The developing gut microbiota and its consequences for health
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Abstract

The developmental origin of health and disease highlights the importance of the period of the first 1000 days (from the conception to the 2 years of life). The process of the gut microbiota establishment is included in this time window. Various perinatal determinants, such as cesarean section delivery, type of feeding, antibiotics treatment, gestational age or environment, can affect the pattern of bacterial colonization and result in dysbiosis. The alteration of the early bacterial gut pattern can persist over several months and may have long-lasting functional effects with an impact on disease risk later in life. As for example, early gut dysbiosis has been involved in allergic diseases and obesity occurrence. Besides, while it was thought that the fetus developed under sterile conditions, recent data suggested the presence of a microbiota in utero, particularly in the placenta. Even if the origin of this microbiota and its eventual transfer to the infant are nowadays unknown, this placental microbiota could trigger immune responses in the fetus and would program the infant’s immune development during fetal life, earlier than previously considered. Moreover, several studies demonstrated a link between the composition of placental microbiota and some pathological conditions of the pregnancy. All these data show the evidence of relationships between the neonatal gut establishment and future health outcomes. Hence, the use of pre- and/or probiotics to prevent or repair any early dysbiosis is increasingly attractive to avoid long-term health consequences.

The human gut microbiota

The human microbiome

The human microbiome has emerged as an area of utmost interest, and since the last two decades, numerous studies have highlighted its impact on the physiology leading to impact on health and diseases. The microbiota considered to outnumber by 10 the number of eukaryotic cells has been recently reevaluated at 3.8 $10^{13}$, showing that the number of bacteria is actually of the same order of human cells with a ratio close to 1/1.1 Nevertheless, it does not decreased the major importance of the microbiome. The most heavily inhabited organ with microorganisms is the gastrointestinal tract, harboring a huge diversity with more than 500 bacterial species that represent about 25 times more genes than the human genome.2,3 Of particular interest is the gut microbiome, now regarded as an organ. Indeed, this microbiota displays various vital functions.4 Protection against colonization by pathogens is a well-known function mediated by several mechanisms involving direct mechanisms such as production of bacteriocins or bacterial metabolites, or competition for nutrients, or indirect mechanisms such as stimulation of the host innate immunity via the recognition of microbe-associated molecular patterns by cell receptors such as Toll-like receptors. The gut microbiota has also important metabolic functions through fermentation of indigestible carbohydrates responsible for energy harvest and storage, and trophic effect on the intestinal mucosa as well as systemic effects via short-chain fatty-acid (SCFA) production. Finally, gut microbiota is involved in a cross-talk with the immune cells providing major immune roles with modulation of the innate immunity and maturation and development of cell-mediates immunity.

Hence, the gut microbiota interacts extensively with the host, and there are accumulating data linking many human diseases – such as inflammatory bowel disease, obesity, diabetes, asthma and allergies – with dysbiosis, that is, alteration in its composition.5,6 However, it remains challenging to identify the precise changes in the microbiota that are responsible for mediating diseases development and when they occur. However, there is currently growing evidence of the importance of the early gut microbiota.

Early life programming

It is becoming an established concept stating that there is a critical window for later health early in life. This concept called the developmental origin of health and disease (DOHaD) is increasingly supported by the scientific community.7 It highlights the importance of the period from the
conception to the 2 years of life, the so-called ‘first thousand days’ recognized to be a crucial period in the infant development. These 1000 days, according to the motto of the World Health Organization, constitute a unique window of sensitivity in which the environment in all its forms (nutritional, ecological, socio-economical, lifestyles) creates marks on the genome, programming health and the future risk of illness of an individual for life. Hence, understanding how our gut microbial community is shaped and what their determinants are is of great interest.

The gut microbiota establishment in neonates
The bacterial establishment process

It is a complex phenomenon, which in terms of kinetics of acquisition of the microbiota is currently relatively well known. The formation of the gut microbiota starts rapidly from the rupture of membranes. At birth, neonates are suddenly immersed in a rich and varied bacterial environment, and they will be rapidly colonized by an initially simple microbiota mainly originating from mother’s microbiota, in particular from vagina and feces. In case of breastfeeding, breast milk can also participate in the neonatal bacterial establishment.10,11 Infants are then continually exposed to new bacteria from the environment, food and adult skin bacteria via feeding, physical contact or kisses. This leads to a high interindividual variability in both composition and patterns of bacterial colonization during the first weeks of life. During the infant stage of life, numerous bacteria are encountered in the environment and gut microbiota develops with increased diversity toward the adult pattern by age 3 years.12

Perinatal determinants

Various perinatal determinants can affect the pattern of bacterial colonization, that is, gestational age, mode of delivery, mode of infant feeding, maternal, intrapartum and neonatal antibiotic courses, as well as familial environment, geographical and cultural traditions (Figs 1 and 2).

The mode of birth affects the initial bacterial establishment since bacteria encountered by the infant vaginally delivered are very different from those experienced through cesarean deliveries. Indeed, infants born by cesarean section are mainly faced with bacteria from their environment: air and medical staff, and a delayed colonization by maternal bacteria is observed in numerous studies.13–16 Interestingly, although throughout the first year of life bacterial profiles become more similar whatever the mode of birth, differences in bacterial profiles can persist throughout the first year of life.15,17,18 Differences linked to cesarean birth has been reported later in life in an American cohort of adults; however, whether it was acquired during birth is unknown.19 In a recent study, Chu et al. did not observe any effect of the mode of delivery on the neonatal and infant microbiota at 6 weeks.20 However, at birth they analyzed the meconium which reflects rather the in utero environment than colonization at birth. Nevertheless, their findings on the meconium microbiota, which appears specific compared with other neonates’ body sites and not affected by the mode of birth, suggest a maternal origin that seeds the neonate earlier than previously thought.

Type of feeding has a great influence on the bacterial establishment. Breastfed infants have a microbiota profile with a specific high amount of Bifidobacterium, a genus known for its potential health benefits, whereas formula fed infants have a more diverse profile.17,21 This influence is linked to the unique composition of human breast milk, rich in complex non-digestible oligosaccharides with more than 200 different molecules.22 These aspects make breast milk a special feature in the world of living organisms with a very specific level and composition, in particular compared with cow milk, which is the basis of infant formulas. Due to their structure (β-glycosidic bonds), these oligosaccharides are not hydrolyzable by human digestive enzymes and therefore not assimilated in the small intestine. Hence, they will be substrates for micro-organisms in the large intestine, promoting the growth of specific bacteria. Nowadays, most infant formulas are supplemented with oligosaccharides, in particular fructo- and galacto-oligosaccharides with the aim to improve the intestinal microbiota in early life.23 In addition, recent studies based on culture and molecular techniques have shown that breast milk could contain commensal bacteria with beneficial potentialities such as lactobacilli and bifidobacteria at levels ranging between 10^1 and 10^5 colony forming units/ml.11 Their origin remains unclear, either from the mother’s skin suggesting a contamination or from bacterial translocation from the maternal digestive tract to the mammary gland after internalization into leukocytes.10 Nevertheless, this microbiota could participate in the bacterial establishment process.

![Fig. 1. Influence of perinatal determinants on the neonatal gut microbiota.](https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms .https://doi.org/10.1017/S2040174418000119)
establishment in newborns as shown by studies that demonstrated similarities between breast milk bacteria and neonatal fecal microbiota.22

The impact of antibiotics has first been examined in terms of selection of resistant micro-organisms. By contrast, the influence of antibiotic treatment on the bacterial establishment has been poorly investigated. Nevertheless, some studies showed the alteration of the bacterial profile in antibiotic-treated infants even for a short period. The main feature was a decrease in the biodiversity.24,25 An increase in potentially pathogenic and antibiotic-resistant bacteria associated to a decrease in potentially beneficial bacteria such as bifidobacteria and lactobacilli has also been reported.25 Intrapartum antibiotics may also impact the bacterial establishment.27,28 Interestingly, these early dysbiosis are still visible after a few weeks,24,25 suggesting the potential long-term consequences of dysbiosis linked to early antibiotic courses.

Gestational age is a major factor in the bacterial establishment, particularly in very and extremely preterm infants who can have an aberrant microbiota profile compared with fullterm infants.29–31 Despite the great intervariability observed, the main feature of the bacterial establishment in the very preterm infants is a great imbalance in the bacterial profile. In fact, a delay in bacteria originating from the mother such as enterobacteria, or anaerobes belonging to the genera Bacteroides and Bifidobacterium is observed whereas bacteria from the environment such as staphylococci are at high levels with a high frequency. Colonization by Clostridium which is a spore-forming bacteria that can be in the environment may be dominant and related to the postnatal age.29,32 This bacterial establishment can be explained by the fact that these infants are more frequently born by cesarean section, very rapidly separated from their mother, placed into a highly sanitized intensive care environment, and frequently treated by broad-spectrum antibiotics. This particular bacterial pattern represent a risk factor for short-term diseases such as sepsis or necrotizing enterocolitis, the most dreaded disease in neonatal intensive care units.33,34

The environment can also condition the development of the microbiota, which may explain the delayed development of the maternal microbiota observed since a decade in industrialized countries, related to higher perinatal hygiene conditions in our countries compared with developing countries. The importance of the environment was also shown in studies that reported differences in gut microbiota depending on the geographical location.35–37

Long-term health consequences of early dysbiosis in bacterial establishment

Exposure to all perinatal determinants described above may result in dysbiosis, that is, either a decrease in bacterial diversity or a delayed colonization by potential health beneficial bacteria, or both. This early dysbiosis can persist over several months and can have therefore long-lasting functional effects with an impact on disease risk later in life.26–28 (Fig. 1). Several epidemiologic studies have shown that factors known to alter the early bacterial gut pattern – such as cesarean section delivery, prematurity, and early exposure to antibiotics – have been found as risk factors for various diseases, as for example, allergic diseases or overweight/obesity as described later in the text. Dysbiosis has also been involved in the gut–brain axis, and a recent study reported an increased risk of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in preterm infants born at less than 27 weeks of gestational age and known to have a major dysbiosis.39

Early dysbiosis and allergic diseases

The prevalence of allergic diseases has increased globally over the last 50 years with discrepancies among their different clinical expressions, that is, atopic dermatitis, or intestinal and respiratory symptoms. It clearly appears nowadays that this increase is linked to sequential changes in lifestyle such as hygiene, indoor entertainment, changes in diet, and there is mounting evidence that the microbiota is a key environmental factor that plays a role. Indeed, allergic diseases are immunological pathologies, hallmarked by the differentiation of naive T cells into interleukin 4 secreting T cells (Th2 helper cells). Neonates are biased toward Th2 cell responses with reference to adults.39 This immature Th2-dominant neonatal response undergoes environment-driven maturation via microbial contact during the early postnatal period, leading to the development of intestinal barrier functions and of regulatory T cell and a Th balance.40,41 Moreover, the immune system appears to be regulated by microbiota in a time restricted period during early life and to be influenced by the maternal microbiota.42,43 Alterations in the sequential establishment of gut microbiota observed in western countries could therefore be responsible for a Th balance deviation toward a Th2 profile, a major factor in the rise of allergic diseases. Indeed, epidemiological studies have linked factors influencing microbiota establishment and risk of allergy. Several studies showed that treatment with broad-spectrum antibiotics in infancy, leading to dysbiosis, is associated with increased susceptibility to allergy such as asthma, eczema and allergic rhinitis.44 Cesarean section was also involved as a risk factor of food allergy/food atopy, allergic rhinitis, asthma, but meta-analyses highlighted conflicting results.45,46 Cesarean section was positively associated with multiple sensitizations in the French cohort PARIS,41 whereas there was no evidence of such association neither in the first 5 years of life of the Asian cohort GUSTO52 nor in the Danish study.42 The association could be influenced by the underlying indication for cesarean delivery. Indeed, emergency cesarean delivery, in contrast with elective one, often occurs after the onset of the labor and membranes rupture. It is then associated with both maternal and fetal stress and exposition to vaginal microbiota, favoring a colonization more similar to vaginal delivery. If Huang et al.47 found a 20% increase in the subsequent risk of asthma in children delivered by both elective or emergency cesarean section, Rusconi et al.48 who worked on individual data from nine European birth
cohorts concluded that the increased risk of asthma is more associated to elective cesarean delivery, especially among children born at term. Prematurity and breastfeeding are both perinatal determinants that impact the bacterial establishment and they are studied for their role toward allergy risk. However, epidemiological studies yielded conflicting results, mainly due to differences in the study designs and study population, including definition of breastfeeding infants (partial, exclusive).

Besides, the role of the gut microbiota in the development of oral tolerance, as well as specific microbial signatures identification in allergy were confirmed using mice models. In humans, several studies highlighted differences in the composition of bacterial communities in the feces of subject with or without allergic diseases; however, available epidemiological studies remain controversial. Numerous studies support that a dysbiosis in infancy is more important than the prevalence of specific bacterial taxa.

Prospective studies have shown changes in the fecal microbiota before the onset of any atopic symptoms. Colonization by Clostridium and especially Clostridium difficile has been several times, but not always, associated with development of atopic dermatitis, wheezing and sensitization before 2 years, while a high level of Escherichia coli has been associated only with the risk of developing eczema. Colonization by Clostridium cluster XIVa at 3 weeks of life could be an early indicator of the future development of asthma and food allergy.

Moreover, longitudinal studies highlighted different microbiota alterations associated with allergy only during the first weeks of life, defining then a critical window in early life. A decrease in diversity at 1 week and 1 month but not at 12 months was associated with asthma at 7 years of age. Recently, Arrieta et al. showed at 3 months of age, but not at 1 year, a transient decrease in the relative abundance of the bacterial genera Lachnospira, Veillonella, Faecalibacterium and Rothia during the first 100 days of life. Other bacterial groups were associated with an increased risk of asthma at 4 years of life, showing the complexity to point up the link between microbiota and pathologies.

### Early dysbiosis and obesity

As for allergy, the prevalence of obesity increased tremendously over the last decades. If genetic transmission of risk of overweight and obesity is well established, others factors such as the early dysbiosis has received several lines of evidence. A first epidemiologic study on a cohort of 1255 infants showed that infants delivered by cesarean section had two-fold higher odds of childhood obesity, even after adjusting for maternal body mass index, birth weight and other confounding variables. Likewise, exposure of infants to antibiotics was found to increase risk of childhood overweight in normal weight mother but not in overweight or obese mother. Moreover, the risk of childhood overweight is increase in child born from women with a high gestational body mass index, women who have a gut microbiota enriched in Bacteroides, Clostridium and Staphylococcus. This is in accordance with a transmission of obesogenic microbiota through vaginal delivery but was not confirmed in other studies. However, experimental mice model confirmed that low dose of penicillin amplifies diet-induced obesity and that perturbation during a critical window in early life leads to long-term increased adiposity. Among perinatal factors that influence gut microbiota establishment, cesarean delivery, despite some controversial study, was also associated with an increased risk of obesity.

Breastfeeding is also reported to affect the risk of obesity. This influence can be due to the differences of the gut microbiota between breastfed and formula fed infants. Furthermore, human breast milk microbiota was reported to be different in its composition between mothers who underwent either cesarean section or vaginal delivery. Moreover, breast milk of obese mothers is characterized by a reduced microbiota diversity, and a distinct microbiota composition as compared with that from lean mothers.

### Could microbial programming of health and disease begin before birth?

For several decades the human fetus and placenta were considered sterile but discovery of a colonization in the meconium suggested a colonization in utero. Today, there is mounting evidence of this microbial colonization despite fetal intact membranes and out of any infectious context. Indeed, placenta has been reported to harbor a specific microbiota through mainly
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53. Craven, T. M., et al. (2015). The concept of the gut-brain axis, that is, a bidirectional channel of communication between the central nervous system and the enteric nervous system has long been appreciated. This complex communication ensures maintenance and coordination of gastrointestinal functions as well as a feedback from the gut to the brain. Since a decade, gut microbiota has emerged to be a new determinant that impact the bacterial establishment and they are studied for their role toward allergy risk. However, available epidemiological studies remain controversial. Numerous transmission routes can explain this complex network of communication, and recent findings point to the vagus nerve, neuroendocrine systems and growth factors. Today, accumulating evidence supports the influence of the gut microbiota on stress-related behaviors including anxiety and depression, as well as neuropsychiatric disorders. ASD is a severe neurodevelopmental disorder that impairs the child ability of social communications, and which exact mechanism is not clearly elucidated. ASD is often associated to gastrointestinal problems, and increasing evidence revealed a gut dysbiosis in ASD suffering children. Up to now, there is little consensus on specific bacteria involved. Several studies have reported a higher abundance of bacteria belonging to the genus Clostridium. Besides, a decrease in Bacteroidetes/Firmicutes ratio and an increase in Lactobacillus and Desulfovibrio has been correlated with the severity of the pathology. Alterations in the gut functions have also been described, with, for instance, changes in bacterial metabolites that may contribute to the ASD pathogenesis. The potential harmful role of the gut microbiota has also been suggested by improvement in ASD clinical symptoms by antibiotic courses. Immune dysregulation frequently observed in ASD patients could be linked to an abnormal early bacterial pattern. Moreover, animal models demonstrated that the gut microbiota participate in the development of brain circuits involved in the control of stress, motor activity and anxiety behavior and cognitive functions. It therefore raises the possibility that early dysbiosis may impact the neurodevelopment and the brain functions. Interestingly, prematurity was associated with an increased ASD risk, with a higher frequency in infants born at a lower gestational age, known to be colonized by a more aberrant microbiota. Elucidate the mechanisms mediating the interactions between the gut microbiota and the developing brain deserve further studies.
molecular biology approaches. Moreover, culture allowed identifying viable bacteria in the placenta. This microbiota appears to be quantitatively low but with some diversity and exhibits spatially variable profiles according to the different compartments of placenta (basal plate, placental villous and fetal membrane). Despite few concordant results, the entire literature on the placental microbiota does not allow a clear knowledge of neither its composition nor its origin. Some studies found a placental microbiome closely related to the oral microbiome rather than to the vaginal one, whereas others found a potential connection with the bacterial species found in the vagina. Even if the origin of the placental microbiota is still unknown it is recognized that it comes from the maternal microbiotas, from either oral cavity by dissemination, or vaginal microbiota or intestinal microbiota by translocation. Mounting importance is given to these first colonizing bacteria that are supposed to impact the future subsequent health of the mother and her infant. First, these bacteria and/or their DNA and/or other bacterial structures could trigger immune responses in the fetus and would therefore program the infant’s immune development during fetal life, earlier than previously considered. Second, several recent studies demonstrated a link between the composition of placental microbiota and some pathological conditions of the pregnancy. In particular, it was shown in different studies a placental dysbiosis in preterm birth. However, discrepancies between studies suggested that biological and clinical implications of this microbiome are likely dependent on the microbiota profile that could or not favor a fetal–placental inflammation and early preterm birth. Nowadays, there are more questions than answers about the origin of this microbiota and its eventual transfer to the infant before or during the delivery. However, it is difficult to conclude on the exact composition of this placental microbiota because all these recent studies reported different results about the bacterial species found in placenta. The variability in these results could be the consequence of a lack of precautions to prevent contamination of samples and/or a lack of appropriate controls. Besides, because of the paucity of the placental microbiota, it has been rightly pointed out by some scientists that the bacteria found in the placenta could be contaminants. Thus, to date, data from the literature strongly suggest the existence of a placental microbiota that remains to be rigorously explored and characterized.

Several studies except one show significant alterations in the gut microbiota during pregnancy, with a reduced individual richness and an increased between-subject diversity. Alterations in abundance of several species have been observed, as for example, an increased abundance of members of Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria and a reduced abundance of Faecalibacterium and other SCFA producers. Mechanisms of these modifications remain to be clarified, but changes in the host immunology as well as hormonal pattern may be important. These changes, that is, dysbiosis, inflammation and weight gain, are features of metabolic syndrome, which may be highly beneficial for pregnant women as they promote energy storage and provide the growth of the fetus. Besides, consequences of the maternal composition during pregnancy on the neonate in terms of health remain to be determined. Indeed, the maternal microbiota was shown to impact the immune gene expression and the number of innate cells in the neonate. Furthermore, maternal probiotic supplementation was shown to be able to modify the infant microbiota and to alter Toll-like receptor genes expression in the placenta and it was suggested that microbial exposure during pregnancy may be important for preventing allergic disease in the offspring. By contrast, aberrancies observed in the gut microbiota of pregnant women associated with both overweight and weight gain can be one factor contributing to obesity.

Prebiotic and probiotics supplementation

The mounting evidence of relationships between the neonatal bacterial establishment and future health outcomes leads to the interest of a possible early modulation of the gut microbiota by the use of pre- and/or probiotics. Probiotics are defined as substrate that is selectively utilized by host micro-organisms conferring a health benefit. Probiotics are live micro-organisms that when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on the host. However, although their use has been increasing since the last two decades, it remains under debate, and it warrants further research before large recommendations of their use despite a clear appealing interest and encouraging results in some fields. This currently limits the recommendations made by expert committees. First, determining what constitutes the normal baseline microbiota colonization and defining dysbiosis and its potential deleterious health consequences remains questionable and warrant further research. Second, many questions remain to be answered in terms of which supplementation for which patients, which bacterial strains, effective dose, duration. Moreover, proven long-term health benefits of these supplementation are often lacking. For the period from conception to early development, that is, the first 1000 days, little research has been done and the mechanisms by which strains actually confer health still remain elemental. The optimal time to administer probiotics, the strain, dose and duration as well, to improve later health of the neonate, such as cognitive function, reduce atopy or infections and inflammatory events, or to favor a good outcome of pregnancy, by for instance reducing the risk of premature birth, requires more study (for review see Reid et al.). However, some recommendations have recently been published. Based on meta-analyses, early supplementation by probiotics of preterm infants has been recognized to decrease both incidence and severity of necrotizing enterocolitis. Encouraging but discordant results has been reported for the supplementation with the aim to prevent from pediatric allergic diseases. This led to the World Allergy Organization (WAO) to write down specific recommendations concerning this supplementation limiting it to the population at a higher risk of developing allergy. Concerning prebiotic, the WAO suggests to use prebiotic supplementation in infants who are not exclusively breastfed and not using prebiotic supplementation in exclusively breastfed infants. Both of these recommendations are conditional and based on very low certainty of evidence. In addition, WAO has chosen not to provide a recommendation on prebiotic supplementation during pregnancy or while breastfeeding. Indeed, to date, there are no experimental or observational studies of prebiotic supplementation in pregnant women or breastfeeding mothers.

Hence, there is a potential for pre- and/or probiotics to prevent or repair any early dysbiosis. Their use appears to be a safe and feasible method to alter maternal and neonatal microbiota aiming at improving pregnancy and neonatal outcomes. It warrants researches to edit recommendations based on scientific proofs of their beneficial effects on health.

Concluding remarks

The context of the DOHaD highlights the importance of the first 1000 days which are a critical window with implications for
long-term health. Among several factors, the first gut colonizers and the subsequent gut microbiota establishment appears to be major determinants for gut maturation, metabolic and immuno-
log programming and consequently for short- and long-term health status. Hence, mounting importance is given to improve this critical period by promoting a ‘healthy’ gut ecosystem using pre-
or probiotics supplementation, but also by taking into account the perinatal determinants impacting the bacterial establishment, for instance, by limiting the use of maternal and neonatal antibiotics or cesarean section.
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