Comparison of growth performance and agonistic interaction in weaned piglets of different weight classes from farrowing systems with group or single housing
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The present study was designed to analyze the growth performance, behavioral patterns and intensity of injuries of weaned pigs (26 days) during a rearing period of 6 weeks. The farrowing system (group housing (GH) v. single housing (SH)) and the post-weaning regrouping weight class (light, medium, heavy) were considered as the main factors. A number of 120 GH-pigs and 120 SH-pigs were kept in three batches (20 pens, 12 pigs each). The GH- and SH-pigs were divided by weight into three groups: light (5 to < 7 kg), medium (> 7 to < 9 kg) and heavy (> 9 to < 12 kg), with two pigs of six different litters in each pen. The pigs were weighed individually at weaning (week 1) and during rearing (weeks 2, 3 and 7). The feed conversion ratio (FCR) was calculated between weeks 1 and 7. The duration and number of fights (NF) per pen and hour were determined by continuous sampling (40 h after weaning). Lesions of the integument were scored into four classes (none, minor, medium, severe) and recorded at weaning and 48 h afterwards. The farrowing system had no effect on the weights in week 1 (GH: 7.8 kg v. SH: 7.7 kg; week as linear, quadratic regression nested within housing systems) or in week 7 (GH: 29.4 kg v. SH: 28.6 kg). The body weights were influenced significantly by the weaning weight class (light: 11.7 kg (s.e.m.: 0.30), medium: 14.8 kg (s.e.m.: 0.22), heavy: 17.3 kg (s.e.m.: 0.26)). The FCR of the GH-pigs was 1.64 (s.e.m.: 0.03) and 1.58 (s.e.m.: 0.03) for SH-pigs. A reduced agonistic behavior of the GH-pigs was observed with 2.1 fights per pen and hour (s.e.m.: 0.07) v. the SH-pigs with 4.6 fights per pen and hour (s.e.m.: 0.05). The fight duration of the GH-pigs with 10.3 s per pen and hour (s.e.m.: 1.07) was significantly lower in comparison to the SH-pigs with 18.8 s per pen and hour (s.e.m.: 1.06). The SH-pigs had more new skin lesions at the shoulders than the GH-pigs 48 h after weaning (P < 0.05).

In conclusion, early mixing of unacquainted litters during lactation had no influence on their growth performance during rearing but reduced agonistic behavior and lesion score difference during the first 2 days after weaning. No significant interaction between the farrowing system and weaning weight class was detected with regard to growth performance and NF.
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Implications

A group housing system with electronically controlled crates and conventional single farrowing systems were compared with regard to the growth performance and agonistic behavior of weaned and weight sorted pigs during rearing. This study confirms that mixing piglets during lactation reduces agonistic behavior after weaning and improves animal welfare without significant effect on performance during rearing.

Introduction

Group housing for gestating sows was predefined as a consequence of the European Union Council Directive 91/630/EEC amended by Council Regulation (EC) No. 806/2003 (2003). This provision targets increased animal welfare by allowing more social interaction and free movement based on natural behavior. In farrowing systems, pens with crates are built to improve piglet survival (Cronin and Smith, 1992) but keeping sows in crates has been increasingly criticized in public discussions. Alternative farrowing systems such as loose housing pens or group housing (GH) systems can affect the performance of weaned pigs during rearing. Bünger (2002) assessed higher body weights (BW) after rearing for weaned pigs from GH compared with pigs from loose housing systems. Likewise, Hessel et al. (2006) and Reiners (2009) detected advantages concerning the growth performance of weaned pigs during rearing when single housed litters were mixed 10 or 12 days after farrowing. The enhanced...
performance of mixed pigs said to be caused by a higher voluntary feed intake and reduced agonistic behavior immediately after weaning (Hessel et al., 2006; Pluske, 2006). Furthermore, the weaning weight could affect weight gain during rearing. McConnell et al. (1987) and Bruininx et al. (2001) detected that heavy pigs grew significantly faster than light pigs during the rearing period. McConnell et al. (1987) and Hillmann et al. (2003) and Li and Wang (2011) suggest that the farrowing environment affects the behavior of pigs due to their experience with acquainted littermates in small groups or unacquainted littermates in large groups. Unacquainted weaners from single housing (SH) systems have to determine a new hierarchy and thus have an effect on agonistic behavior and weight performance (Li and Johnston, 2009). In various studies, it has been found that aggressive behavior is caused by different housing conditions, but also by various BWs, changes in space or group sizes or the degree of familiarity (Jensen, 1994; Turner et al., 2009; Stukenborg et al., 2011). As a result of agonistic behavior, skin lesions can occur after mixing foreign litters (Pitts et al., 2000; Turner et al., 2006; Puppe et al., 2007).

In the present study, weaned pigs from GH and SH systems were sorted by weight and kept in the rearing area. The aim of the study was a comparison of their growth performance and the duration and frequency of agonistic behavior in connection to the interaction between the farrowing environment and weaning weight class immediately after weaning.

Material and methods

Animals and housing

The data were collected on the agriculture research farm Futterkamp of the Chamber of Agriculture of Schleswig-Holstein between November 2009 and March 2010. The study was carried out with 240 weaned pigs from two different farrowing systems (GH and SH) with 120 crossbred pigs each (Piétrain × Large White × Landrace)).

Six sows (2nd to 8th parity) and their piglets were kept together in the GH. Each GH-sow had an individual single pen with farrowing crate (4.7 m²). The crates had electrically controlled gates (ear tag). GH-sows could move out of the crates over a flexible step into a shared running area. The floor was designed with plastic slats. The pigs had free access to water by using a nipple drinker. The study consisted of three batches including 120 pigs from GH and SH (Batches 1 and 3: 48 pigs/4 pens; Batch 2: 24 pigs/2 pens). Data from 30 weaned litters in total were available. In all three batches, the piglets were weaned on day 26 after farrowing (0830 to 1100 h). The pigs were moved to eight identical rearing pens with a size of 1.6 m × 2.8 m (0.37 m² per pig) for 12 pigs each. GH- and SH-pigs were kept separately and sorted into the pens according to their weaning weight. Two heavy (>9 to ≤12 kg), four medium (>7 to ≤9 kg) and two light pigs (5 to ≤7 kg) were chosen for the study from each litter. The average weaning weights of the different weight groups were 10.0 kg (s.d.: 0.67), 8.1 kg (s.d.: 0.59) and 6.3 kg (s.d.: 0.55). Piglets within one litter had the same mark on their backs. Female and castrated pigs were reared together in equal shares. Three pigs died during the rearing period, which resulted in a mortality rate of 1.3%.

The daily feed demand was controlled by the filling level of the feeding trough (sensor scan every 20 min between 0600 and 2200 h). An empty trough was refilled with a portion of 1.4 kg. The dry feed was mixed with water in a rotation distributor and supplied into the trough as liquid feed. The feeding portions of 1 day were added up and saved in the database of the agriculture research farm. Two sorts of rearing feed were used in accordance with the German norm (Gesellschaft für Ernährungsphysiologie, 2006). Feed 1 with 14.6 MJ ME/kg and 18.5% CP (1.45% lysine) was fed from days 1 to 14. Feeds 1 and 2 were mixed for about 4 days. Feed 2 with 13.4 MJ ME/kg and 17.5% CP (1.25% lysine) was fed from day 18 until the end of the rearing period. The pigs had free access to water by using a nipple drinker. Climate cover plates were used for heat insulation in the lying area. The floor was designed with plastic slats. The degree of perforation was 10% in the lying area (48% of the pen) and 40% in the activity area (52% of the pen). A climate computer regulated ventilation and heating during rearing in dependence of a climate cycle. The climate cycle started with 28°C in week 1 and dropped continuously to 22°C in week 7 in accordance with the thermo neutral zone of the pigs. The lights (80 lux) were switched on at 0600 h and switched off at 2000 h.

Recorded traits

The individual BW of the pigs was measured at weaning (week 1) and during rearing (in weeks 2, 3 and 7). The daily feed intake per pen was stored electronically in the database of the agriculture research farm. The average feed conversion ratio (FCR) per pen was analyzed on a pen basis over the whole rearing period (weeks 1 to 7). The pigs were videotaped at 40 h after weaning from Wednesday 1600 h until Friday 0800 h (HeiTel Digital Video GmbH, Kiel, Germany). Owing to technical problems, videotapes of Batch 2 could not be used. In consequence, the agonistic behavior of 192 pigs of Batches 1 and 3 was analyzed. One trained observer viewed the videotapes and documented the duration and
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Table 1 Description of the lesion score categories for skin injuries at snout and shoulders at weaning and 48 h after weaning (Stukenborg et al., 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (none)</td>
<td>No skin injuries (no lesions on the whole body area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (minor)</td>
<td>Minor skin injuries (sporadically occurring lesions on the body area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (medium)</td>
<td>Middle skin injuries (several lesions allocated over the whole body area; no widespread accumulation of injuries)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (severe)</td>
<td>Strong skin injuries (several lesions allocated over the whole body area with accumulations of injuries at different areas of the skin)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

number of agonistic behavior per pen and hour by continuous sampling. Agonistic behavior in the present study was defined as a physical contact between two pigs with biting, pushing, circling and/or fleeing initiated by one of the pigs (Puppe, 1998; Colson et al., 2006; Li and Johnston, 2009). A fight was defined when it took at least 3 s. An interruption of 8 s between fight sequences of the same pigs was documented as two fights (Puppe, 1998). The end of a fight was established when one pig fled or stopped fighting. The number of fights (NF) and the fight duration (FD) per pen and hour were observed to assess agonistic behavior (Li and Johnston, 2009; Stukenborg et al., 2011).

Lesions of the integument were assessed by one observer for the snout, shoulders, ears and flanks separately (McGlone, 1985) at weaning (LS 1) and 48 h after weaning (LS 2). The lesion scores include four categories of injuries: none, minor, medium and severe lesions of the integument, referring to Stukenborg et al. (2011; Table 1).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis of the recorded traits was conducted with the SAS® statistical software package (SAS® Institute Inc., 2008). The model for BW gain was designed with the fixed effects batch, housing system, sex, weaning weight and week as linear, quadratic regression. The fixed effects were tested for significance with the procedure MIXED in SAS® (SAS® Institute Inc., 2008). Fixed effects were added to the model, stepwise, Maximum Likelihood (ML) was used to test the different models. Interactions between the fixed effects had no significant effect and were excluded. Comparison of the different models were performed with the fit statistic Akaike’s information criteria corrected (AICC; Hurvich and Tsai, 1989) and the Baysian information criteria (BIC; Schwarz, 1978). The smallest values of AICC and BIC were none, minor, medium and severe lesions of the integument, whereas LSD > 0 represented fewer skin lesions 48 h after weaning in relation to weaning, whereas LSD > 0 represented more new skin lesions 48 h after weaning compared with weaning. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test in the procedure NPAR1WAY (SAS® Institute Inc., 2008), was used to identify significant differences in the intensity of new skin lesion at the snouts and at the shoulders of weaned pigs, which were reared in group and single farrowing systems. The LSD was not obviously influenced by the weaning weight class.

Results

Growth performance and FCR

The effect of the batch was significant and resulted in reduced growth performance in Batch 2 (Batch 1: 15.1 kg (s.e.m.: 0.23), Batch 2: 14.1 kg (s.e.m.: 0.32), Batch 3: 14.6 kg (s.e.m.: 0.23)). The BWs of weaned GH- and SH-pigs during the rearing period did not differ significantly (Figure 1; Table 2). The results of the linear, quadratic regression of the weaning weights of GH- and SH-pigs were similar.
(GH: 7.8 kg v. SH: 7.7 kg). During the whole rearing period, the increasing curves of the BWs for GH- and SH-pigs stayed without obvious differences. In week 7, GH-pigs weighed 29.4 kg and SH-pigs had a BW of 28.6 kg (P > 0.05). The effect of the weaning weight classes (6, 8 and 10 kg) was significant (Table 2). Heavy pigs had the highest BW during rearing with 17.3 kg (s.e.m.: 0.26) in comparison to light pigs with 11.7 kg (s.e.m.: 0.03) and medium pigs with 14.8 kg (s.e.m.: 0.22). The interaction between the housing system and weaning weight class did not affect the BW during rearing. The FCR per pen tended (P = 0.097) to be higher for the GH-pigs in relation to the SH-pigs (Table 2). A significant influence for FCR was detected between pigs with weaning weights of 6 and 10 kg. Pigs with weaning weights of 8 kg were not different from light and heavy pigs, respectively.

**FD and NF**

Agonistic behavior depended on the time of day. The least square means of NF for the observation period showed a bimodal circadian of the day (Figure 2). This trend started at the day of weaning and continued until the end of the observation period. Activity times with more than nine fights per pen and hour were recognized in the morning and in the afternoon. Periods with less than three fights per pen and hour occurred during the evening and night. The batch did not affect the agonistic behavior, whereas the farrowing system did have an influence on the FD and the NF. The GH-pigs fought significantly (P < 0.05) less with 2.1 fights per pen and hour (s.e.m.: 0.07) compared with the SH-pigs with 4.6 fights per pen and hour (s.e.m.: 0.05; Table 2). Light pigs fought significantly less compared with medium pigs, while heavy pigs were not different with P > 0.05, respectively. Furthermore, a FD was recorded at 10.3 s per pen and hour (s.e.m.: 1.06). The weaning weight class influenced the FD per pen and hour and medium pigs fought for a significantly longer period in comparison to light and heavy pigs.

**LSD**

In total, 71.4% of the snouts of the GH-pigs and 76.6% of the SH-pigs were assessed with no changes (Figure 3). Healing of the snout injuries (LSD classes 2 and 2) was observed with 26% for the GH-pigs and 17.7% for the SH-pigs. New lesions at the snout occurred marginally (GH: 2.5% and SH: 5.7%). The LSD of the snouts of the GH- and SH-pigs was not significantly different (P > 0.05). The developments of scratches at ears and flanks between

**Figure 1** Least square means of the linear, quadratic growth development of weaned pigs from group or single housing system (GH, SH) during rearing.

**Figure 2** Least square means and standard error of the means of the number of fights per pen and hour in the observed 40 h after weaning for pigs from group and single housing system.

**Table 2** LSM and s.e.m. of BWs, FCR, NF and FD per pen and hour of weaned pigs during rearing depended on housing conditions (GH and SH) and weaning weight classes (light, medium and heavy).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>BW (kg)</th>
<th>FCR (kg)</th>
<th>NF</th>
<th>FD (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing system</td>
<td>GH</td>
<td>14.7 (±0.21)</td>
<td>1.64 (±0.03)</td>
<td>2.1 (±0.07)</td>
<td>10.3 (±1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SH</td>
<td>14.5 (±0.21)</td>
<td>1.58 (±0.03)</td>
<td>4.6 (±0.05)</td>
<td>18.8 (±1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weaning weight (kg)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.7 (±0.30)</td>
<td>1.68 (±0.03)</td>
<td>2.9 (±0.08)</td>
<td>12.9 (±1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.8 (±0.22)</td>
<td>1.62 (±0.03)</td>
<td>3.5 (±0.06)</td>
<td>15.1 (±1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17.3 (±0.26)</td>
<td>1.54 (±0.04)</td>
<td>3.1 (±0.07)</td>
<td>13.8 (±1.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSM = least square means; s.e.m. = standard error of means; BWs = body weights; FCR = feed conversion ratio; NF = number of fights; FD = fight duration; GH = group housing; SH = single housing.

1Values with different letters differ significantly (P < 0.05).
2Different letters of back-transformed values refer to log-transformed values.
GH- and SH-pigs were comparable with the LSD classes of the shoulders. However, the greatest number of lesions occurred at the shoulders. After weaning, the shoulders of the GH-pigs had significantly lower values ($P < 0.05$) for the LSD compared with the shoulders of SH-pigs. The pigs in GH had more observations for healing shoulders lesions with LSD classes $-2$ and $-1$ (14.3% v. 0% SH-pigs; Figure 3). No changes of the integument were recognized for 68.9% of the GH-pigs v. 45.4% of the SH-pigs. In consequence, the amount of observations for LSD in class 1 was lower for the GH-pigs (16.8%) compared with the SH-pigs (34.5%). The worst lesions at the shoulders were documented only for the GH-pigs (16.8%) compared with the SH-pigs (34.5%). In conclusion, the GH- and SH-pigs in the present study had similar BWs at weaning and after rearing, but their weaning weight affected their BW during rearing.

### Discussion

#### Growth performance and FCR

In the present study, piglets were mixed 5 days p.p. and had no significant differences from unmixed piglets concerning their BWs at weaning or after the rearing period. The time of mixing the piglets could be one influencing factor. Lactating sows and their piglets in a semi-natural environment return to the sounder on average 10 days p.p. (Jensen and Redbo, 1987). However, Rantzer et al. (1997) compared GH-pigs, which were first mixed on day 7 p.p., with SH-pigs, which were not mixed during lactation and rearing. These GH-pigs had a lower growth rate ($P < 0.05$) with 152 g per day in relation to the SH-pigs with 181 g per day after 4 weeks of rearing. In contrast, Hessel et al. (2006), Kutzer et al. (2009) and Reiners (2009) mixed SH-piglets from three different litters together from day 10 to 12 p.p. Hessel et al. (2006) and Reiners (2009) detected that mixed SH-piglets had a significantly increased weight gain ($P < 0.05$) of 1 kg during a rearing period of 5 weeks compared with those initially mixed at weaning. In addition, Kutzer et al. (2009) included a GH system in their study and detected significantly higher BWs for GH-pigs (23.8 kg) after a rearing period of 5 weeks compared with SH-pigs (21.0 kg) and mixed SH-pigs (21.8 kg). Furthermore, Rantzer et al. (1997), Hessel et al. (2006) and Kutzer et al. (2009) did not assess different weaning weights ($P > 0.05$) of GH-, mixed SH- and SH-pigs. Therefore, mixing piglets during lactation may have no effect on weaning weights but affect the weight performance during rearing. Mixing piglets on day 5 p.p. had no significant effect on their weight performance during rearing, whereas other studies suggest that mixing between day 10 and 12 p.p. increases weight gain during rearing.

BW and FCR during rearing in the present study also depended on the weaning weights (light, medium, heavy). Bruining et al. (2001) assessed a significantly higher daily weight gain of 345 g for heavy pigs (weaning weight: 9.3 kg) in comparison to 298 g for light pigs (weaning weight: 6.7 kg) between the 14th and the 35th day in the rearing pen. Likewise McConnell et al. (1987) suggested that the daily gain of light pigs (3.6 kg) with 136 g v. heavy pigs (6.4 kg) with 233 g differed significantly ($P < 0.05$) during 28 days of rearing. In conclusion, the GH- and SH-pigs in the present study had similar BWs at weaning and after rearing, but their weaning weight affected their BW during rearing.

#### FD and NF

A bimodal circadian rhythm was observed for the NF and confirmed the statement of Stukenborg et al. (2011). However, in contrast to Stukenborg et al. (2011), different peaks during the activity time in the morning were detected in our study. The reason could be seen in the feeding pause between 2200 and 0600 h, whereas pigs in the study of Stukenborg et al. (2011) were fed ad libitum all day long. Thus, it seems that feed should not be limited to reduce conflicts over the resources of pigs. In the present study, the group farrowing system reduced the NF per pen and hour and shortened FD of the pigs immediately after weaning. Mixing pigs during lactation reduced fighting after weaning because new hierarchies did not need to be established (Friend et al., 1983; Pitts et al., 2000; Weary et al., 2002). Likewise, Hessel et al. (2006) and Reiners (2009) determined lower rates of agonistic behavior after weaning between SH-pigs commingled 48 h before weaning, compared with SH-pigs mixed at weaning ($P < 0.05$). Simultaneously, imposing stressors such as a new environment, separation from the dam, changes in diet and mixing non-littermates accentuated the distress response at weaning (Hessel et al., 2006; Pluske, 2006). These stress factors were disentangled for the GH-pigs in the present study since agonistic behavior between unacquainted GH-pigs had already occurred during lactation.

Furthermore, Li and Wang (2011) reported on similar results with 1.5 fight per hour for GH-pigs v. 3.8 fights per hour for SH-pigs (FD: GH, 4.5 s v. SH, 18.3 s). In contrast to the present study, Li and Wang (2011) mixed familiar and unfamiliar GH- or SH-pigs from different groups into pens with nine pigs each at an age of 8 weeks. The authors concluded that pigs originated from GH were less aggressive and more tolerant to unfamiliar pigs compared with pigs from SH due to the pig’s experience of a large social group during lactation.

In this study, weaning weights also seemed to influence agonistic behavior. A fewer NF per hour in pens with light pigs and the longest FDs per hour in pens with medium pigs.
were detected. Li and Johnston (2009), however, observed that different BWs at weaning did not affect behavior in familiar groups but increased aggression-induced injuries in unfamiliar groups. Finally, mixing unacquainted litters during lactation is effective in decreasing agonistic behavior and skin lesions immediately after weaning. The interaction between the farrowing environment and the weaning weight category did not affect the growth performance and the numbers of fights.

**LSD**

In general, the intensity of skin lesions is said to depend on the body area, and the front of the body is the most stressed region in all age groups (McGlone, 1985; D’Eath, 2005; Stukkenborg et al., 2011). This could be confirmed by the results of the present study. More skin lesions were observed on the shoulders of the GH-pigs before weaning in comparison to the SH-pigs. In contrast, the GH-pigs had fewer new scratches after weaning. These results are in accordance with the findings of NF and FD. GH-pigs fought less compared with SH-pigs, which resulted in fewer skin lesions after weaning. These results are in accordance with the findings of NF and FD. GH-pigs fought less compared with SH-pigs, which resulted in fewer skin lesions after weaning.

**Conclusion**

The present finding suggests that mixing piglets in a GH system (5 days p.p.) has no influence on growth performance at weaning or after rearing compared with piglets from single pens with crates, however, BW is significantly affected by weaning weights (light, medium, heavy). The interaction between weaning weight classes and the farrowing environment had no influence on the weight performance or the environment had no influence on the weight performance or the body area, and the front of the body is the most stressed region in all age groups (McGlone, 1985; D’Eath, 2005; Stukkenborg et al., 2011). This could be confirmed by the results of the present study. More skin lesions were observed on the shoulders of the GH-pigs before weaning in comparison to the SH-pigs. In contrast, the GH-pigs had fewer new scratches after weaning. These results are in accordance with the findings of NF and FD. GH-pigs fought less compared with SH-pigs, which resulted in fewer skin lesions after weaning. Rantzer et al. (1997) and Parratt et al. (2006) verified less of agonistic patterns in the pens with GH-pigs. Andersen et al. (2004) added that unacquainted pigs in groups of six and 12 pigs fought significantly less compared with pigs in groups of 24. It would be interesting to discover whether SH-pigs in groups of 24 (or more) also had a significantly greater fight activity compared with GH-pigs. The LSD could be used as a less expensive and time-saving parameter to determine the intensity of agonistic behavior of weaned pigs compared with the effort of videotapes.
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