supported by NSF (No. 11071059) and B. Xie also supported by Tianyuan Foundation (No. 11126195), NSF (No. 11201134) and 'Young teachers support program' of Hunan University.

REFERENCES

1. E. Falbel, G. Francsics, P. D. Lax and J. R. Parker, Generators of a Picard modular group in two complex dimensions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139** (2011), 2439–2447.

2. E. Falbel, G. Francsics and J. R. Parker, The geometry of Gauss–Picard modular group, *Math. Ann.* **349** (2011), 459–508.

3. E. Falbel and J. R. Parker, The geometry of the Eisenstein–Picard modular group, *Duke Math. J.* **131** (2006), 249–289.

4. G. Francsics and P. Lax, A semi-explicit fundamental domain for a Picard modular group in complex hyperbolic space, *Contemp. Math.* **238** (2005), 211–226.

5. G. Francsics and P. Lax, An explicit fundamental domain for the Picard modular group in two complex dimensions, Preprint (2005), pp. 1–25, arXiv:math/0509708.

6. G. Francsics and P. Lax, Analysis of a Picard modular group, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103 (2006), 11103–11105.

7. W. M. Goldman, Complex hyperbolic geometry (Clarendon, Oxford, 1999).

8. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1954).

9. R. P. Holzapfel, Invariants of arithmetic ball quotient surfaces, *Math. Nachr.* **103** (1981), 117–153.

10. J. R. Parker, Notes on complex hyperbolic geometry, Preprint (2003).

11. I. N. Stewart and D. O. Tall, Algebraic number theory (Chapman and Hall Ltd., 1979).

12. J. Wang, Y. Xiao and B. Xie, Generators of the Eisenstein–Picard modular groups, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 91 (2011), 421–429.

13. T. Zhao, Generators for the Euclidean–Picard modular groups, *Tran. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364 (2012), 3241–3263.